

PROCEEDINGS OF BOARD OF ALDERMEN.

REGULAR SESSION—JULY 10, 1882.

The Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, met in the Aldermanic Chamber, Monday evening, July 10th, A. D. 1882, at eight o'clock, in regular session.

PRESENT—Hon. James T. Layman, President of the Board of Aldermen, in the Chair, and Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman Rorison, Seibert Tucker, and Wood—10.

ABSENT—None.

The Proceedings of the Board of Aldermen for the regular sessions, held June 12th, 1882, and June 26th, 1882, having been printed, and placed on the desks of the Aldermen, said Journals were approved as published.

The following special message was read:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—At a meeting of the Common Council, held in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, June 19th, 1882, the following proposition was accepted and motion adopted, and the accompanying resolution adopted (see page 87, *ante*):

Indianapolis, Ind., June 5th, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I desire to purchase 17 feet off the west end of the lot owned by the city in Square 36, being part of the City Dispensary lot. For the 17 feet I will pay \$1,700 in cash, which is the appraised value as made by Wm. Hadley, and others.

JAMES M. TOMLINSON.

Moved, That the foregoing proposition of J. M. Tomlinson be accepted, and that the Mayor and City Treasurer be authorized and instructed to execute a deed, subject to the existing lease.

Indianapolis, Ind., June 5th, 1882.

Resolved, That 42 feet off of the east side of the real estate belonging to the city in Square 36, be held by the city for the use of the City Dispensary, and that the appraised value thereof, viz: \$4,200, be placed to the credit of the City Hall fund, to be applied to the erection of City Hall and Market House, at such time as the Common Council and Board of Aldermen may decide to build.

I submit the same for your consideration.

For the Common Council:

JOSEPH T. MAGNEE, City Clerk.

On motion, the action of the Common Council in accepting the above proposition and adopting the motion, was concurred in, and the resolution concurrently adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance (passed by the Common Council) was read the first time:

G. O. 52, 1882.—An ordinance granting the right to maintain and exhibit a Mechanical Clock in the city of Indianapolis.

The following message was read and received:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith transmit to your honorable body certain papers, favorably passed upon by the Common Council, at its adjourned session, held on Monday evening, June 26th, 1882, for your action upon the same.

For the Common Council:

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

The following report from the City Civil Engineer was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see pages 97, *ante*), was concurred in:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith report the contract and bond of H. C. Roney for building one 2,000-barrel cistern at or near the corner of Deloss and Reid streets. Bond, \$1,100; surety, Fred Gansberg.

Respectfully submitted,

S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer.

The report of the City Attorney, showing the status of several cases (see page 99, *ante*), was read and received.

A communication from the Indianapolis Trades Assembly, protesting against using the fund known as the "Tomlinson Estate Fund," other than for the erection of a Market House and City Hall (see page 91, *ante*), was read and received.

The following motion (adopted by the Common Council—see page 99, *ante*) was read:

That the privilege be granted, and the same is hereby granted, to Amelia B. Mansur and others to lay a line of eight-inch sewer pipe in and along Hudson street, from a point about one hundred feet north of Ohio street, to and connecting with the Ohio street sewer, said work to be done under the supervision of the City Civil Engineer.

Alderman Tucker moved that the above motion be so amended that the sewer be constructed with the privilege that it may be tapped at any time; which amendment was adopted.

The motion, as amended, was then adopted.

The following motions (adopted by the Common Council—see pages 100, 104 and 112, *ante*) were read, and concurrently adopted :

Whereas, By the opening, and moving the buildings, out of Cruse street, and by leaving the wells and cisterns and vaults open, thereby endangering the lives of citizens, and as said wells, cisterns and vaults are yet open, therefore,

Moved, That the Street Commissioner be directed to immediately and forthwith fill said wells, cisterns and vaults.

That Mrs. John D. Howland be allowed to place down a brick sidewalk in front of her property, No. 630 north Mississippi street, said work to be done under the direction of the City Civil Engineer, and at her own expense.

That the City Civil Engineer be directed to readvertise for bowldering Ohio street, between Meridian and Illinois streets, Robert H. Patterson having failed to file his bond for doing said work.

That the City Marshal cause the sprinkling plug, bill boards and telegraph post on the city's ground near the corner of Alabama and St. Clair streets, to be removed therefrom.

The report of the Board of Public Improvements (see pages 113, 114, 115, 116, and 117, *ante*) on sundry motions, was presented.

Alderman Tucker moved to refer the report to the Committee on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage, without reading.

Alderman Hamilton moved to amend the motion by adding the Finance Committee.

Alderman Tucker moved to amend Alderman Hamilton's motion by referring the report to the Board as a committee of the whole, with instructions, that Alderman Seibert act as chairman; which failed of adoption.

Alderman Hamilton's amendment then failed of adoption.

The report was then referred to the Committee on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage.

The following petition was read, and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 117, *ante*) was concurred in :

To the Board of Public Improvements :

Gentlemen :—I desire an extension of five days time for the completion of my contract for paving sidewalk on south Meridian street. Also, an extension of ten

days for completion of contract for paving sidewalk on North street, on account of rainy weather and inability to get the material in time. J. L. SPAULDING.

Sworn to this 26th day of June, 1882.

[Seal.]

GEO. T. BREUNIG, Notary Public.

We, the undersigned members of the Board, recommend that the above time be extended as requested.

Edward H. Dean,

Wm. H. Morrison,

John R. Cowie,

Board of Public Improvements.

The following entitled ordinances (passed by the Common Council) were severally read the first time:

G. O. 13, 1882—An Ordinance requiring a flagman to be stationed at the intersection of the Jeffersonville, Madison & Indianapolis Railroad Company's tracks with Madison avenue, between Lincoln and Minnesota streets.

G. O. 56, 1882—An ordinance granting the right to maintain and exhibit a Mechanical Wonder in the city of Indianapolis.

The following message was read and received:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith transmit to your honorable body certain papers, favorably passed upon by the Common Council, at its regular session, held on Monday evening, July 3d, 1882, for your action upon the same.

For the Common Council:

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

The following report from the City Civil Engineer was read, and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 139, *ante*) was concurred in:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith report the following estimates of work done according to contract:

A first and final estimate in behalf of Henry C. Roney, for grading and paving with brick, where not already paved, the west sidewalk of Pennsylvania street, from Seventh street to Eighth or Williams street.

687.20 lineal feet, at 49 cents.....\$336 72

A first and final estimate in behalf of Aneshaensel and Strong, for erecting two lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures complete to burn gas, except service pipes, on Merrill street, between Delaware and Pennsylvania streets.

855 lineal feet, at 5 cents, or \$21.25 per lamp-post.....\$42 50

A first and final estimate in behalf of Aneshaensel and Strong, for erecting nine lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures complete to burn gas, except service pipes, on California street, between Indiana avenue and First street.

2,840.75 lineal feet, at 5 1-47 cents, or \$19 per lamp-post.....\$171 00

Respectfully submitted,

S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer.

The following estimate resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 140, *ante*) was read:

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of Henry C. Roney, for grading and paving with brick (where not already paved), the west sidewalk of Pennsylvania street, from Seventh street to Eighth, or Williams, street, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS, 1—viz. Alderman Tucker.

The following estimate resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 140, *ante*) was read :

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of Aneshaensel and Strong, for erecting lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures complete to burn gas, except service pipes, on Merrill street, between Delaware and Pennsylvania streets, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS, 1—viz. Alderman Tucker.

The following estimate resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 140, *ante*), was read :

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of Aneshaensel and Strong, for erecting lamp-posts, lamps, and fixtures complete to burn gas, except service pipes, on California street, between Indiana avenue and First street, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS, 1—viz. Alderman Tucker.

The following report from the City Civil Engineer (see pages 140 and 141, *ante*), was read and received:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—I herewith report that I have collected as fees the following amounts :

From E. B. Martindale, for improving Bates and Lynn streets, east of corporate limits	\$25 00
From Fred. A. Meyer, for verifying survey of lots 43 and 44, C. & C. R. R. Co.'s addition.....	5 00
Total.....	\$30 00

Which amount I have paid into the city treasury, and filed the City Treasurer's receipt therefor with the City Clerk.

Respectfully submitted,

S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer.

The following report from the City Civil Engineer was read.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—I herewith submit the names of James E. Twiname and J. H. Forrest, as my assistants, to superintend the repaving of North Meridian street.

I further report that the contractor for the north end of said street began work June 28th, and there being great need of an inspector, and as it was impossible for me to remain at the work constantly, I therefore appointed James E. Twiname, with the provision, however, that my appointment should be subject to your approval.

I most respectfully ask your concurrence in my action.

Respectfully submitted,

S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer.

Since writing the above, a petition, signed by a number of good citizens, for the appointment of John G. Pendergast, was placed in my hands, it having been received by me after I had made the selection of the above parties. I submit the same to you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer.

Alderman Rorison moved to amend the report, by substituting the name of John G. Pendergast for J. H. Forrest.

Alderman DeRuiter moved to lay the above amendment on the table; which failed of adoption by the following vote:

AYES, 5—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, and President Layman.

NAYS, 5—viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and Wood.

Alderman Rorison's amendment then failed of adoption by the following vote:

AYES, 4—viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Rorison, Tucker, and Wood.

NAYS, 6—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert, and President Layman.

The favorable action of the Common Council on the report (see page 141, *ante*), then failed to be concurred in by the following vote:

AYES, 5—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, and Seibert.

NAYS, 5—viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Rorison, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

The following report from the City Attorney (see page 144, *ante*), was read and approved:

Indianapolis, July 3, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—Since the last meeting of the Council, the motion for a new trial in the case of *Barbary Jarnagin vs. The City* has been overruled. I feel confident the case will not be appealed.

In obedience to the joint action of your Honorable Bodies, I allowed a decree to be entered, without contest, in favor of the plaintiffs in the case of *William H. English et al. vs. The City*, enjoining her from hereafter assessing her lots in Arsenal Heights for taxation, said addition never having been legally annexed.

Horace R. Allen and several others have appealed from the action of the City Commissioners in assessing benefits against property on account of the opening, widening and laying out Cruse street, from Meek street to the Michigan road.

Two cases to foreclose mortgages, where the city held judgments for costs against the owners of the property, have been disposed of, the decrees in each case protecting the city.

In the old case of the *J., M. & I. R. R. Co. vs. The City and Sinker, Davis & Co.*, the matter of a compromise thereof having been heretofore referred to a joint committee of your two bodies and myself, with power to act, an agreement was finally reached between the Railroad Company and Sinker, Davis & Co., the City's demands in the premises having been some time ago acceded to by both the other parties. A decree was thereupon entered, which, so far as the city is concerned, quiets her title in Railroad avenue, from the south side of Georgia street north, which is all she demanded. The city is likewise saved from costs.

Respectfully,

C. S. DENNY, City Attorney.

The second quarterly report of the Chief Fire Engineer, showing the receipts and disbursements of the department from April 1st to July 1st, 1882, and also the running expenses since January 1st, 1882, (see pages 144, 145, 146 and 147, *ante*), was read and received.

The report of Wm. Hadley, rental agent, for the month of June, 1882, (see page 148, *ante*), was read and received.

The reports of the Superintendents of the City Hospital and Branch, and the City Dispensary, for the month of June, 1882, (see pages 147 and 148, *ante*), were read and received.

The following motion (adopted by the Common Council—see page 155, *ante*), was read and concurrently adopted:

That the City Engineer be, and is hereby, directed to advertise for bids to bowlder the wings of alleys lying between McCarty and Morris streets, on east side of Meridian, in accordance with ordinances now in force.

The following entitled ordinances (passed by the Common Council) were severally read the first time:

G. O. 42, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for the construction of a brick sewer, at the expense of the city, from the intersection of English avenue and Reed street, in and along Reed street to Pleasant Run.

G. O. 51, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of City Buildings.

S. O. 88, 1882—An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Highland street, and paving with brick and curbing with stone the sidewalks, from the north line of Washington street to the south side of Ohio street.

APPROPRIATION ORDINANCES.

This being the regular appropriation night, the following entitled appropriation ordinances (passed by the Common Council) were placed on their final passage, without a suspension of the rules :

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times:

Ap. O. 38, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the Fire Department. [Amount appropriated, \$1,131.30.]

Alderman Tucker moved to strike the claim of "Albert Schiffing, \$10,000," from the above ordinance; which failed of adoption.

The ordinance was then read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

AYES, 8—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS, 2—viz. Aldermen Hamilton and Tucker.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 39, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the City Hospital and Branch. [Amount appropriated, \$1,249.43.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 40, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Station-Houses. [Amount appropriated, \$434.07.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 41, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis. [Amount appropriated, \$11,775.32.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS, 1—viz: Alderman Hamilton.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 42, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis, on account of Printing, Stationery and Advertising. [Amount appropriated, \$370.48.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 43, 1882—An ordinance appropriating the sum of \$2,000 on account of the Street Department of the city of Indianapolis.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

President Layman presented the following protest:

Indianapolis, Ind., July 10th, 1882.

To the Honorable President, and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, property holders of the entire length of the square on both sides of Highland street, between Washington and Market streets, respectfully represent and show:

That on the day of January, 1881, the Common Council of the city of Indianapolis adopted and approved a certain plan for establishing improvements of the streets, between Arsenal avenue on the east, to Pogue's Run on the west, embracing all the territory lying between Ohio street, on the north, and Washington street, on the south. That afterwards, on the, day of March, 1881, your honorable

body concurred in, and also adopted said plan. That the improvement of Ohio street now in progress from Arsenal avenue to Pogue's Run, is being made, as your petitioners are informed, in pursuance of said general plan adopted as aforesaid. That nothing has hitherto been done to carry out said plan, as far as Market street and Highland street intersecting the same are concerned, on account of the opposition of certain property holders at the intersection of Highland street, and notably the opposition of James L. Mitchell, who owns a lot on the northeast corner of Market and Highland streets.

That various petitions and ordinances have been introduced since the adoption of the plan aforesaid, but no action had upon them. Among others, an ordinance has been introduced on behalf of the undersigned, and at their request, praying for the improvement of Highland street, by graveling the roadway between Market and Washington streets, and graveling the sidewalks along the entire line of the property owned by them, in accordance with the general plan adopted by the Common Council and your honorable body, as aforesaid. The undersigned also prayed to be allowed to make said improvements themselves, under supervision and direction of the City Engineer; upon all of which no action was taken by the Common Council.

That on or about the 10th day of June, the Committee on Streets and Alleys of the Common Council and your honorable body, extended an invitation to the property owners along the line of Highland street, between Washington and Ohio streets, to attend a meeting of said committees in the office of the City Engineer. That in pursuance to such invitation, all the property owners attended, except Messrs. King and Mitchell; and it was then and there determined and agreed by the property owners present, that the City Engineer should submit a plan of a grade other than the one general plan adopted as aforesaid, which grade should embrace the surface drainage of Market street, from Arsenal avenue to Pogue's Run, across the intersection of Highland street.

That at a meeting of the Common Council, hold on the 19th day of June, 1882, Special Ordinance No. 88 (which was prepared by the City Attorney, at the dictation of Mr. James L. Mitchell, without the knowledge of the City Engineer, and which, in its terms, in direct violation of the agreement and determination reached and entered into at the meeting of said committee and property owners), was introduced and read for the first time; that on the 26th day of June, 1882, the undersigned presented to the Common Council, at their session on that day, their remonstrance and protest against the passage of said Special Ordinance No. 88, for the reasons therein set forth; that at the meeting of the Common Council held on July 3d, 1882, said Special Ordinance No. 88 was passed, and is now before your honorable body for action.

Your petitioners respectfully represent to your honorable body, that said Special Ordinance No. 88, is in direct violation of the agreement of the committees of your honorable body and of the Common Council; that said ordinance is a departure from the general plan adopted by the Common Council January, 1881, and your honorable body on the day of March, 1881; that said ordinance provides for an improvement of curbing with stone, and paving with bricks, the sidewalk of said Highland street, between Market and Washington streets, which your petitioners have not asked for; that your petitioners are informed and advised by the City Engineer, that the improvement of Highland street, along their property, as contemplated by said Special Ordinance No. 88, would require a fill of six feet above the surface of their property, and would not only be very expensive, but would destroy their improvements—fences, lines of shade trees on the line of Highland street; that the filling of their grounds contiguous to Highland street, to correspond with the improvement contemplated by Special Ordinance No. 88, would almost cost as much as the present value of the property; that inasmuch as the contemplated improvement, as proposed to be made, does not embrace the proper surface drainage of Market street, the construction of a six foot embankment on Highland street, would, in the event of heavy rains, convert the lands on the east side of Highland street, into a lake without outlet; and the floods to which said lands have been subjected by reason of the obstruction by the city of natural channels, would be more disastrous and far-reaching than even heretofore.

Your petitioners further respectfully represent that they have no personal interest whatever in the improvement of Highland street, between Washington and Market streets; that such improvement would not be of any appreciable benefit to your petitioners individually, and could only benefit the property of others. That while they believe in improvements, and are willing to pay for such, which may only remotely be of any benefit, yet they believe that improvements, such as contemplated by Special Ordinance No. 88—which would be positively injurious, and detrimental to their property and interests—should not be forced upon them, especially when there is no necessity for any improvement of Highland street, unless Market street shall also be improved in such manner as to save their property from the frequently occurring disastrous inundations.

Your petitioners therefore pray your honorable body not to concur in said Special Ordinance No. 88.

Very respectfully,

Fred. Knefler, 333 feet; Henry Latham and Charles Latham, 333 feet.

Alderman Tucker moved a suspension of the rules for the purpose of placing S. O. 88, 1882, on its final passage.

Which motion was adopted, and the rules suspended by the following vote:

AYES, 8—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS, 2—viz. Aldermen Drew and Seibert.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second time:

S. O. 88, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Highland street, and paving with brick and curbing with stone, the sidewalks, from the north line of Washington street to the south side of Ohio street.

Alderman Drew moved to refer the foregoing ordinance, with the protest, to the Committee on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage.

On motion by Alderman Tucker, the whole matter was referred to the Committees on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage and Judiciary.

REPORTS FROM STANDING COMMITTEES.

The Committee on Railroads, through Alderman Drew, submitted the following report; which was concurred:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Railroads, to whom was referred an ordinance granting Frederick Deitz permission to construct a railroad switch, respectfully recommend that the ordinance be passed.

Respectfully submitted,

H. E. Drew,
F. W. Hamilton,
John Newman,
Committee.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

G. O. 55, 1882—An Ordinance granting Frederick Dietz permission to construct a railroad switch across Morris street, to and connecting with the J., M. & I. R. R. tracks.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seiber, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The Committee on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage, through Alderman Seibert, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Streets & Alleys, Sewers & Drainage, to whom was referred Special Ordinances No. 56, to grade and gravel sidewalks of Shelby street, from Prospect street to Pleasant Run; also,

- Ordinance No. 60. To bowlder first alley south of Michigan street;
- Ordinance No. 63. To gravel School street alley;
- Ordinance No. 68. To gravel alley west of Virginia avenue;
- Ordinance No. 73. To bowlder first alley west of Meridian street;
- Ordinance No. 76. To improve New York street;
- Ordinance No. 78. To grade and gravel first alley west of Illinois street;
- Ordinance No. 79. To grade and gravel Sullivan street;
- Ordinance No. 81. To improve Delaware street, from Wabash street to Massachusetts avenue;

Would recommend that the ordinances be passed.

Respectfully submitted,

H. Seibert,
D. DeRuiter,
H. E. Drew,
Committee.

Alderman Tucker moved to suspend the rules for the purpose of placing G. O. 42, 1882, upon its final passage.

Which motion was adopted, and the rules suspended by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was then read the second and third times:

G. O. 42, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for the construction of a brick sewer, at the expense of the city, from the intersection of English avenue and Reed street, in and along Reed street to Pleasant Run.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 56, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the west sidewalk of Shelby street, from Prospect street to Pleasant Run (where not already done).

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussman, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 60, 1882—An ordinance to provide for grading and bowldering the first alley south of Michigan street, from Delaware street to the first alley west of Delaware street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 63, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the alley beginning at School street, on south side of lot 13, in out-lot 94, and running around lots 13, 14, 15, and 16, in said out-lot, and terminating at School street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 68, 1882—An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley west of Virginia avenue, from Bradshaw street to Buchanan street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Nerman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 73, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and bowldering the first alley west of Meridian street, from South street to Garden street.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 78, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley west of Illinois street, from Merrill street to Norwood street.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 79, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Sullivan street and sidewalks, from the north line of Bismark street to Buchanan street.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 10—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 76, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading, bowldering and curbing the gutters, and widening the sidewalks of New York street, from New Jersey street to East street, and to repeal an ordinance entitled "An ordinance to provide for grading, bowldering and curbing the gutters and widening the sidewalks of New York street, from New Jersey street to East street," ordained May 8th, 1882.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 8—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and Wood.

NAYS, 2—viz. Alderman Hamilton and President Layman.

Alderman Drew moved that the vote by which the above ordinance was passed be reconsidered; which failed of adoption by the following vote:

AYES, 3—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, and Rorison.

NAYS, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 81, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for re-grading and graveling the roadway of Delaware street, bowldering the gutters, and placing a gutter stone therein, from the north side of Wabash street to the north side of Massachusetts avenue.

And it failed to pass by the following vote:

AYES, 1—viz. Alderman Seibert.

NAYS, 9—Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

Alderman Tucker moved to suspend the rules for the purpose of placing G. O. 51, 1882, on its final passage; which failed of adoption by the following vote (a two-thirds vote being necessary for a suspension of the rules).

AYES, 6—viz. Aldermen Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 4—viz.: Aldermen DeRuiter, Hamilton, Seibert, and Wood.

Alderman Tucker moved that when this Board of Aldermen adjourns, it adjourn to meet again Wednesday night for the purpose of considering G. O. 51, 1882.

Alderman Seibert moved, as an amendment to the above motion, that the ordinance be referred to the Committees on Finance, Judiciary and Public Property.

Alderman Rorison moved that when the Board adjourns, it adjourn to meet again a week from Wednesday, being July 19th, 1882.

Alderman Tucker withdrew his motion to adjourn in favor of the motion as offered by Alderman Rorison.

The following entitled ordinance was read for information:

G. O. 51, 1882—An ordinance to provide for the erection of city buildings.

Alderman Rorison's motion, to adjourn was then adopted.

Alderman Seibert's motion to refer the ordinance was adopted, and President Layman instructed to act as Chairman of the Committees.

Alderman Rorison offered the following motion:

That J. E. Twiname and John G. Pendergast be, and are hereby, appointed Inspectors for the improvement of north Meridian street, the former from St. Clair street north, and the latter from St. Clair street to New York street.

And it was adopted by the following vote:

SIG. 14.

AYES, 6—viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, Wood, and President Layman.

NAYS, 4—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Mussmann, and Newman.

On motion, the Board of Aldermen then adjourned.

JAMES T. LAYMAN, President.

Attest: GEO. T. BRUNIG, Clerk.