

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE }
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, }
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 23D, 1868, 7 O'CLOCK, P. M. }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, Daniel Macauley, in the chair,
and the following members :

Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker and Woodburn—14.

Absent—Councilmen Burgess, Colley, Schmidt and Stanton—4.

The proceedings of the adjourned session, held Nov. 20th, 1868, were read and approved.

REGULAR ORDER OF BUSINESS.

Mr. Foster presented the following communication :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 23, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—When I paid the city tax, last March, on lot No. 3, and west half of lot No. 2, in Square No. 74, there was assessed, with the tax on the real estate, a certain amount of personal property in the name of Emerine Mothershead. Mrs. Mothershead died in the early part of 1866.

I paid the tax assessed on her personal property, with the understanding that the amount would be refunded, if the assessment was found to be erroneous.

I think the Council will readily perceive the absurdity of levying a tax on personal property of a person not in existence, and the injustice of requiring

any one to pay it. I hope that the Council will order the sum paid by me to be refunded. And your petitioner will ever pray, &c.

L. B. WILSON.

Which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. Goddard offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner is hereby instructed to cut a ditch from the south end of Maple street to the Canal, to carry off the water, as during heavy rains the private property in the neighborhood is inundated, and will be damaged by the overflow.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Henschen presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 18, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—I, the undersigned, did take out a license for the sale of patented articles, through a misapprehension, July 25th, 1868. I have been informed that a license is not required, as I had every article manufactured within your city limits. I therefore pray that your honorable body pass an ordinance refunding the amount of said license, it being sixteen (16) dollars, including issue fee.

SAMUEL LOVE.

Which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. Henschen introduced special ordinance No. 110—1868, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading the first alley south of Stevens street, running east and west between Water and Greer streets.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Loomis offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be directed to build a wooden crossing over Virginia Avenue gutter, at the intersection of Stevens street.

Which was adopted.

Mr. MacArthur offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be instructed to construct a bridge across the gutter at the intersection of the first alley east of the L. and I R. R., on North street, the same being absolutely necessary for travel for wagons.

Which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

Mr. MacArthur presented a petition from property holders on Maple street showing that said street had been graded and graveled according to contract.

Which was referred to the Civil Engineer and the Councilmen from the Fifth Ward.

Mr. MacArthur offered the following motion :

That the City Marshal be authorized to have the numbers of California street properly adjusted, so that no mistakes will hereafter be made.

Which was referred to the City Clerk.

Mr. MacArthur offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be instructed to place a culvert at the crossing of the west side of Blackford street, at the intersection of Michigan st.

Which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

Dr. Jameson introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 53—1868, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the purpose of repairing streets and bridges.

Which was read the first time.

Dr. Woodburn offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be instructed to properly repair the gutter on Illinois street in front of the Bates House, under the direction of the Civil Engineer.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Dr. Woodburn presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 23, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—We, the undersigned, resident tax payers of the City of Indianapolis, most respectfully petition your honorable body to not abandon the experiment of sinking a flowing well at the corner of Vermont and Meridian streets, but to make such appropriations as will sink the tubing of said well to a reasonable depth to ascertain the facts as to whether water can be procured in this way. We would further suggest that the work be let by contract.

Charles Richmann,
Deloss Root,
S. A. Flether, Jr.,

H. Stacey,
C. B. Robinson,
And 18 others.

Which was referred to the Committee on Fire Department.

Mr. Cottrell presented the following :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 19, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The attached certificate of Samuel Lefever I have examined, and find the description imperfect. The property which I suppose is meant has been paid upon.

WM. HADLEY, *City Assessor.*

Which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

His Honor, the Mayor, offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be authorized to contract for the sale of sand and gravel from the gravel bed owned by the City in the neighborhood of the Pest House.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion :

That a fence be placed around the ground owned by the City, now used for the burial of dead animals, the same being reported as necessary for health and the proper disposition of the offal accumulating there.

Which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

Scaled proposals for public work were opened and read, and referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

REPORTS FROM BOARDS.

Mr. Davis, from the Board of Public Improvements, made the following report :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, Nov. 23, 1868. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom was referred the petition of Benjamin Pottage, asking to be relieved from water flowed on to his premises by the building of a culvert diagonally across Market st., would recommend that the Street Commissioner be directed to place a sufficient amount of gravel on the south sidewalk of Market street, between Mississippi and Missouri streets, to prevent the water flowing over said sidewalk on to private property.

Respectfully,

J. W. DAVIS, }
SAM'L GODDARD, } *Board.*

Attest:

D. M. RANDELL, Clerk.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, Nov. 16, 1868. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom was referred the several bids, would respectfully report :

1st. That for the grading, paving and curbing of the north sidewalk of Vermont street, between Delaware and Alabama streets, Samuel Lefever is the lowest bidder, his bid being for paving 75 cents per foot, and for curbing 22 cents per foot.

2d. That for the grading and paving of the west sidewalk of Delaware street, between Ohio and St. Clair streets, the bids of Samuel Lefever and R.

P. Dunning are equal, both being 75 cents per lineal foot. We respectfully refer the matter to your honorable body for final action.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

J. W. DAVIS,
SAMUEL GODDARD, } *Board.*

Attest:

D. M. RANSDALL, Clerk.

The first paragraph was concurred in.

Mr. Brown moved that the contract for paving sidewalk of Delaware street be awarded to R. P. Dunning.

Mr. Loomis moved to amend by awarding to Samuel Lefever, and called for the ayes and noes.

The question being on awarding to Samuel Lefever, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Coburn, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Loomis and MacArthur—6.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Kappes, Seidensticker and Woodburn—8.

So the motion was lost.

Mr. Brown amended his original motion by requiring the work to be done in 60 days.

The question being on awarding the contract to R. P. Dunning, provided the same be done within 60 days, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel Goddard, Jameson, Kappes, Seidensticker and Woodburn—11.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Henschen, Loomis and MacArthur—3.

So the motion was adopted.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES.

Mr. Coburn, from the Committee on Claims, introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 54—1868, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time.

Dr. Jameson, from the Finance Committee, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, NOV. 16, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The Committee on Finance, to whom was referred the communication of the Street Commissioner informing the Council that the appropriation for street repairs was exhausted, have had that matter under consideration, and beg leave to inform your honorable body that the estimates for the current year have already been exceeded.

Your Committee, therefore, recommend that all further street and bridge repairs be suspended, except the mending the holes in bridges and culverts and such other work as shall be authorized by ordinance, until the first of April, and that for the above named repairs and bills of the Street Commissioner remaining unpaid the sum of two thousand dollars be appropriated, and that the City Clerk be directed to report an appropriation ordinance.

Very respectfully,

P. H. JAMESON, }
C. F. SCHMIDT, } *Committee.*

The question being on concurring in the report,

Mr. Geisel called for the ayes and noes.

Those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Goddard, Jameson, Kappes, MacArthur, Seidensticker and Woodburn—11.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Geisel, Henschen and Loomis—3.

So the report was concurred in.

Mr. Brown, from the Committee on Streets and Alleys, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, NOV. 20, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of S. A. Fletcher and others, beg leave to report the following resolution, which they recommend be adopted as a necessary preliminary step towards opening the street referred to in such petition.

AUSTIN H. BROWN, }
HENRY COBURN, } *Committee.*
J. W. DAVIS, }

Which was received.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the City Clerk give the required notice to the Commissioners to assess damages and benefits arising in cases of opening streets and alleys, that they will be required to meet, on a day to be named in such notice, for the purpose of making an appraisement of the damages and benefits that may arise by the opening and extending West Georgia street sixty (60) feet wide through the property of William Sheets, being a strip of ground

running north and south eighty (80) feet and six (6) inches wide, and being portions of out-lots Nos. 137 and 138, and to make report thereon to the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis; and that the Clerk give the requisite legal notice of such meeting for the purpose aforesaid to each of the owners or agents thereof of lots or lands upon or through which the proposed extension of West Georgia street is petitioned to be made by S. A. Fletener and others.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker and Woodburn—14.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the resolution passed.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 20, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of James C. Ferguson, report that the Council, at its last meeting, took all the action necessary to secure the removal of obstructions from Helen street.

AUSTIN H. BROWN, }
 J. W. DAVIS, } *Committee.*
 HENRY COBURN, }

Which was received.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The Civil Engineer made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 23, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

I hereby report the following work finished according to contract :

David Sylvester, for grading and graveling the center alley running north and south, through Square 41, from Market to Ohio streets :

Length on east side,	-	-	-	-	-	420 ft.
Length on west side,	-	-	-	-	-	420 ft.
Total length,	-	-	-	-	-	840 ft.
At nineteen cents per lineal foot,	-	-	-	-	-	\$159 60

Respectfully, R. M. PATTERSON, *Civil Engineer.*

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 16, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

I hereby report the following work finished according to contract :

Cogill & Huffington, for grading and graveling Dougherty street and side-walks, between East and Wright streets :

Length on north side, - - - - -	1207 ft.
Length on south side, - - - - -	1207 ft.
Total length, - - - - -	2414 ft.
At fifty-one cents per lineal foot, - - - - -	\$1,231 14

Also, Samuel W. Patterson, for grading and graveling Fayette street and sidewalks, between North and St. Clair streets:

Length on east side, - - - - -	911 ft. 6 in.
Length on west side, - - - - -	910 ft. 6 in.
Total length, - - - - -	1822 ft.
At fifty-three cents per lineal foot, - - - - -	\$965 66

Also, James Stewart, for grading and graveling Arch street and sidewalks, between Broadway and Jackson streets:

Length on north side, - - - - -	295 ft. 7½ in.
Length on south side, - - - - -	295 ft. 7½ in.
Total length, - - - - -	591 ft. 3 in.
At fifty-nine and a half cents per lineal foot, - - - - -	\$351 78

Also, Henry Helm, for grading and graveling the alley running north and south through Square No. 96, and for building stone wall on the east side of same:

Length on east side, - - - - -	215 ft.
Length on west side, - - - - -	215 ft.
Total length, - - - - -	430 ft.

At fifty-one and a half cents per lineal foot, - - - - - \$221 45

313½ cubic yards of masonry at six dollars and ten cents per cubic yard, - - - - - 1,912 35

Ten cubic yards of protection stone, at four dollars per cubic yard, 40 00

2989 feet of foundation timber at \$2.50 per 100 feet, - - - - - 74 72

Total estimate, - - - - - \$2,248 52

Respectfully, R. M. PATTERSON, *Civil Engineer.*

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 20, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Upon examination I find that from twenty to twenty-five feet of the west end of the culvert under the Lafayette Railway's track, on the south side of Market street, is in a bad condition. It is rotten and fallen in so that it has only about half of its original capacity, and is not large enough to pass the water during a heavy flood.

The sidewalk between Mississippi street and the Canal, on the south side of Market street is too low, and should be raised to prevent the water from running over and inundating private property. To which I respectfully call the attention of your honorable body.

Respectfully, R. M. PATTERSON, *Civil Engineer.*

Which was concurred in.

The Chief Fire Engineer made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 23, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN.—I herewith most respectfully report the cost of the Artesian Well :

Amount paid to J. & W. C. Burk for coal	\$7 71
To Marsee & Son for lumber	16 65
To Bunte & Dickson for lumber	26 25
To J. H. Vajen & Co. for nails, chain, &c.	7 81
To Sinker & Co., for tubing, work on machinery and tools, and two weeks' rent for engine	378 30
To George Zimmerman for drayage	1 00
To J. H. Vajen & Co., for rope and bolts	3 40
To Kunrad Wesling for drayage	1 70
To J. S. Long for lumber	3 99
To Marsee & Son for lumear	9 80
To Charles Richmann for sundry articles, as per bill	7 06
To Sinker & Co., for tubing and sundry articles	140 70
To Sinker & Co., for 4 weeks' rent of engine	80 00
To Clemens Vonnegut for rope	6 98
To McCord & Wheatley for lumber	5 90
To J. H. Vajeu & Co., for rope	2 60
To J. & W. C. Burk for coal	12 50
To John J. Gates for bands and hooks	8 00
Bills not yet paid—To Marsee & Son for lumber for ram	13 36
To Sinker & Co., for tubing and other material	85 93
To Sinker & Co., for 5 weeks' rent of engine	100 00
Due Charles Richmann for sundry articles	2 05
Amount paid—To R. S. Keno for 62½ days at \$3 00 per day	187 50
To W. H. Hildebrand for 42½ days at \$2.25 per day	95 05
To Thomas Barnett for 38¼ days at \$2.25 per day	85 99
To Cicero Seibert for 26 days at 75 cents per day	19 50
To A. McCullough for 6½ days at \$2.25 per day	14 00
To W. Blunk for 7½ days at 50 cents per day	3 75
Total amount paid and unpaid	\$1,327 48
Amount appropriated	1,000 00

Appropriation necessary to pay off the laborers

\$327 48

I would ask that you will make necessary appropriation for said amount.

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES RICHMANN, *Chief Fire Engineer.*

I would state that Messrs. Sinker & Co. have not charged any rent for engine while said engine has been standing idle, and that the amount paid for rent on engine and drilling tools for eleven weeks, at \$20 per week, is \$220.00.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 23, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—I herewith submit a statement of the expenses of the Fire Department for the quarter ending November 23d, 1868 :

Amount paid for salaries	\$3,323 74
Amount paid for other expenses	2,159 97
Total	\$5,483 71
Total expense from May 18th, 1868, to November 23d, 1868	\$12,980 61

Respectfully submitted,

CHAS. RICHMANN, *Chief Fire Engineer.*

Which was received.

The Street Commissioner made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 23, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common of Council of the City Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—Agreeable to your instructions, I have commenced the raising of the Citizens' Street Railway Company's track on Virginia Avenue, between South street and the south-east Corporation line, and find that there will be deficit in gravel to fill in between the tracks before the cars on the said Railroad can be run. I respectfully ask your direction in the premises. It will require about 500 yards, and I would recommend coarse river or creek gravel, instead of river screened gravel.

Respectfully submitted,

AUGUST RICHTER, *Street Commissioner.*

Which was received.

Mr. Loomis offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be directed to complete the Virginia Avenue grade by filling between the rails, so as to conform to the grade of the street on either side of the track.

Which was lost.

Dr. Jameson offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner in raising the Street Railroad track be directed to raise the same no higher than to a point at which no additional filling with gravel will be required.

Which was adopted.

The Street Commissioner made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, Nov. 16, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—I most respectfully report the following work finished according to contract :

Samuel Hanway, for leveling and re-graveling Virginia Avenue, between the center of Stevens street and the Corporation line, with screened river gravel, two thousand seven hundred and ninety-four (2,794) cubic yards, at one dollar and thirty-six cents (\$1.36) per cubic yard, amounting to three thousand seven hundred and ninety-nine dollars and eighty-four cts. (3,799-84.)

Respectfully submitted,

AUGUST RICHTER, *Street Commissioner.*

The question being on concurring in the report,

Mr. Seidensticker called for the ayes and noes.

Those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Foster, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson and Loomis—8.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Davis and Seidensticker—2.

So the report was concurred in.

The City Clerk made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, NOV. 16, 1868.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The City Clerk respectfully reports to the Common Council the following Contracts and Estimates:

1st. Contract and bond of William Kown for repairing South street, between Delaware and Pennsylvania streets, is presented for your approval.

2d. First and final estimate allowed Samuel W. Patterson for grading and graveling Fayette street and sidewalks, between North and St. Clair streets, is also presented for your approval.

3d. First and final estimate allowed James Stewart for grading and graveling Arch street and sidewalks, between Broadway and Jackson streets, is also presented for your approval.

4th. First and final estimate allowed Samuel Hanway for leveling and re-graveling Virginia Avenue from the center of Stevens street to the Corporation line east, is also presented for your approval.

5th. First and final estimate allowed David Sylvester for grading and graveling the center alley running north and south, through Square 41, is also presented for your approval.

6th. First and final estimate allowed Henry Helm for grading and graveling the alley running north and south through Square 96, and for building stone wall on east side of same, is also presented for your approval.

7th. First and final estimate allowed Cogill & Huffington for grading and graveling Daugherty street and sidewalks, between East and Wright streets, is also presented for your approval.

Respectfully submitted,

DAN. M. RANDELL, City Clerk.

Per JOHN G. WATERS, Deputy.

Which was received, and the contract and bond approved.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed Samuel Hanway for leveling and re-graveling Virginia Avenue from the center of Stevens street to the Corporation line east, be, and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Foster, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson and Loomis—8.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Davis and Seidensticker—2.

So the resolution passed.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed James Stewart for grading and graveling Arch street and sidewalks, between Broadway and Jack-

son streets, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur and Seidensticker—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the resolution passed.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed Samuel W. Patterson for grading and graveling Fayette street and sidewalks, between North and St. Clair streets, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur and Seidensticker—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the resolution passed.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed David Sylvester for grading and graveling the center alley running north and south, through Square No. 41, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur and Seidensticker—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the resolution passed.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed Henry Helm for grading and graveling the alley running north and south through Square 96, and building stone wall on the east side of same, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur and Seidensticker—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the resolution passed.

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed William Cogill and M. A. Huffington for grading and graveling Daugherty street and side walks, between East and Wright streets, be, and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur and Seidensticker—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the resolution passed.

The Superintendent of City Hospital submitted the following :

RECAPITULATION OF THE WEEKLY REPORT OF CONTENTS OF REGISTER OF PATIENTS OF CITY HOSPITAL, ENDING OCTOBER 31, 1868.

Number of patients in the Hospital at last report.....	20
Number of patients received in the Hospital since last report.....	2
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report	0
Number of patients discharged from Hospital since last report.....	4
Number of patients died in the Hospital since last report.....	1
Number of patients remaining in the Hospital at present.....	19

Also the following :

RECAPITULATION OF THE WEEKLY REPORT OF CONTENTS OF REGISTER OF PATIENTS OF CITY HOSPITAL, ENDING NOVEMBER 7, 1868.

Number of patients in Hospital at last report.....	19
Number of patients received in Hospital since last report	5
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report	0
Number of patients discharged from Hospital since last report.....	2
Number of patients died in Hospital since last report.....	1
Number of patients remaining in Hospital at present report	21

Also, the following :

RECAPITULATION OF THE WEEKLY REPORT OF CONTENTS OF REGISTER OF PATIENTS OF CITY HOSPITAL, ENDING NOVEMBER 14, 1868

Number of patients in Hospital at last report.....	21
Number of patients received in Hospital since last report.....	5
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report.....	1
Number of patients discharged from Hospital since last report.....	3
Number of patients died in Hospital since last report.....	1
Number of patients remaining in Hospital at present report.....	23

Also, the following :

RECAPITULATION OF THE WEEKLY REPORT OF CONTENTS OF REGISTER OF PATIENTS OF CITY HOSPITAL, ENDING NOVEMBER 21, 1868.

Number of patients in Hospital at last report.....	23
Number of patients received in Hospital since last report.....	5
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report.....	0
Number of patients discharged from Hospital since last report.....	8
Number of patients died in Hospital since last report.....	0
Number of patients remaining in Hospital at present report.....	20

Which were received.

The City Clerk made the following report :

OFFICE OF CITY CLERK, }
Indianapolis, Nov. 16, 1868. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN :—The City Clerk respectfully reports to the Council that there are on file in his office the following affidavits for the collection of street assessment by precept, as follows :

James Mahoney vs. Luther R. Martin, for \$31.80.

James Mahoney vs. Peter V. Bailey, for \$31.80.

James Mahoney vs. Ellen McElvaine, for \$25.44.

Richard Carr vs. John B. Stumph, for \$9.77.

Richard Carr vs. Max Stadler, for \$9.77.

Richard Carr vs. Francis Donnelley, for \$20.72.

Michael Shea vs. Susanna Wysong, for \$7.04.

Hiram Seibert vs. Wilson and Greenlee, for \$33 60.

Hiram Seibert vs. John R. Robinson, for \$16.80.

And would respectfully recommend that you order the precepts to issue.

Respectfully,

D. M. RANDELL, *City Clerk.*

Which was concurred in.

By consent, Mr. Seidensticker offered the following motion :

That the City Attorney be instructed to prepare a form of an affidavit for a Precept, containing the averment that demand of payment has been made, or could not be made on account of non-residence.

Which was adopted.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

Dr. Jameson called up special appropriation ordinance No. 53—1868, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the repairs of streets and bridges.

Which was read the second time and ordered to be engrossed.

Mr. Coburn called up special appropriation ordinance No. 54—1868, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the second time and ordered to be engrossed.

Dr. Jameson moved that the rules be suspended and that the above entitled ordinances be placed upon their passage.

The question being on a suspension of the rules, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur and Seidensticker—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the rules were suspended, and special appropriation ordinance No. 53—1868, was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis and Seidensticker—9.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Geisel, Goddard, Henschen and MacArthur—4.

So the ordinance passed.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 54—1868, was then read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur and Seidensticker—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the ordinance passed.

On motion, the Council adjourned.

DANIEL MACAULEY, *Mayor*.

ATTEST:

D. M. RANDELL, *City Clerk*.