

PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS,
MONDAY, OCTOBER 28TH, 1867, 7 O'CLOCK, P. M. }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, Daniel Macauley, in the chair,
and the following members :

Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—17.

Absent—Councilman Jameson—1.

The proceedings of the regular session held October 21st, 1867,
were read and approved.

Mr. Brown offered the following resolution :

Resolved. That John B. Sullivan be allowed to carry on the business of Auctioneer at No. 10 East Peal street, on paying to the proper City Officer the license in such cases made and provided.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker Stanton and Woodburn—15.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the resolution was adopted.

Mr. Burgess introduced special ordinance No. 95—1867, entitled :
AN ORDINANCE to provide for the erection of lamp posts, lamps and fixtures complete, to burn gas, except the service pipe, on Georgia street, between Pennsylvania and Meridian streets,

Which was read the first time by its title.

Mr. Coburn offered the following motion :

That the Board of Public Improvements be instructed to contract with John F. Hill for the trees around the Circle, the contract to comply with the specifications on file in the Civil Engineer's office, not to exceed his former bid.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Coburn, also, offered the following motion :

That the Market Master have the control of the Chain-Gang to make the necessary draining of the East Market Space, and that the Street Commissioner be instructed to grade the same sufficient to prevent the water from standing on the same, and report the cost of the same to this Council for payment.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Colley offered the following motion :

That the Committee on Accounts be directed to report an ordinance allowing George W. Parker, Sheriff of Marion county, the amount of all legal fees found to be due him to this date for keeping the city prisoners, he to dismiss his suit at his own cost.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Cottrell offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be instructed to have the gutter on the south side of Washington street, between Alabama and Delaware streets, improved in such a manner as to carry off the water.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Davis presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned respectfully asks permission to lay a brick or stone sidewalk in front of his lots on the corner of Pennsylvania and Ohio streets, according to the direction of the City Engineer.

Very respectfully,

E. S. ALVORD.

Which was granted.

Mr. Davis, also, presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—I have the honor to make the following statements concerning the valuation of my real estate, being part of lot No 7, Square 26, situated on the corner of Illinois and New York streets, 34½ feet front by 125 feet deep, which is appraised at \$4,400. I very respectfully ask that your honorable body will make a deduction of the valuation of said property—the property was sold at \$3,525—and I therefore ask a deduction of \$1,400 on the valuation of said property, or as much as you think, in your judgment, is right and just.

Yours very respectfully,

MARY A. H. HUNT,
Wife of D. B. Hunt.

Which was referred to the Finance Committee.

Mr. Davis introduced general ordinance No. 110, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE relative to the alleys of the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time by its title.

Mr. Geisel presented the following communication :

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The City of Indianapolis,

To Robert P. Brown, Dr.

To amount of injury done to a Bay Mare, owned by me, while crossing the bridge on Massachusetts Avenue at the crossing of Railroad street, in the City of Indianapolis, on last Friday, October 25th, the bridge being in a broken condition so that the Mare fell through, and rendered her worthless, damaging me to the amount of at least one hundred and seventy-five dollars—loss of time, expense, and total loss of Mare included.

ROBERT P. BROWN.

Which was referred to the Committee on Accounts and Claims.

Mr. Geisel offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner is hereby instructed to fill up the low place to a level with St. Clair street, and gravel the crossing at the intersection of Chatham and St. Clair streets; also, gravel the grading on Jackson and St. Clair streets.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Goddard offered the following resolution :

Resolved, That twenty-five dollars be allowed to pay off the Judges and Clerks for the special election of the Fifth Ward.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Stanton and Woodburn—16.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the resolution was adopted.

Mr. Loomis offered the following motion :

That the Indiana Central Railroad Company are directed to build a sewer under their track at the intersection of South Liberty street according to stakes to be set by the City Civil Engineer; and that the City Marshal is specially charged with serving the proper notice on the said Company, and to see that the work be promptly done.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Stanton presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The undersigned, residents and owners of property in the suburbs herein described of the City of Indianapolis, respectfully petition your honorable body to extend the limits of said city so as to include the property within the following boundary lines, to-wit :

Beginning at a point in the middle of Delaware street where it crosses the northern boundary line of said city, thence north to the middle of Seventh (Tinker) street, thence west to the west bank of the Canal, thence south to said northern boundary line, thence east to the place of beginning.

John M. Todd,	M. J. Oatman,
Geo. Carter,	A. J. Danforth,
Lucian Barbour,	E. A. Hall.

Which was referred to a Select Committee composed of Councilmen Colley, Kappes, Woodburn, Stanton, Geisel and the City Attorney.

Mr. Stanton, also, presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned, butchers of the City of Indianapolis, would respectfully petition the enactment of an ordinance, as a matter of justice, requiring all persons who cut and vend meat at the Markets to confine themselves to the Stalls of the Market House. We simply wish all persons who sell cut meats at the Markets to be placed on an equality as to expense. So long as we pay stall rents to the city we deem it but simple justice that we should be protected as against those who do not. Or, if you please, compel the outsiders by ordinance to pay license equal to stall rent. In all cities that we have any knowledge of the butchers occupying stalls in the Markets are so protected, and unless such protection is given us we may feel compelled to abandon our stalls in order to be able to compete with outsiders. Respectfully submitted,

Levi Davis,	John Yorger,
Fred. Borst,	Anson Mayer,
Louis Hahn,	And 25 others.

Which was referred to the Committee on Markets.

Mr. Stanton introduced special ordinance No. 96—1867, entitled: AN ORDINANCE to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures, complete to burn gas, except the service pipe, on Market street, between Mississippi and Missouri streets.

Which was read the first time by its title.

Dr. Woodburn presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The Citizens' Street Railway Company of Indianapolis respectfully represent that their track on Illinois street was laid, as they are informed and believe, in strict conformity with the grade established by the then City Engineer, and that they have been at very considerable expense in filling up the space between and outside of their rails with cinder and gravel. Scarcely has the work been completed when they are ordered by your honorable body to undo all they have done between Ohio and Market streets, to lower their track to conform to the ideas of the present Engineer, and to boulder the same ground they have just finished graveling. This, of course, involves the removal of the cinder and gravel, now hardened by travel to almost the consistency of stone, and the taking up and re-laying of the whole track, interfering with travel, and costing more than it would to lay down twice that length of new road.

The Company cannot but feel, in their present feeble condition, that this is a hardship, and that it would be better for all concerned if this money had been used in furthering new lines, and other improvements, essential to the success of the enterprise; but as they do not wish to make any issue with the Council or call in question the right of the Council to make the orders referred to, or impugn the judgment of the present Engineer, they have taken steps to lower their track and boulder the street. But they feel it a duty to frankly inform the Council that the business and financial condition of the Company will not, at present, admit of this kind of expenditure, and that if it is repeated to any considerable extent, there can be but one result, and that not a matter of choice, but of imperative necessity. There are stubborn facts, and weighty reasons bearing upon this point, not stated here, but which will be communicated to a committee, should one be charged with the consideration of this communication. Even the possibility of the Council making such orders is a serious drawback to the prosperity of the Company, as it deters parties from joining in the enterprise who would be of great assistance; and even the present managers might well hesitate to put down a new line, or double track on old one, when it might be immediately followed by orders such as those herein referred to; besides, as matters now stand, they would not have the ability to do both, at present, if either.

The Company are now running double the miles of track required by their charter, and it is believed the quality of the roads and equipments are not surpassed in any city of the size in the United States. Experience, however, has demonstrated that the population of our city is too small, and our cars too large to be remunerative. It is to be hoped that time will remedy the first, but until that remedy does come the aid and indulgence of the City Council is absolutely necessary. With that aid it is hoped and believed the Company could secure such outside helps as would enable them to stock their lines with 30 new cars of lighter pattern; also, to extend and double-track a portion of existing lines, and make one or more new ones. It is respectfully submitted that Street Cars are of great public utility, and for these, and other reasons, the Company pray your honorable body to relieve them from the expense of bowldering the streets, and, also, from taking up and re-laying track, where it has once been laid down upon grade; and for such other and further relief as will be just to the Company and beneficial to the public. And as in duty bound your petitioner will ever pray, &c.

THE CITIZENS' STREET RAILWAY CO.,
Of Indianapolis.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

His Honor, the Mayor, presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned respectfully represents that upon his city tax return for 1866, appears as "money on hand or on deposit," the sum of five hundred dollars (\$500.00), and that such return was wrong, from the fact that at the time the return was made he had no money on hand or on deposit. He therefore respectfully prays that a correction in accordance with the facts be made, if not inconsistent with the existing laws or rules governing such matters. (Signed.) W. M. JONES.

Which was referred to the Finance Committee.

Sealed proposals were then opened and read by the City Clerk, and referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

REPORTS FROM BOARDS.

Mr. MacArthur, from the Board of Public Improvements, made the following report :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, Oct. 28, 1867. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom was referred the petition of Jacob Huber and others, for replacing the pump opposite the Bates House, on Illinois street, respectfully recommend that the same be granted, provided there be a sink attached sufficient to receive all the surplus water.

Also, the remonstrance of William Dell and others, against the bowldering of the gutters on Alabama street, between Cumberland street and Virginia Avenue, be not granted, for the reason that the remonstrants only represent 160 feet of the whole line of the proposed improvement.

Also, that we have instructed the Street Commissioner to build the necessary number of bridges across the ditch on Railroad street, as ordered by the Council.

Respectfully submitted,

JNO. B. MACARTHUR, }
W. H. LOOMIS, } *Board.*
SAMUEL GODDARD, }

Which was concurred in.

Mr. MacArthur, from the Board of Public Improvements, also made the following report :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, Oct. 28, 1867. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of Public Improvements would respectfully recommend to your honorable body that the contract of Joseph Schwartz to grade and gravel Osage street be declared forfeited.

Also, that the same be awarded to Samuel Hanway, he being the next lowest bidder; this is in accordance with the decision of the City Attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN B. MACARTHUR, }
SAMUEL GODDARD, } *Board.*
WM. H. LOOMIS, }

Mr. Brown called for a division of the question.

On motion, so much of the report as relates to declaring the contract forfeited, was concurred in.

Mr. Coburn moved to amend the second clause of the report by ordering the Auditor to re-advertise for proposals.

Mr. MacArthur called for the ayes and noes.

The question being on Mr. Coburn's amendment, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Henschen, Kappes and Seidensticker—11.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Goddard, MacArthur, Stanton and Woodburn—4.

So the amendment was adopted.

The second clause of the report was then concurred in as amended.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES.

Mr. Brown, from the Committee on Streets and Alleys, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of Thomas Carr and others, report that they find the proportion of feet represented on the petition to be 40 feet less than half of the whole frontage. Your committee, in view of the fact that the season is late, recommend that no ordinance for improving Merrill street, between West and Missouri street, be now passed.

AUSTIN H. BROWN,
Chairman Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. MacArthur, from the Committee on Markets, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 26, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—Your Committee on Markets, to whom was referred the petition of Wilhelm Koehler to enlarge his Coffee Stand at the East Market,

would respectfully recommend that the same be granted, it being a convenience to those attending said Market.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN B. MACARTHUR, }
SAMUEL GODDARD, } *Committee.*
WM. H. HENSCHEN, }

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Kappes, from the Committee on Printing and Stationery, introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 64—1867, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time by its title.

Mr. Kappes, from the Committee on Benevolence and Hospitals, made the following report:

RECAPITULATION OF THE WEEKLY REPORT OF CONTENTS OF REGISTER OF PATIENTS
OF CITY HOSPITAL, ENDING OCTOBER 26, 1867.

Number of patients in Hospital at last report.....	23
Number of patient received in Hospital since last report	1
Number of patients born in Hospital since last report	1
Number of patients discharged from Hospital since last report.....	5
Number of patients died in Hospital since last report.....	0
Number of patients remaining in Hospital at present report	20

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Seidensticker, from the Select Committee, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Your Committee, to whom was referred the ordinance granting a right of way to the Junction Railroad Company, with the pending amendments, and the remonstrances against granting said Company any right of way west of New Jersey street, respectfully report that they have carefully considered said matters, and have come to the following conclusions, which they have embodied in amendments to the ordinance:

1. The main object of the remonstrants, according to the best information of your committee, seems to have been the prevention of the crossing of Alabama street and Virginia Avenue by an additional track of this Road. At the same time we think the Railroad could get along without this, by backing their cars out of their proposed depot, as nearly all other Companies now have to do. We therefore complied with the remonstrances by striking from the ordinance the permission of crossing Virginia Avenue and Alabama street.

2. The present seems to be a favorable time of breaking the system of allowing obstructions to be placed in Pogue's Run, which has resulted in heavy loss to many citizens, and numerous suits against the city. Accordingly we have required the crossing of Pogue's Run to be on a bridge with stone abutments, having at least 38 feet space, or on arches with like capacity, as the McNabb street arches.

3. We submit a plat, prepared by the Civil Engineer, of Maryland street, between the east Corporation line and the crossing of Pogue's Run. This shows that the Central Railway Company have never complied with the obligation imposed upon them in the ordinance granting them the right of way of widening said street, that their track is not in the center of the street as it was originally required, but that their north rail is; that nearly the whole of the north half of said street is occupied and obstructed by them with fences, buildings, switches, etc., without right or authority. We submit these facts for the consideration and proper action of the Council. So far as the present ordinance is concerned, we thought it proper of locating the right of way asked for by the Junction Railroad Company south of the line granted to the Central Railway Company, and leave it to the Council to decide whether they will further define this location, by excepting a strip of ground on the south side of said street or not.

4. While the Committee believe that the Junction Railroad Company ought to be accommodated, wherever needed for their business facilities, with the privilege of constructing side tracks and switches, we thought it improper to place the exercise of this privilege solely at the option of the Railroad Company, and have, therefore, adopted the amendment submitted to us, striking out those parts of the ordinance which would have resulted in giving the Company this unlimited privilege.

With these amendments we recommend the passage of the ordinance.

Respectfully,

A. SEIDENSTICKLER,	} <i>Special Committee.</i>
SIMS A. COLLEY.	
THOS. COTTRELL,	
R. M. PATTERSON,	<i>Civil Engineer.</i>

I concur in the report, except that I have no objection to their crossing Virginia Avenue and Alabama street with a single track, under proper restrictions.

WM. H. LOOMIS.

Which was received.

The ordinance in question was then read the second time.

Mr. Loomis presented the following remonstrance:

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The undersigned respectfully represents to your honorable body that he is the owner of lots on the south side of Pogue's Run on East street in said city—that he has gone to great expense in improving the said lots—that he has built a good, substantial brick residence on the said lots—that he has taken great pains in setting the said lots, on which his house stands, with fruits and especially with a large number of grape vines, now in bearing—that when he bought the said lots they were very low lots, much below grade, and that he, at great expense, filled said lots, making expensive and valuable fences and out-houses, and paving all walks and paths thereon with brick and bowlders, and set the grounds in shrubbery and flowers. He further shows, in addition thereto, that he bought two other lots lying immediately north and adjoining to his said residence and running to Pogue's Run on the north, which were also very low lots; and to raise which the undersigned caused to be hauled and deposited on the same seventeen hundred cart loads of earth and filled the same up to grade—making a heavy and secure stone wall on the south side of Pogue's Run constituting the south bank thereof, and running west to the east edge of East street, which wall cost him at least six hundred dollars.

He shows that the Junction Railroad Company are proposing to locate and construct their Road into the city by running their track immediately south of the track of the Central Road track, and upon and over the last two named lots of the undersigned, occupying the whole of the same, and bring their track up close to the fence and residence of the undersigned, and thereby destroying the north front to his said residence, and rendering his home disagreeable, if not valueless. He says that no amount of money which said Company would pay would compensate him for the cost of said property, and the nuisance of having the said track so near his dwelling house. He therefore remonstrates against your honorable body granting said Company the right of way into this city, and over the said route, and over his lots, until said Company shall have satisfied and compensated all the proprietors of lands to be occupied by them for said Road, and procured releases of the right of way from all the owners of property to be taken for that purpose.

HENRY HAHN.

Which was received.

Mr. MacArthur moved that the whole matter be deferred and made the special order for Thursday evening, October 31st, 1867.

On motion by Dr. Woodburn, Mr. MacArthur's motion was laid on the table.

After considerable discussion, Mr. Seidensticker amended section 2 of the ordinance by inserting the words "with the necessary switches, not exceeding four."

Which amendment was adopted.

The ordinance was then ordered to be engrossed.

Dr. Woodburn moved that the rules be suspended for the purpose of passing the ordinance.

The question being on the suspension of the rules, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Kappes, Loomis, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—14.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Cottrell, Henschen and MacArthur—3.

So the rules were suspended.

General ordinance No. 109, authorizing the Junction Railroad Co. to occupy with their track Maryland street from the east Corporation line to Pogue's Run, and to cross other streets,

Was then read the third time, and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley,

Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Kappes, Loomis, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—14.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Cottrell, Henschen and MacArthur—3.

So the ordinance passed.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

Mr. Brown called up special appropriation ordinance No. 63—1867, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the second time and ordered to be engrossed.

Mr. Burgess called up special ordinance No. 95—1867, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures, complete to burn gas, except the service pipe, on Georgia street, between Pennsylvania and Meridian streets.

Which was read the second time and ordered to be engrossed.

ORDINANCES ON THIRD READING.

Mr. Davis called up special ordinance No. 93—1867, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling the alley running east and west through square 14, between Illinois and Tennessee streets.

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—16.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Seidensticker called up special appropriation ordinance No. 63—1867, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley,

Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—16.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Burgess called up special ordinance No. 95—1867, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures, complete to burn gas, except the service pipe, on Georgia street, between Pennsylvania and Meridian streets.

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Burgess, Coburn, Colley, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Kappes, Loomis, MacArthur, Schmidt, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—16.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the ordinance passed.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The City Civil Engineer made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, Oct. 28, 1867.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Permit me to report the following work finished according to contract:

James Coyner, for building a bridge over Pogue's Run, on Liberty street.	
127.08 cubic yards of masonry, at \$7.30 per yard, - - - -	\$927 68
16,506 feet of timber, at \$39.45 per one thousand feet, - - -	651 16
	<hr/>
Total estimate, - - - - -	\$1,578 84
Deduct for timber furnished by the city, - - - - -	137 70
	<hr/>
	\$1,441 14
Deduct former estimate, - - - - -	360 00
	<hr/>
Present payment, - - - - -	\$1,081 14
Also, Jos. Schwartz, for grading the alley running east and west through out-lot 86, between Noble and Liberty streets:	
Length on north side, - - - - -	435 feet.
Length on south side, - - - - -	435 "
	<hr/>
Total length, - - - - -	870
At 4½ cents per lineal foot, - - - - -	4½
	<hr/>
Total estimate, - - - - -	\$39 15
Also, Garrison Allred, for moving grave yard fence and re-setting 832 feet, at 15 cents per lineal foot, - - - - -	\$124 80
279.2 cubic yards of excavation, at 20 cents per yard, - - - -	55 84
	<hr/>
Total estimate, - - - - -	\$180 64

Also, John Scheier, for grading, paving and curbing west sidewalk on Pennsylvania street, between Washington street and the Union Railway track :

907.7 lineal feet of paving, at \$1.12 per foot, - - - - -	\$1,016 62
1,199.6 lineal feet of curb, at 85 cents per foot, - - - - -	1,019 66

Total estimate, - - - - -	\$2,036 28
---------------------------	------------

Respectfully, R. M. PATTERSON, *Civil Engineer.*

Which was concurred in.

The City Clerk made the following report :

OFFICE OF CITY CLERK, }
Indianapolis, Oct. 28, 1867. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The City Clerk would respectfully report the following affidavits on file in his office for the collection of street assessment by precept, as follows:

S. W. & R. H. Patterson against Wm. Mahon, for \$28.80.

S. W. & R. H. Patterson against Maria Elliott, for \$23.76.

And would recommend that you order the precepts to issue.

Respectfully, D. M. RANSELL, *City Clerk.*

Which was concurred in.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Mr. Seidensticker offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be instructed to notify the Peru Railroad Company to open and clear of obstructions the culverts under their tracks on East street.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Seidensticker, also, offered the following motion :

That His Honor, the City Judge, be requested to prepare an Index to the edition of amendatory ordinances lately printed, provided the expense of said work does not exceed ——— dollars.

Which was referred to the Committee on Printing and Stationery.

Mr. Davis offered the following motion :

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to properly repair the bridge over the Mill Race on the extension of Washington street.

Which was adopted.

On motion by Mr. Loomis, the Council adjourned.

DANIEL MACAULEY, *Mayor.*

ATTEST:

D. M. RANSELL, *City Clerk.*