

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS,
MONDAY, APRIL 16TH, 1866, 7½ O'CLOCK, P. M. }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, John Caven, in the chair, and the following members at first roll-call:

Councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt and Staub—12.

Absent—Councilmen Colley, Fletcher, Jameson, Seidensticker and Thompson—5.

On motion of Mr. Brown, the reading of the minutes of the previous meeting was dispensed with.

His Honor, the Mayor, announced that the first in order of business was the consideration of the report of the Select Committee on the petition of the Eight Hour League.

By Mr. MacArthur—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 1, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

We, the undersigned, humbly petition the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Ind., to pass a municipal act, or law, making *eight hours* a legal day's work throughout the city limits.

A. A. Chester, Robert Kenington,
Charles B. McGaw, And 666 others.

Which, on motion, was received.

Mr. Boaz moved that the report of the special committee on the subject, presented at last meeting of Council, be concurred in.

Which motion prevailed.

Mr. Brown withdrew the motion offered by him on the subject, at last meeting of the Council.

Mr. MacArthur moved that the Committee on Revision of Ordinances be instructed to report an ordinance in compliance with the prayer of the petitioners, and as recommended by the Select Committee.

The question being on the adoption of Mr. MacArthur's motion, the ayes and noes were called for.

Those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Glazier, Jameson, Kappes, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—10.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Allen, Emerson, Grosvenor and Loomis—4.

So the motion was adopted.

The regular order of business was resumed.

By Mr. Loomis—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 12, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned, owners of real estate on Stevens street, between East street and Virginia Avenue, respectfully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance to grade and gravel the said street, between the points above named, and as in duty bound your petitioners will ever pray, &c.

Christian Schmid,	Samuel Cain,
Jacob Coffman,	Fred. Jasper,
Michael Gimbel,	And 5 others.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

By Mr. Kappes—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned, owners of property on North Meridian street, respectfully petition your honorable body that said street may be graded, so far as may be necessary in order to its receiving a coat of gravel, from North street to the north line of the corporation; that said street may be heavily gravelled, from gutter to gutter, with coarsely-screened river gravel from North street to the said north line; and that estimates for the grading and gravel-

ing aforesaid, and assessments therefor against property, may be made by the square, so that the property owners on each square may be required to pay for the grading and graveling of the same.

B. F. Tuttle,	Charlton Eden,
W. S. Armstrong,	Andrew Montgomery,
Thos. H. Bowles,	And 19 others.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements, with instructions to confer with City Attorney as to power of Council to order assessments by the square separately.

By Mr. Kappes—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned, owners of property on North Meridian street, respectfully petition your honorable body that the gutters on both sides of said street may be bowldered in the most substantial manner from North street to the north line of the corporation.

B. F. Tuttle,	Thos. H. Bowles,
W. S. Armstrong,	Charlton Eden,
John S. Spann,	And 15 others.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

By Dr. Jameson—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned petitioner respectfully asks permission to lay down a wooden gutter on the east side of Delaware street, between Wyoming and Bicking streets; said work shall be done on my own expenses and subject to the direction of the City Engineer.

Respectfully yours,

C. F. SCHMIDT.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

By Mr. Loomis—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned would represent to your honorable body that he is the owner of a lot fronting on McCarty street, most of which, with an alley on the side and rear, are low, and have standing water upon them at this time. And he would further represent that in the grading of McCarty street in the front, and near the front of his lot and said alley is high ground which has to be removed to adjoining streets, and the excavation and hauling away of which is at his expense; and this being the case, he thinks it but right that he should have the privilege of hauling and appropriating to said alley and lot, say to the extent of fifty to one hundred yards, which he prays your honorable body to grant.

Respectfully,

W. S. HUBBARD.

On motion the prayer of the petitioner was granted.

By Mr. Staub—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned, citizens and property holders, residing in the neighborhood of "The Park," in out-lot number fifty-four (54), in the City of Indianapolis, respectfully represent that part of said Park, being low, is now covered with standing water already now covered with a green scum, and endangering the lives and health of the persons in the neighborhood. We would respectfully ask for an order to the Street Commissioner to level off said Park by cutting down the high part and filling up the low places, which we believe to be sufficient to remove said nuisance.

H. J. Stanridge,
Robert Patterson,
C. H. Smith,

J. J. Beechler,
Henry Hoffmeyer,
And 12 others.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

By Mr. Schmidt—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—We, the undersigned residents of out lot 18, of the City of Indianapolis, do hereby petition your honorable body to have the alley graded and graveled, situated between Alabama and Delaware streets, running north and south, between South and Duncan streets, in out lot 18.

Charles Fette,
Mary Meierhoff,
George Butsch,

N. Simpson,
L. Buck,
J. H. Atkinson.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

By Dr. Jameson—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned, owners and occupants of property on the south side of Market street, between Alabama and New Jersey streets, respectfully petition the City Council to have the gutter sufficiently enlarged to carry off the water.

Hugh H. Smith,
Felix Deitch,
Cynthy Anderson,

Sarah Lanham,
Daniel McClure.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

By Dr. Jameson—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—On the 16th of December, 1865, lot one, of out-lot ninety-one, in the City of Indianapolis, the property of Ruth D. Torbet, for whom we are agents, was sold by the City Treasurer for the delinquent personal taxes

of Calvin Fletcher, and we ask that so much of the amount paid as was unjustly levied against said lot be refunded by the Treasurer.

McKERNAN & PIERCE,
By H. J. LINDLEY.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Finance Committee.

By Dr. Jameson—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 11, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN :—Your petitioner would respectfully ask that the amount of his taxes upon personal property for the year 1865, viz., \$72.75, be refunded to him, from the fact that he was not a resident of the city in January, 1865, but occupied the property south of the city limits, then owned by Harvey Bates, Esq., which property he vacated March 20th, 1865.

“Statement No. 1,” herewith submitted, has your petitioner’s signature annexed, but the filling up of the same is by some unknown person. The circumstances under which his signature was obtained is to your petitioner unknown.

Respectfully submitted,

J. D. CONDIT.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Finance Committee.

By Dr. Jameson—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

I, Mrs. Anna Thompson, bought at public sale for city taxes, at Court House, Indianapolis, lots Nos. 7 and 8, in out-lot No. 54, but the city finds that the lots now belong to the city; therefore, I lodge my claim to get my money refunded, with interest and damages. I paid for 1861 and 1862, \$5.40 cents; for 1863, \$1.44 cents; for 1864, \$2 50.

I am yours,

MRS. ANNA THOMPSON.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Finance Committee.

By Dr. Jameson—Communication :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

STATEMENT OF TERMS UPON WHICH THE SISTERS OF THE GOOD SHEPHERD WILL FINISH THE HOUSE OF REFUGE.

1. A deed in fee simple will be given to the Sisters of the Good Shepherd by the City Council, for the seven (7) acres of ground and improvements.
2. Also, the city will give them the three thousand dollars appropriated by the County Commissioners, and now in the hands of His Honor, the Mayor.
3. The Sisters will obligate themselves to have the House ready to receive prisoners by the 25th day of December, 1866.
4. They will receive and keep them at the rate of seventy-five (75) cents per day, the amount now paid to the Sheriff, and will require no pay from the city or county until they have liquidated the amount expended by the city and county for improvements and money paid.
5. The Sisters will be allowed to keep at steady work the prisoners sent to them.
6. The Mayor, or any Committee appointed by the City Council, will have full access to the House of Refuge, to see if the inmates sent by them are properly cared for.

The undersigned, in behalf of the Sisters of the Good Shepherd, will at any time confer with the City Council to conclude any reasonable arrangements proposed to him; but to finish the work by the time mentioned above, he must have an answer at once.

In conclusion, I may observe that the expense to the city for keeping the *Female Prison* has been, last year, over nine thousand five hundred dollars.

Respectfully submitted,

AUG. BESSOINES.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Committee on Benevolence and the Committee on Public Buildings.

By His Honor, the Mayor—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 10, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—Your petitioner would respectfully represent that he is the owner of property on corners of Tennessee and Mississippi streets, on north side of St. Clair street, having a front of 80 feet on each, Tennessee and Mississippi streets, and 390 feet on St. Clair. That in purchasing, it was part of the consideration that as the street improvements were not made, he would have surplus earth enough to pay for the grading, if he would be allowed to make the improvement, or control it; but as the matter is worked, he has to pay as much per front foot for the improvement, as property when if the cost of improvement was separated, would cost five to ten times as much. Last fall the contractor for the fill on Pratt street, between Illinois and Meridian streets, entered into the alley running north and south between St. Clair and Pratt, and Tennessee and Mississippi streets, and without as much as saying by your leave, plowed it up and took away the dirt, or part of it, before he knew any thing of the kind was contemplated; and though the alley had some kind of grade, yet the owners have been left in the mud all the winter. He protested to the contractor, who told him he had his orders from the City Engineer, and it was supposed if the matter was under the control of a city officer it was in accordance with some rule or law adopted by the City, and there seemed to be no remedy. The contractor took away gravel that was put there by your petitioner, at his own expense, for his own convenience, at a cost of some fifteen dollars, and the alley was left in a much worse condition than before he entered on it. He understands that an ordinance for the grading and graveling of Mississippi street has been passed by your Honors, though he was not applied to to sign the petition which embraces his front on Mississippi street, and the contractors are taking dirt from the front of his lots on St. Clair street to make the fill on Mississippi street that will be needed to fill on St. Clair street, between Tennessee street and the Lafayette Railroad, which he thinks ought not to be done. He is not conversant sufficiently with the laws governing cases of the kind, and would respectfully ask your Honors to grant such relief as you may think proper and just in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOB P. DUNN.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

From the City Attorney—Communication :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—Some time prior to my election to the office of City Attorney I was employed to defend two cases in which the city was defendant. One of these cases was that of Sturdevant vs. The City. I answered for the city in the Cour below, and argued the case in the Supreme Court.

The other case was that of the Masonic Hall Company, &c., vs. The City. This case I defended in the Circuit Court and argued in the Supreme Court.

I will place in the hands of such committee as you may designate the briefs and arguments prepared by me, in order that the value of the services rendered may be determined.

I respectfully request that the matter may be referred to a committee, and a full and careful investigation made, and that such a sum as may be reasonable and just may be allowed me.

Respectfully,

B. K. ELLIOTT.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. Brown moved that the regular order of business be suspended, and that reports from City Officers be taken up.

The question being on the suspension of the order of business, those who voted in the affirmative were councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—14. Noes, none.

So the order of business was suspended.

From the Civil Engineer—Report:

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The Civil Engineer would respectfully report that Messrs. O'Conner & Dorsey, the contractors for grading and graveling Washington street, exclusive of the sidewalks and gutters, between Noble street and the corporation line east, have completed the same according to contract.

Also, that Hiram Seibert, the contractor for grading and graveling the sidewalks on the west side of Missouri street, between South and Merrill streets, has completed the same according to contract.

First and final estimates, made under the provisions of the new charter, in favor of the above named parties, are herewith submitted for your approval.

Hermann Timmerman, the contractor for grading and graveling Meek street and sidewalks, between Noble street and the corporation line east, has completed the work, but having previously reported that it was not being done in accordance with the provisions of the contract, we would respectfully await the action of the Council before furnishing the party with an estimate for the same.

The contract and bond of Messrs. Cowgill, Smock & Cowgill, for grading and graveling Pratt street and sidewalks, between Pennsylvania and Delaware streets.

Also, the contract and bond of Messrs. O'Conner & Schuer, for grading and graveling Spring street and sidewalks, between Vermont street and the north line of out-lot 45, are respectfully submitted for approval.

Bids for various street improvements are also submitted.

JAMES WOOD, *Civil Engineer.*

Resolved, That the first and final estimate allowed Messrs. O'Conner & Dorsey for grading and graveling Washington street, exclusive of the sidewalks and gutters, between Noble street and the corporation line east, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—14. Noes, none.

So the resolution passed.

Resolved, That the first and final estimate allowed Hiram Seibert for grading and graveling the sidewalk on the west side of Missouri street, between South and Merrill streets, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—14. Noes, none.

So the resolution passed.

On motion of Mr. Brown, in relation to so much of the report as relates to the grading and graveling of Meek street, the Civil Engineer was directed to prepare and report an estimate for the same in favor of the contractor, Herman Timmermann.

On motion of Mr. Brown, the contracts and bonds, as reported by the Civil Engineer were accepted and approved.

On motion of Mr. Seidensticker, the sealed proposals presented by the Civil Engineer and City Auditor were opened, read and referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

From the City Attorney—Report :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—I have examined the ordinance introduced by Mr. Brown at your last meeting, and am of the opinion that it can be legally enacted and enforced.

Respectfully,

B. K. ELLIOTT, *City Attorney.*

Which, on motion, was concurred in.

From the Street Commissioner—Report :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

By a resolution, passed in the last regular session, I was instructed to report how much of the money appropriated for work done by the Street Commissioner was expended in each of the different Wards of the city.

I must respectfully report that it is impossible for me to comply with this request, for the reason that I did not keep my books in such a manner as would enable me to comply. I have never charged the different Wards with the amount of money spent in each; and I did not receive any instructions

to that effect when I entered upon my duties, nor ever since, though I regularly submitted my reports, showing what work I had done in each month, and how every dollar and cent that passed through my hands has been expended.

I would further suggest that such a system of charging the work according to Wards presents many difficulties, as a good deal of work done by me is chargeable to two and three Wards together, such as cleaning streets and gutters, hauling dirt from one place and depositing it in another, &c.

In conclusion, I will say that every order of Council, or of the Board of Public Improvements, has been complied with by me in the order in which I received the same, and that my reports have been more detailed in statements, than those rendered by any of my predecessors in office. I hope that Council will not complicate my book-keeping, as I am neither able to pay a clerk out of my slender salary, nor to carry on a complicated system of book-keeping myself.

Respectfully,

AUGUST RICHTER, *Street Commissioner.*

Which, on motion, was concurred in.

From the City Auditor—Report :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The City Auditor, as directed by ordinance, would respectfully report the following ordinance, allowing accounts for the month ending on the 16th April, 1866.

JOHN G. WATERS, *City Auditor.*

Also, ordinance entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time by its title, and, on motion, was read the second time and referred to the Committee on Accounts and Claims.

From the City Clerk—Report :

OFFICE OF CITY CLERK,
Indianapolis, April 16, 1866. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

I would respectfully report that, pursuant to instructions from your honorable body, and the provisions of section 59 of the new City Charter, I notified the Commissioners of the City of Indianapolis for the opening and laying out of streets, alleys, &c., to meet at my office on Thursday, the 5th day of March, 1866, at 10 o'clock, A. M.; that said Commissioners were then and there required to make an appraisal of the real estate proposed to be appropriated by the opening of New Jersey street from Merrill to McCarty streets, in a width of ninety feet; and that I also gave twenty-one days' notice, by personal service, or otherwise, to each of the owners or agents thereof, of lots or land upon or through which said street is proposed to be opened. And that said Commissioners did, at the place and time indicated in such notice, proceed to the examination of the real estate proposed to be appropriated by the opening of said street as aforesaid, and that on the 14th day of April, 1866, said Commissioners filed with me the following report of their proceedings in the premises :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 5, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The Commissioners of Streets and Alleys respectfully submit the following report in the matter of the opening of New Jersey street :

That pursuant to the notice issued and served, they met at the office of the City Clerk, and proceeded to hear and examine witnesses in the matter aforesaid.

The Commissioners having heard the evidence, and having duly examined the real estate through which it is proposed to open said street, and being advised in the premises, find :

That New Jersey street should be extended from Merrill street to McCarty street, ninety feet in width, the center thereof to be on a right line with the center of said New Jersey street, at the point where it intersects Merrill street.

That the opening of such street is required by the welfare and convenience of the public.

That the value of the land to be appropriated for said street is, eleven thousand nine hundred and thirty dollars.

That the part of the expense which should be borne by the City of Indianapolis, is one thousand dollars, which we assess against said city.

That the persons below named are benefitted upon the Real Estate described in the sums set opposite their respective names :

<i>Names.</i>	<i>Description of Property.</i>	<i>Benefits.</i>
Alanson G. Stevens.....	Out-lot 103, except part thereof sold to Francis Frazier and Andrew Curtis.....	\$5,000 00
Andrew Curtis.....	Part of out-lot 103, viz., commencing 45 feet east of north-west corner of said out-lot, running thence east 35 feet, thence south 125 feet, thence west 35 feet, thence north 125 feet to beginning.....	100 00
Francis Frasier.....	Commencing on south line of out-lot 103, 120 feet east of the south-west corner, thence east 40 feet, thence north 120 feet, thence west 40 feet, thence south 125 feet to beginning.....	50 00
John L. Ketcham.....	All of lot No. sixteen (16), also lot No. fifteen (15), excepting forty-five feet off the east side thereof; both in S. Merrill's subdivision of out-lot No. one hundred and four (104), Indianapolis.....	2,000 00
E. T. Sinker.....	Lots Nos. 1 to 16 inclusive, in Sinker's subdivision of part of out-lot No. 104.....	1,000 00
Thomas Landers.....	Part of lot No. 13, in out-lot No. 104, beginning 73 feet west of south-east corner of said lot 13, running thence west 32 feet, thence north 143 feet, east 32 feet, south to place of beginning.....	50 00
Adolph Siedensticker....	Commencing on McCarty street, 375 feet east of south-west corner of out-lot 104, thence east 30 feet, thence south 143 feet, thence west 5 feet, thence north 5 feet, thence west 25 feet, thence south 148 feet.....	50 00
John W. Elmore.....	Commencing 335 feet east of the south-west corner of out-lot 104, thence running east 40 feet, thence north 143 feet, thence west 40 feet, thence south 143 feet.....	50 00
Elizabeth Naltner.....	Commencing 295 feet east of the south-west corner of out-lot 104, thence running east 40 feet, thence north 143 feet, thence west 40 feet, thence south 143 feet.....	50 00
C. B. Robinson.....	Commencing 265 feet east of south-west corner of out-lot 104, thence east 30 feet, thence south 143 feet, thence west 30 feet, thence south 143 feet.....	40 00
William H. Robinson....	Commencing 215 feet east of south-west corner of out-lot 104, thence east 50 feet, thence north 143 feet, thence west 50 feet, thence south 143 feet.....	50 00
C. F. Schmidt.....	Lots Nos. 42, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, in out-lot 107.....	500 00
Susan A. White.....	Lot No. 46 in out-lot 167.....	75 00
J. Henry Kappes.....	} Undivided four-ninths of and the other named undivided five-ninths of part of out-lots, 107, commencing at the corner of East and McCarty streets, running west 690 feet 4½ inches, thence south 564 feet ½ inch, thence east 690 feet 4½ inches, thence north 564 feet 3 inches.....	1,915 00
Amelia C. Bicking.....		
Georgiana Bicking.....		
Wm. H. Brisbane.....		
Mary A. Brisbane.....		
Louis Emery.....		
Ella H. Emery.....		

And we assess the said sums against the persons aforesaid, and upon the real estate aforesaid.

We find the damages sustained to be upon the real estate and in the amount set opposite the respective names following, to-wit :

<i>Names.</i>	<i>Description of Property.</i>	<i>Damages.</i>
Alanson G. Stevens.....	Out-lot 103, except the part thereof sold to Francis Frazier and Andrew Curtis, being strip 45 feet by 727½ feet.....	2,615 00
Mrs. Betty Davis.....	} Commencing at north-east corner of out-lot 104, thence south 150 feet, thence west 45 feet, thence north 150 feet, thence east to the place of beginning.....	1,800 00
F. A. W. Davis.....		
F. A. W. Davis.....	Improvement on last described real estate.....	3,500 00
Miss Sue Ketcham.....	Commencing on east line of out-lot 104, 150 feet south of north-east corner, thence south 150 feet, thence west 38 feet, thence north 150 feet, thence east 38 feet to beginning.....	325 00

Names.	Description of Property.	Damages.
Jane M. Ketcham.....	Commencing 300 feet south of the north-east corner of out-lot 104, thence south 150 feet, thence west 38 feet, thence north 150 feet, and thence east to beginning.....	325 00
John L. Ketcham, Jr....	Commencing at the point on south line of lot 15, of Merrill's subdivision of out-lot 104, 38 feet west of south-east corner thereof, thence west 5 feet, thence north 300 feet, thence east 5 feet, thence south 300 feet to place of beginning.....	140 00
E. T. Sinker.....	Lot 8, part of lot 6, out-lot 104, lot 9, and part of lot 10, out-lot 104.....	925 00
E. T. Sinker.....	} Lot 45 in block 13, out-lot 104.....	700 00
Daniel Yandes.....		
William Allen.....		
Andrew Curtis.....	Part of out-lot 104, to-wit: 45 feet in width, fronting on Merrill street, by 125 feet in length, running south.....	1,690 00

And we assess the damages in favor of the persons last named, in the amount set opposite their respective names.

D. H. BEATY,
 J. W. DAVIS,
 EGIIDIUS NALTNER, } Commissioners.
 T. L. ROBERTS,
 WM. COUGHLEN }

I would also report that an affidavit has been filed in the office of the City Clerk for the collection of street assessment by precept as follows, to-wit:

John Stumph against John Love, as trustee, &c, of lot 12, in square 47, for amount unpaid of \$153.90; and would recommend that you order precept to issue.

Respectfully,

C. S. BUTTERFIELD, *City Clerk.*

On motion of Mr. Seidensticker, the report of the City Clerk was received, and the report of the City Commissioners referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

On motion, so much of the report as relates to collection of street assessment by precept, was concurred in and precept ordered to be issued.

On motion the regular order of business was resumed.

Mr. Grosvenor moved—

That the City Auditor be instructed to advertise for proposals for the building of a bridge over Pogue's Run on Tennessee street, in accordance with specifications now on file in the City Engineer's office, and the instructions of the Board of Public Improvements, said proposals to be asked for the excavation and stone work, and the wood superstructure separately.

Which motion was adopted.

Mr. Schmidt offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be directed to clean out the gutters on South Delaware street; the gutters on said street are filled up with dirt, and by heavy rains street, yards and gardens will be overflowed, and heavy damage will arise therefrom.

Which on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

Mr. MacArthur offered the following motion :

That in accordance with the agreement made last fall the streets and alleys reported in need of cleaning by the several Councilmen of the Wards, who

were appointed to investigate the condition of their districts, that the same be cleaned in regular rotation by the Street Commissioner.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

Mr. Emerson moved—

That James E. Cardwell be permitted to build a shanty over the east side of the Canal, near the Railroad track, and upon the line of the sidewalk on the north side of said street, in order to protect him from the inclemency of the weather.

Which motion was adopted.

Mr. Loomis moved—

That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby, directed to take the necessary steps to satisfy the judgment in favor of the City vs. Andrew Wilson, if on examination he finds the same and costs to have been paid in full by the defendant.

Which motion was adopted.

Mr. Loomis moved—

That the Chair appoint a special committee of three Councilmen to examine and report what ponds or sink holes in and about the city, if any, require drainage or filling, and that they be required to report by ordinance at the next meeting of the Council.

Which motion was adopted.

His Honor, the Mayor, appointed as such committee Councilmen Loomis, Brown and Kappes.

Mr. Glazier offered the following motion :

That the Civil Engineer be instructed to notify the contractor for grading and graveling McCarty street, between New Jersey street and Virginia Avenue, to have the sink hole on the proposed New Jersey street filled with the surplus earth lying on said McCarty street, between New Jersey and East streets.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

Dr. Thompson offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be instructed to repair the foot bridges on crossways on corner of Illinois and Ohio streets.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

Mr. Allen moved—

That the City Clerk be directed to furnish the City Attorney with the petition from citizens on East Louisiana street, requiring the Cincinnati Railroad

Company to make said street according to the ordinance given them by the city at the time the right of way was given said Railroad Company through Louisiana street, and that the Attorney report his views as early as possible to this Council.

Which motion was adopted.

Mr. Allen moved—

That the City Marshal report to this Council if the ordinance in regard to flagmen on Railroad crossings on the streets designated has been complied with.

Which motion was adopted.

By Mr. Grosvenor :

WHEREAS, S. Binkley, J. H. McKernan and the Rolling Mill Company, property holders, propose to dedicate, for the purposes of a street, thirty feet of ground on east side of Tennessee street, between Merrill and McCarty streets,

Resolved, That such dedication be accepted, and the Civil Engineer is hereby required and directed to cause said Tennessee street to be opened and laid out between the limits aforesaid to the increased width of thirty feet as aforesaid, and that the Engineer be, and is hereby, instructed to prepare a plat for record showing the opening of said street to the increased width as hereinbefore provided.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—14. Noes, none.

So the resolution passed.

By Mr. Coburn :

Resolved, That the grading, graveling and bowldering of streets, sidewalks and alleys, be hereafter let by the lineal foot against property on any street or alley, and the Civil Engineer is hereby instructed to advertise work to be done in accordance with this resolution: *Provided*, This shall not apply to ordinances now in force for the improvement of streets, &c.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—14. Noes, none.

So the resolution passed.

Dr. Jameson introduced special ordinance No. 39—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and paving the sidewalk on the south side of Market street, between New Jersey and Noble streets.

Which was read the first time by its title, and, on motion, was read the second time.

Mr. Brown, introduced general ordinance No. 48, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE requiring the owners of certain described real estate in the City of Indianapolis, on which a pond of stagnant and noxious water exists, to fill and drain the same,

Which was read the first time by its title, and, on motion, was read the second time.

Mr. Brown moved that rules 19 and 24, of the Council be suspended and the ordinance read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being on the suspension of the rules, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—14. Noes, none.

So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—14. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Councilman Boaz asked and obtained leave of absence.

Mr. Coburn introduced special ordinance No. 40—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the bouldering of the alley running north and south through square No. 43,

Which was read the first time by its title, and, on motion, was read the second time.

Mr. Loomis introduced special ordinance No. 41—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling the first alley south of South street, running east and west through out-lot No. 94,

Which was read the first time by its title, and, on motion, was read the second time.

Mr. Loomis moved that Rules 19 and 24 be suspended and the ordinance read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being on the suspension of the rules, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Loomis introduced special ordinance No. 42—1866, entitle:
AN ORDINANCE to provide for the grading and graveling of Stevens street and sidewalks, between East street and Virginia Avenue,

Which was read the first time by its title, and, on motion, was read the second time.

Mr. Loomis moved that rules 19 and 24 be suspended, and the ordinance read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being on the suspension of the rules, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read a third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—43. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Loomis, introduced special ordinance No. 43—1866, entitled:
AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling South street and sidewalks, between Virginia Avenue and east side of Pennsylvania street,

Which was read the first time by its title, and, on motion, was read the second time.

Mr. Loomis moved that rules 19 and 24 be suspended and the ordinance read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being on the suspension of the rules, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Emerson, Glazier, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MrcArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—11.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Coburn and Grosvenor—2.

So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—12.

Councilmen Grosvenor voting in the negative—1.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Loomis introduced special ordinance No. 44—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the graveling of the sidewalk on the west side of East street, between Virginia Avenue and Louisiana street,

Which was read the first time by its title, and, on motion, was read the second time.

By Mr. Coburn, from the Borad of Public Improvements :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, April 11, 1866. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom the bids were referred, would respectfully report to your honorable body that they find the following persons, the lowest bidders, and recommend that the contracts be awarded them: Hanway & Kown, to grade and gravel Blake street, between the National Road and New York street; grading 28 cents, graveling \$1.29.

Ed. Woerner to grade and pave the north sidewalk of Vermont street, between Illinois and Tennessee streets, at 87 cents per superficial yard.

O'Conner & Dorsey, to grade and gravel Illinois street and sidewalks, between McCarty and Ray streets, grading at 39 cents, graveling at 89.

Cogill, Smock & Cogill, to grade and gravel Pratt street and sidewalks, between Delaware and Pennsylvania streets, grading at 43 cente, graveling at \$1.43.

Henry Myers, to grade and gravel North street and sidewalks, between Alabama and East streets, grading 40 cents, graveling \$1.55.

Henry Myers, to grade and gravel North street and sidewalks, between Noble and Winston streets, grading 50 cents, graveling \$1.65.

Coulter & White, to erect lamp-posts and fixtures on Market street, between Liberty and Noble streets, at \$44.45 per post.

HENRY COBURN, }
AD. SEIDENSTICKER, } *Board.*
J. A. GROSVENOR, }

Which, on motion, was concurred in, and the contract awarded as recommended.

By Mr. Coburn, from the Board of Public Improvements :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, April 11, 1866. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom the matter was referred, would respectfully report to your honorable body that the remonstrance of H. H. Rosebrock and others, against the bowldering of Virginia Avenue, we find that on the square between Noble and McCarty streets, out of 1,411 feet 805 feet remonstrate, and on the square between McCarty and Bradshaw streets, out of 1,088 feet 785 feet remonstrate. We leave it with the Council to decide whether the remonstrances shall be granted.

HENRY COBURN, }
 AD. SEIDENSTICKER, } *Board.*
 J. A. GROSVENOR, }

On motioned, consideration of the report was postponed for one week.

By Mr. Coburn, from the Board of Public Improvements :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, April 11, 1866. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom the matter was referred, would respectfully report to your honorable body that Mathias Kautman be not granted the privilege of grading and graveling his sidewalk on Massachusetts Avenue, as there is already an ordinance passed for its improvement.

H. COBURN, }
 AD. SEIDENSTICKER, } *Board.*
 J. A. GROSVENOR, }

Which, on motion, was concurred in.

By Mr. Coburn, from the Board of Public Improvements :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, April 11, 1866. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—Michael O'Conner, the lowest bidder on the grading and graveling of Vermont street, between Meridian and Alabama streets, contends that his bid was ordered to be withdrawn when it come into the hands of the Engineer; but the bid came to the Council against his knowledge and desire. The next bid being high, we would recommend that the City Auditor re-advertise the work again by the lineal foot.

HENRY COBURN, }
 AD. SEIDENSTICKER, } *Board.*
 J. A. GROSVENOR. }

Which, on motion, was concurred in.

By Mr. Brown, from Committee on Streets and Alleys .

INDIANAPOLIS, April 11, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of McKernan & Pierce and James M. Ray, beg leave to report that no proof

of publication of the notice required in matters of vacation has been filed in this case, and that, therefore, no further steps can be taken by the Council. The committee recommend the petition be laid on the table.

AUSTIN H. BROWN,

Chairman Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which, on motion, was concurred in.

By Mr. Brown, from Committee on Streets and Alleys:

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of J. F. Senour and Robert King, report that a remonstrance has been filed against granting the prayer of such petition, and, therefore, under the law in such cases, the Council is estopped from granting the prayer thereof.

AUSTIN H. BROWN,

Chairman Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which, on motion, was concurred in.

By Mr. Brown, from Committee on Streets and Alleys:

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of Henry Hahn, report that they have examined the same, and beg leave to recommend that the prayer of the petitioner be granted, and that the accompanying order of vacation be adopted, there being no objection to the proposed vacation.

AUSTIN H. BROWN,

Chairman Committee on Streets and Alleys.

ORDER OF VACATION—PETITION OF HENRY HAHN.

Comes now the petitioner and files proof of the publication in the Weekly Indiana State Sentinel, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Indianapolis (at the time of such publication), of the requisite notice of the pendency of his petition, for twenty days prior to the 13th day of November, 1865, which is in the words and figures as follows, to-wit:

STATE OF INDIANA, }
MARION COUNTY. } ss:

Personally appeared before the undersigned John R. Elder, one of the publishers of the Indiana State Sentinel a public weekly newspaper, of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Indianapolis, in the County aforesaid, who being duly sworn, upon his oath saith, that the notice of which the attached is a true copy, was duly published in said paper for three weeks successively, the first of which publication was on the 16th day of October, 1865, and the last on the 30th day of October, 1865.

JOHN R. ELDER.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 7th day of April, 1866.

F. CUNNINGHAM, *Notary Public.*

VACATION OF ALLEY.—Notice is hereby given that the undersigned has applied to the Common Council of Indianapolis, for the vacation of the alley running east and west between lots 18 and 19, in out-lot 85, in the City of Indianapolis. Said application will be called up for action in the regular ses-

sion of the Council, on the 13th day of November, 1865, or any subsequent meeting.

HENRY HAHN.

And, also, proof that copies of the same notice had been posted up in three public places in the vicinity of the alley proposed to be vacated, twenty days prior to the 13th of November, 1865, which is in the words as follows, to-wit:

NOTICE.—VACATION OF ALLEY.

Notice is hereby given that the undersigned has applied to the Common Council of Indianapolis for the vacation of the alley running east and west between lots 18 and 19, in out-lot 85, in the City of Indianapolis.

Said application will be called up for action in the regular session of the Council on the 13th day of November, 1865, or any subsequent meeting.

HENRY HAHN.

STATE OF INDIANA, }
MARION COUNTY, } ss.

Lorenz Schmidt, upon his oath, says, that he posted copies of the above notice on the 16th day of October, A. D. 1865, in three public places in the City of Indianapolis, in the vicinity of the alley proposed to be vacated

In witness whereof he has hereunto set his hand and seal this 9th of April, A. D. 1866.

LORENZ SCHMIDT.

Subscribed and sworn to before the undersigned, a Notary Public, this 9th day of April, 1866.

ADOLPH SEIDENSTICKER, *Notary Public.*

And, also, the written consent of the owner of the property adjoining the alley proposed to be vacated, to the vacation of the same, which is in the words and figures as follows, to-wit:

This is to certify that the undersigned, being the owner, in fee simple, of lots Nos. 18 and 19, in out-lot 85, of the City of Indianapolis, does fully consent to the vacation of the alley running between said lots, in said out-lot.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 9th day of April, A. D. 1866.

HENRY HAHN.

STATE OF INDIANA, }
MARION COUNTY, } ss.

Before the undersigned, a Justice of the Peace in and for said county and State, personally appeared on this 9th day of April, A. D. 1866, Henry Hahn, who acknowledged the execution of the above instrument as his voluntary act and deed. Witness my hand and official seal this 9th day of April, 1866.

CHARLES COULON, *Justice of the Peace.*

And there being no remonstrance or objection, of which the Common Council has any knowledge, to the vacation of the alley mentioned in said petition, and the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis being fully advised in the premises, do hereby order and direct that the following described alley be vacated: the alley running east and west between lots eighteen (18) and nineteen (19), in out-lot eighty-five (85), of the City of Indianapolis.

On motion, the report of the Committee on Streets and Alleys was concurred in, and the order of vacation adopted.

By Mr. Emerson, from Committee on Public Buildings :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—Your Committee on Public Buildings beg leave to report that they have examined the Pest House Grounds owned by the City of Indianapolis, and find them about two-thirds fenced with a good, substantial board fence. Your committee think that it would require about twelve hundred (1200) feet of new fence, at a cost of about three hundred dollars; and your committee would recommend that said grounds should be fenced as soon as possible, and would also recommend that the City Auditor proceed at once to advertise for proposals to fence said grounds with a good board fence, to correspond with the fence already built around said grounds.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

R. B. EMERSON, }
WM. BOAZ, } *Committee.*
J. STAUB, }

Which, on motion, was concurred in.

Mr. Brown called up general ordinance No. 47, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE requiring the owners of a certain described parcel of ground situated within the corporate limits of the City of Indianapolis, upon which water has accumulated, and become stagnant and noxious, to drain the same, at the expense of the owners.

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub, and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Dr. Jameson called up special ordinance No. 27—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling the alley running north and south through square No. 22, and between New York and Vermont streets,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Kappes called up special ordinance No. 26—1866 entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for furnishing and erecting lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures, on Alabama street, between New York and North streets,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Stoub, and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Dr. Jameson called up special ordinance No. 28—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling Liberty street and sidewalks, between North street and Massachusetts Avenue,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. MacArthur called up special ordinance No. 34—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling North street and sidewalks, between Blackford and Minerva streets.

Which was read a third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Emerson called up special ordinance No. 5—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the grading and graveling of New York street from West street to Blake street; also, the sidewalk on the north side of said street, from the west side of the first alley west of Bright street to Blake street; also, the sidewalk on the south side of said street, from Blake street, to the north-west corner of the old Fair Ground,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson Kappes, Loomis, Schmidt and Staub—9.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, MacArthur and Thompson—4

So the ordinance did not pass, there not being a two-thirds vote of all the members elect.

Dr. Thompson called up special ordinance No. 16—1866, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the grading and bowldering of the alley running east and west through square No 55,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Coburn called up special ordinance No. 24—1866, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the curbing with Flat Rock or North Vernon stone, the west and south sidewalk on the east Market Square, and the north sidewalk of Court House Square, and paving the gutters with bowlder stone,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Emerson called up special ordinance No. 25—1866, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the grading and paving of the west sidewalk on Tennessee street, between Market and Washington streets,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schimdt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

On motion of Dr. Jameson, special ordinance No. 3—1866, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and bowldering New Jersey street and curbing the sidewalks thereof with White or Burr Oak plank, between Massachusetts Avenue and Fort Wayne Avenue,

Was taken up and stricken from the files.

Mr. Glazier called up special ordinance No 31—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling Merrill street and sidewalks, between Pennsylvania and East streets,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. MacArthur called up special ordinance No. 35—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling North street and sidewalks, between Mississippi and Missouri streets,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Dr. Thompson called up special ordinance No. 36—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the grading and graveling of Walnut street and sidewalks, between Tennessee and Meridian streets,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Allen called up special ordinance No. 38—1866, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the bowldering and curbing of the gutters on both sides of Noble street, from the first alley south of Meek street to the Indiana Central Railway track,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson,

Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Glazier called up special ordinance No. 82—1865, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling the continuation of Duncan street, running east and west through out-lots 19 and 22,

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Allen, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—13. Noes, none.

So the ordinance passed.

On motion of Mr. Emerson, special ordinance No. 87—1865, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the grading and graveling of Blake street and sidewalks, between the National Road and the north side of New York street,

Was taken up and stricken from the files.

On motion of Mr. Emerson, special ordinance No. 88—1865, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the grading and graveling of New York street from west to Blake streets, and the north sidewalk from the east side of the first alley west of Bright street to Blake street, also, the sidewalk upon the south side of said street, from Blake street to the north west corner of Military Grounds,

Was taken up and stricken from the files.

On motion of Mr. Emerson special ordinance No. 22—1866, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and paving the sidewalk on the west side of Mississippi street, between Washington street and Indiana Avenue,

Was taken up and stricken from the files.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Mr. Grosvenor moved—

That the City Marshal be instructed to notify the person having the contract for the removal and burying of dead animals upon the city lot, that he must bury all animals at once upon their being hauled to the said lot, and also that the person having in operation upon said lot a rendering apparatus, be required to remove the same at once.

Which motion was adopted.

Dr. Thompson moved—

That J. W. Davis, E. T. Sinker and S. T. Bowen, be permitted to grade and gravel the south side of the alley running through square No. 14, being 200 feet; the same to be done under the direction of the Civil Engineer, and the cost of the same be deducted from any future estimate for the improvement of said alley.

Which motion was adopted.

Mr. Glazier moved—

That the street Commissioner be instructed to advertise for proposals for furnishing lumber for bridges and repairs on bridges.

Which motion was adopted.

By Mr. Grosvenor—Petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1866.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned would represent to your honorable body that he is the sole owner of the south half of out-block 127; that prior to his purchase of said out-lot, the former owners sub-divided it by laying out numerous alleys through the same. The undersigned, therefore, with the view of sub-dividing it differently, asks your honorable body to vacate the said alleys in said south part of said out-lot.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. SHEETS,

Which, on motion, was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

On motion of Mr. Brown, the Council adjourned.

Present at roll-call on adjournment: Councilmen Allen, Boaz, Brown, Coburn, Emerson, Glazier, Grosvenor, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Staub and Thompson—14.

JOHN CAVEN, *Mayor.*

ATTEST :

C. S. BUTTERFIELD, *City Clerk.*