

PROCEEDINGS OF BOARD OF ALDERMEN.

REGULAR SESSION—DECEMBER 12, 1881.

The Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, met in the Aldermanic Chamber, Monday evening, December 12th, A. D. 1881, at half-past seven o'clock, in regular session.

PRESENT—Hon. James T. Layman, President, in the Chair, and Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, and Tucker—9.

ABSENT—Alderman Wood—1.

The Proceedings of the Board of Aldermen for the regular sessions, held November 14th and 28th, 1881, and for the special session, held November 17th, 1881, having been printed and placed on the desks of the Aldermen, said Journals were approved as published.

MESSAGES AND PAPERS FROM THE COMMON COUNCIL.

The following special message was read:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The Common Council in regular session, held in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, December 5th, 1881, adhered to their former action in extending the time of S. W. Patterson, for paving with wooden blocks north Meridian street, between New York and Seventh streets, until the first day of June, 1882.

I submit the same for your consideration.

For the Common Council:

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

Letters addressed to S. W. Patterson, contractor, by Wm. Jones & Son, from Muskegon, Michigan, and Francis Jeroch, Mayor of Muskegon, were read.

Alderman Seibert moved that the Board of Aldermen recede from their former action.

Alderman Tucker moved to lay Alderman Seibert's motion on the table.

Which motion was adopted, and the whole matter ordered laid on the table.

Later in the session, Alderman DeRuiter moved to reconsider the above action.

Which motion was adopted, and the action of the Board of Aldermen reconsidered by the following vote:

AYES, 6—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert, and President Layman.

NAYS, 3—viz. Aldermen Hamilton Rorison, and Tucker.

Alderman Seibert then moved that the Board of Aldermen recede from their former action.

Which motion to recede failed of adoption by the following vote:

AYES, 4—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Mussmann, Newman, and Seibert.

NAYS, 5—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Tucker, and President Layman.

The following special message was read:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The Common Council, in regular session, held in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, December 5th, 1881, adhered to their former action in recommending that the claim of O. H. Hasselman, for \$276.32, be allowed, instead of \$206.57, as recommended by your honorable body.

I submit the same for your consideration.

For the Common Council:

JOS. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

Alderman Hamilton moved that the Board of Aldermen adhere to their former action.

Alderman Rorison moved to lay Alderman Hamilton's motion on the table.

Which motion to lay on the table failed of adoption by the following vote:

AYES, 3—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, and Rorison.

NAYS, 6—viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

Alderman Hamilton's motion was then adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 5—viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert, and President Layman.

NAYS, 4—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Rorison, and Tucker.

The following message was read and received :

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—I herewith transmit to your honorable body certain papers, favorably passed upon by the Common Council, at its regular session, held on Monday evening, December 5th, 1881, for your action upon the same.

For the Common Council :

JOS. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

The following report from His Honor, the Mayor, was read and received :

Indianapolis, Ind., Dec. 5, 1881.

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—I have made collections for the month of November, as follows :

Policemens' witness fees.....	\$157 60
Mayor's fees.....	151 50
Fines due the city.....	34 40
Total.....	<u>\$343 50</u>

Which amount I have this day paid to the City Treasurer, and deposited his receipt therefor with the City Clerk.

Very respectfully,

D. W. GRUBBS, Mayor.

The following report from the City Civil Engineer was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 890, *antè*) was concurred in :

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—I herewith report the following estimates of work done according to contract :

A first and final estimate in behalf of John Keenan for grading and graveling the first alley east of Laurel street from the first alley south of Prospect street to Orange street, 1,320 lineal feet, at 20 cents, \$264.00.

A first and final estimate in behalf of Michael Faust, for grading and bowldering New Jersey street, and curbing with stone, except where already curbed, the outer edges of the sidewalks thereof, from Washington street to the south line of lot No. 5, Yandes & Wilkins's subdivision of square No. 62:

911.26 lineal feet of bowldering, at \$2.10.....	\$1,913 65
415 lineal feet of curbing, at 45 cents.....	203 40
22.50 lineal feet of walk, stone, at 30 cents.....	6 75
Total.....	<u>\$2,123 80</u>

A first and final estimate in behalf of August Richter for improving New York street from Delaware street to New Jersey street by widening the sidewalks, and curbing with stone the outer edges thereof, and bowldering the gutters :

1,496.50 lineal feet of bowldering and curbing, at \$1.00, less	
68½ feet, where curbing was already done, at 40 cents.....	\$1,469 10
304.05 lineal feet bowldering crossings, at 60 cents.....	182 43
496.70 lineal feet curbing at crossings, at 40 cents.....	198 68
13.23 lineal feet resetting curb, at 7 cents.....	83
171.11 lineal feet walk, stone, at 30 cents.....	51 33
120 lineal feet resetting two culverts, at 60 cents.....	72 00
Total.....	<u>\$1,974 37</u>

A first and final estimate in behalf of R. P. Duning and James W. Hudson for re-grading and re-bowldering Meridian street, from Washington street to Louisiana street:

2,423.58 lineal feet re-bowldering, at \$1.79.....	\$4,338 11
2,423.58 lineal feet gutters, stone, at 31 cents.....	751 23—\$5,089 32
Less 64 cents per lineal foot front for old material.....	3,538 52
560 lineal feet bowldering crossings, at \$1.79.....	\$1,002 40
347.45 lineal feet gutter, stone, at 31 cents.....	107 71
291.39 lineal feet bowldering wings, at \$1.79.....	521 59—\$1,631 70
Less 64 cents per lineal foot front for old material.....	1,086 82
562.10 lineal feet walk, stone, at 30 cents.....	168 63
460.50 lineal feet curbing, at 42 cents.....	193 41
22.55 lineal feet re-setting curb, at 5 cents.....	1 13
3,274.97 lineal feet re-grading, at 45 cents.....	1,473 73

Total.....\$6,462 04

Respectfully submitted,

S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer.

The following estimate resolution (passed by the Common Council—see page 891, *ante*) was read:

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of John Keenan, for grading and graveling the first alley east of Laurel street from the first alley south of Prospect street to Orange street, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None

The following estimate resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 891, *ante*) was read:

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of Michael Faust, for grading and bowldering New Jersey street, and curbing with stone (except where already curbed) the outer edges of the sidewalks thereof from Washington street to the south line of lot No. 5, Yandes and Wilkins sub, square 62, be, and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following estimate resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 892, *ante*) was read:

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of August Richter, for improving New York street, from Delaware street to New Jersey street, by widening the sidewalks and curbing with stone the outer edges thereof, and bouldering the gutters, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following estimate resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 892, *ante*) was read:

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of R. P. Dunning and James W. Hudson, for re-grading and re-bouldering Meridian street, from Washington street to Louisiana street, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following report from the City Civil Engineer was read, and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 892, *ante*) was concurred in:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith report the following contracts and bonds:

Contract and bond of Fred Gansberg, for grading and bouldering the gutters of Morrison street, between Alabama and Delaware streets. Bond, \$400; surety, Richard Carr.

Contract and bond of Dunning & Hudson, for grading and graveling New Jersey street, and bouldering the gutters and curbing the outer edges of the sidewalks thereof, from the south line of lot 5 in Yandes and Wilkins' subdivision of square 62, to the C, C., C. & I. Railroad Company's tracks. Bond, \$1,000; surety, Fred. Gansberg.

Contract and bond of Dunning & Hudson, for grading, bouldering and curbing the north gutter of Ohio street, from Meridian street to Illinois street. Bond, \$900; surety, Fred. Gansberg.

Contract and bond of James Mahoney, for grading and graveling the first alley north of Market street, from the first alley east of Arsenal avenue to the first alley west of State avenue. Bond, \$200; surety, James Renihan.

Contract and bond of Henry Clay, for grading and graveling Ohio street and sidewalks, and bouldering and curbing the gutters thereof, from 222 feet east of Harvey street to the first alley west of Hanna street. Bond, \$9,000; surety, Hamilton Bailie.

- Contract and bond of John Schier, for grading and paving with brick the south sidewalk of Michigan street, from Liberty street to East street. Bond, \$400; surety, R. P. Dunning.
- Contract and bond of Dunning & Hudson, for grading and bowldering the south gutter, curbing with stone and paving with brick the south sidewalk of Ohio street, from Harvey street to a point 222 feet east. Bond, \$600; surety, John Schier.
- Contract and bond of John L. Spaulding, for curbing with stone the sidewalks of Massachusetts avenue, where not already curbed, from Pennsylvania street to New Jersey street. Bond, \$2,000; surety, A. Hayward and C. S. Roney.
- Contract and bond of Henry C. Roney, for grading and paving with brick the sidewalks of Madison avenue, from McCarty street to Ray street. Bond, \$2,000; surety, C. S. Roney and A. Haywood.
- Contract and bond of John L. Spaulding, for grading and paving with brick the north sidewalk of North street, from Tennessee street to Pennsylvania street. Bond, \$400; surety, A. Haywood and C. S. Roney.
- Contract and bond of John L. Spaulding, for grading and paving with brick and curbing with stone the north sidewalk of New York street, from Bright street to Agnes street. Bond, \$2,000; surety, C. S. Roney and A. Haywood.
- Contract and bond of Dunning & Hudson, for grading and bowldering the first alley north of Ohio street, from Meridian street to Bird street. Bond, \$150; surety, John Schier.
- Contract and bond of John L. Spaulding, for grading and paving with brick and curbing with stone the south sidewalk of New York street, from Bright street to Minerva street. Bond, \$1,500; surety, R. P. Dunning and John Schier.
- Contract and bond of John L. Spaulding, for grading and paving with brick, where not already paved, the east sidewalk of Meridian street, from McCarty street to Morris street. Bond, \$1,000; surety, A. S. Comstock.
- Contract and bond of David A. Haywood, for grading and graveling the alley between Park and Central avenues, from Seventh to Eighth street. Bond, \$500 sureties, C. S. Roney and A. Haywood.
- Contract and bond of Henry C. Roney, for grading and paving with brick, where not already paved, the west sidewalk of Broadway street, from Christian avenue to St. Clair street. Bond, \$1,500; security, J. L. Spaulding and A. Haywood.

Respectfully submitted,

S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer.

The following report from the City Clerk was read, (see page 894, *ante*) and, on motion of Alderman Tucker, was referred to the Committee on Contracts and Bridges:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen.—I herewith report the following affidavits, now on file in my office, for the collection of street assessments by precepts, to-wit:

Fred. Gansberg vs. The Mercantile Trust Co. of New York, for...	\$18 57
Fred. Gansberg vs. Hannah E. Griggs, for.....	32 65
Henry C. Roney vs. Horace R. Allen and Kate Root, for.....	38 99

And recommend you order the precepts to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

JOS. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

The following report from the City Clerk was read, and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 894, *ante*) was concurred in, except the bonds of John J. Garver and W. N. Wishard; which were referred to the Committee on Finance and Accounts & Claims:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith report the official bonds of the following city officers elect, who have otherwise qualified by filing with me their certificate of election and oath of office, as required by law:

Samuel H. Shearer, City Civil Engineer, penalty of bond \$2,000.00; giving as surety Ingram Fletcher.

Caleb S. Denny, City Attorney, penalty of bond \$1,500.00; giving as surety James L. Mitchel, Napoleon B. Taylor, Henry C. Adams, and Joseph R. Ross.

Leander A. Fulmer, Street Commissioner, penalty of bond \$2,000.00; giving as surety Henry C. Adams and Richard Carr.

Joseph H. Webster, Chief Fire Engineer, penalty of bond \$1,500.00; giving as surety, W. G. Wasson and Adam Scott.

W. N. Wishard, Superintendent of the City Hospital and Branch, penalty of bond \$2,500.00; giving as surety, Isaac N. Patterson and William H. Wishard.

John J. Garver, Superintendent City Dispensary, penalty of bond, \$3,000.00; giving as surety, J. R. Cowie and George W. Sloan.

Orville B. Rankin, Market-master, East Market, penalty of bond, \$3,000.00; giving as surety, Henry C. Adams and Philander H. Fitzgerald.

Abraham L. Stoner, Market-master, West Market, penalty of bond, \$3,000.00; giving as surety, John T. Francis and Frederick Baggs.

Respectfully submitted,

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

The following report from the City Attorney (see page 897, *ante*) was read and approved:

Indianapolis, Ind., Dec. 5th, 1881.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—I herewith submit a report of the result of the decisions in the following litigated cases:

The case of Ann M. Stiltz et al. vs. The City, et al., being an action to enjoin the collection of certain taxes, the Supreme Court has affirmed the judgment of the court below in favor of the city.

The case of Charles Bauer vs. The City et al., pending in the Superior Court, was tried by a jury, and resulted in a verdict for the city. This was an action for personal injuries alleged to have been sustained by falling on obstruction on the sidewalk of South street, near Virginia avenue.

In the case of Christian F. Reesner vs. The City, et al., being an action for damages on account of injuries to plaintiff's child, by an alleged defect in the sidewalk of Massachusetts avenue. The demurrer of the city was sustained to the complaint, and therefore the plaintiff suffered non suit, and judgment was entered in favor of the city for costs.

The case of Ann Maria Stiltz vs. The City, et al., pending in the Superior Court was tried by the court, and resulted in a finding and judgment for the city. This was an action to recover a portion of South East street.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN A. HENRY, City Attorney.

The following petition, with the resolutions, (see pages 897 and 898 *ante*) were read, and referred to the Committee on Judiciary and City Attorney:

To the Honorable Board of Commissioners of Marion County, Indiana:

Gentlemen:—Your petitioners, the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the city of Indianapolis, in the county of Marion, and State of Indiana, would respectfully represent and show to your honorable body that the said Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen, for and on behalf of the said city, are desirous of having the present corporate limits of the city reduced so as to exclude and have the following described lands and territory disannexed from the corporate limits of said city, to-wit:

Situated in the said county of Marion, and State of Indiana, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Commencing at a point on the east bank of White River, at the point of its intersection with the south line of Lot 7, of the Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railroad's subdivision of the middle part of the southeast quarter of Section three (3), Township fifteen (15), Range three (3) east, a plat of which subdivision is recorded in Plat Book No. 1, page 340, in the Recorder's office of said county; thence east along the south line of Lots seven (7) and one (1), of said subdivision, to the original donation line of said city; thence north on said donation line to the old mill race; thence northerly along the west bank of said mill race to the south bank of Fall Creek; thence north to the north bank of Fall Creek; thence northwardly along the north and west bank of Fall Creek to the present north corporation line of said city, near the northwest corner of Brooks' addition; thence west along the present north corporation line of said city to the east bank of White River; thence southwardly along the east bank of White River to the place of beginning.

And your petitioners therefore pray your honorable body that you will order and direct that the above and foregoing described lands and territory be disannexed from said city, and that the corporate limits of said city be so reduced as to exclude therefrom the said lands, subject, however, to the rights of said city with reference to the collection of taxes now due and accrued to time of disannexation, as set forth in the law in such case made and provided.

And the said city of Indianapolis hereby formally surrenders all claims to the control of, and jurisdiction over such lands and territory herein before described.

A plat of which lands so desired to be disannexed from said city, is filed herewith, and marked Exhibit "A."

The Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen of the city of Indianapolis, by John A. Henry, City Attorney.

Resolved, That the above and foregoing petition be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the petition of the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen of the city of Indianapolis, to the Board of Commissioners of Marion county, Indiana, for the disannexation from the corporate limits of said city of the lands and territory therein described; and that the city of Indianapolis hereby surrenders all claims to control of and jurisdiction over said lands and territory.

Resolved, That the City Clerk be, and he is hereby, directed to forthwith file with the Board of Commissioners of said county, a certified copy of said petition and these resolutions, together with the action and vote of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen thereon; and that he also give notice of the filing of said petition, as required by law.

The report of the Superintendent of the City Hospital and Branch, (see page 899, *ante*) was read and received.

The following report from the Board of Public Improvements was read:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen.—The Board of Public Improvements have deemed it advisable to discontinue the improvement of Garfield Park until spring, and have so ordered the Street Commissioner. We herewith report the amount expended in said improvement :

For labor and teams	\$1,041 17
For hardware.....	44 53
For blacksmithing and plow.....	29 00
Total.....	\$1,114 70

Would further report that we found the park in a dilapidated condition, grown up in weeds, full of brush, old logs and stumps, embankments washed out, buildings very much out of repair, etc. Under the supervision of the Street Commissioner some repairs have been made to buildings, the brush and old logs cleaned up and burned, and over 125 stumps taken out, dead trees removed, embankments repaired, and the lawns leveled off, besides about 600 lineal feet of streets graded and graveled. After discontinuing the work at the park, we ordered the Street Commissioner to finish breaking some stone spawls left over in the stone-yard from last spring.

We would herewith recommend that the Street Commissioner be directed to continue the work of breaking the stone at the stone-yard until such time in the spring as work may be continued improving Garfield Park.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward H. Dean,
Wm. H. Morrison,
John R. Cowie.
Board of Public Improvements.

Councilman Tucker moved that the action of the Common Council on the above report (see page 899 and 900, *ante*) be concurred in, except so much thereof as relates to the Stone Yard.

Which motion failed of adoption by the following vote :

AYES, 4—viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Mussmann, Seibert, and Tucker.

NAYS, 5—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Newman, Rorison, and President Layman.

The action of the Common Council was then concurred in.

Later in the session, Alderman Tucker moved that the above action be reconsidered.

Which motion was adopted, and the action of the Board of Aldermen reconsidered by the following vote :

AYES, 6—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Hamilton, Mussmann, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 3—viz. Aldermen Drew, Newman, and Rorison.

The report was then referred to the Committee on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage.

The report of the Board of Public Improvements and Street Commissioner, showing the expenses during the month of November, 1881, (see page 900, *ante*) was read and received.

The mortality report of the Board of Health (see page 901, *ante*) was read and received.

The following report from the Committee on Contracts was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 901, *ante*) was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Contracts, to whom was referred certain proposals presented to Council this Decemember 5, 1881, have examined the same, and find them to be as follows:

For grading and paving with brick the west sidewalk of Pennsylvania street, from Seventh street to Eighth or William street:

J. L. Spaulding, 54 cents per lineal foot front.

R. P. Dunning, 53 cents per lineal foot front.

John Schier, 50 cents per lineal foot front.

H. C. Roney, 49 cents per lineal foot front.

H. C. Roney being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend he be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

Isaac Thalman,
Edward H. Dean,
E. H. Koller,

Committee on Contracts.

The following clauses of the report from the Committee on Judiciary, (see page 902, *ante*) were read, and referred to the Finance Committee:

The first is the petition of Frank McWhinney, showing that on the 12th day of February, 1880, he purchased at city tax sale, 26 feet north side of lot 5, Pope's sub. of Fletcher's Woodlawn, and lot 5, Ross's sub. in Henderson's Addition to city, paying for the same \$59.26. Subsequently paid taxes for year 1880 on said lots, amounting to \$9 10.

The sale was void for the reason that the property was charged double on the duplicate, and taxes were paid at time of sale.

Petitioner asks that the money be refunded with interest.

Your committee recommend that the prayer of the petitioner be granted.

The second is the petition of William Rowe, showing that at city tax sale, February 12, 1880, he purchased lots 1 and 2 in Ross's re-sub. of Henderson's Addition, paying the sum of \$48.68.

Sale was erroneous, for the reason that property was charged double on duplicate, and taxes paid when property was sold.

Petitioner asks that his money be refunded with interest.

Your committee recommend that the prayer of the petition be granted.

The following report from the Committee on Bridges was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 902, *ante*) was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Bridges make the following report for your consideration and action thereon:

The center truss under the bridge on Ray street, over Pogue's Run, was very

much damaged by the recent freshet, and the bridge is now in a dangerous condition, and liable to cause damage to persons traveling thereon.

John R. Cowie,
B. W. Cole,
John W. Fultz,
Committee on Bridges.

The following report of the Committee of Conference was read :

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—Your Conference Committee, to whom Ap. O. 70, 1881, appropriating the sum of \$5,000 on account of the Street Repairs Department, together with the amendments thereto, was referred, would report :

Recommend that said amendments be not adopted, and the following amendment be adopted : "That the amount of this appropriation shall be expended by concurrent action of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen, provided that the Street Commissioner may do such work as he shall deem actually necessary."

We further recommend the adoption of the following resolution :

Resolved, That a detailed record be kept by the Board of Public Improvements, showing by items how much has been expended for material and labor in each Aldermanic district, where and how such labor was performed.

Respectfully submitted,

N. Yoke,
Isaac Thalman,
B. W. Cole,
Council Committee.

F. W. Hamilton,
Hiram Seibert,
Aldermanic Committee.

And the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 903, *ante*) was concurred in, and the resolution adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker; and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 908, *ante*) was read:

Resolved, That, for the purpose of providing a temporary loan, to the amount of seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000), to defray the current expenses during the balance of the fiscal year ending with the 31st day of May, 1882, as provided for by an act of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, approved February 13th, 1877, and an ordinance of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the city of Indianapolis, the Committees on Finance of such Council and Board are hereby directed to advertise for ten days, in four leading newspapers of general circulation, that proposals will be received at the office of the Clerk of the city, until the 22d day of December, 1881, at 12 o'clock noon, for "time warrants of 1881," dated on said twentieth day of December, 1881, and payable at the office of the Treasurer of the city of Indianapolis, on the twentieth (20th) day of April, 1882, without interest; such warrants to be issued in denomination of not less than one thousand dollars each, the city reserving the right to reject any and all proposals and bids offered.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following motions (adopted by the Common Council—see pages 911 and 914, *ante*), were read and concurrently adopted :

That the Citizens' Street Railway Company be requested to raise the grade of their track from North street to Massachusetts avenue, on Noble street; also repair their track on Massachusetts avenue, at the crossing opposite to 301, Massachusetts avenue.

That the fire plug on the corner of Meridian and Louisiana streets, be removed to a more favorable location, where it will not so much interfere with travel, by the Water Works Company.

That the lamp post on the northwest corner of Dougherty and Wright streets be remantled.

That the Street Commissioner be directed to make out bills against railroad companies and others for whom work has been done by order of the Common Council, the same remaining unpaid, and place them in the hands of the City Attorney for collection, by suit if necessary, and to report such accounts to this council.

The following motion (adopted by the Common Council—see page 912, *ante*) was read, and not concurrently adopted :

That the Committee on Streets and Alleys be directed to examine the road or street on White River bank, at the corner of Ray street, where the road has been washed away, and take some steps towards opening another road.

The following entitled ordinances (passed by the Common Council) were severally read the first time :

- S. O. 119, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on Pennsylvania street, between Seventh and Williams streets.
- S. O. 124, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick, the south sidewalk of McCarty street, from East street to Virginia avenue.
- S. O. 143, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading, paving with brick the sidewalks, curbing and bowldering the gutters of English avenue, from Dillon street to Linden street.
- S. O. 144, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes) on California street, from Indiana avenue to First street.
- S. O. 150, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick, the south sidewalk of New York street, from West street to Mississippi street (where not already properly paved.)
- G. O. 65, 1881—An Ordinance providing for a temporary loan of seventy thousand dollars, for the purpose of defraying the necessary expenses of the city of Indianapolis, during balance of the fiscal year ending with May 31st, 1882.
- G. O. 66, 1881—An Ordinance granting the Mutual Union Telegraph Company of New York the privilege of using the streets and alleys of the city of Indianapolis in constructing lines of telegraph in said city.

APPROPRIATION ORDINANCES.

This being the regular appropriation night, the following entitled Appropriation Ordinances (passed by the Common Council) were placed on their final passage without a suspension of the rules.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

Ap. O. 70, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating the sum of Five Thousand Dollars, on account of the Street Repairs Department of the city of Indianapolis.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 71, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the Fire Department. [Amount appropriated, \$1,489.44.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 72, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis on account of the City Hospital and Branch. [Amount appropriated, \$1,101.57.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 73, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Station-Houses. [Amount appropriated, \$162.38.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiten, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times :

Ap. O. 74, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Alderman Hamilton moved that the claim of "James A. Gregg, \$129.93," be stricken out of the above ordinance, and referred to the Committee on Markets and Public Property.

Which motion was adopted, and the claim referred.

Alderman Tucker moved that the claims of Jos. R. Shelton, \$60.00, and Geo. W. Crouch, \$60.00, be stricken out of the above ordinance, and referred to the Committee on Police Department and City Attorney.

Which motion was adopted, the claims stricken out, and referred, by the following vote :

AYES, 6—viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Mussmann, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 3—viz. Aldermen DeRuiten, Drew, and Newman.

Alderman Tucker's motion to reconsider the above action, failed of adoption by the following vote :

AYES, 4—viz. Aldermen DeRuiten, Hamilton, Seibert, and Tucker.

NAYS 5—viz. Aldermen Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, and President Layman.

Ap. O. 74, 1881, was then ordered engrossed as amended, (amount appropriated, \$21,539.08), read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiten, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the first and second times, and read the third time :

Ap. O. 75, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Printing, Stationery and Advertising. [Amount appropriated, \$433.37.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiten, Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert and Tucker.

NAYS, 2—viz. Alderman Hamilton, and President Layman.

Alderman Hamilton moved to suspend the rules for the purpose of placing G. O. 65, 1881, on its final passage.

Which motion was adopted, and the rules suspended by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was then read the second and third times:

G. O. 65, 1881—An Ordinance providing for a temporary loan of seventy thousand dollars, for the purpose of defraying the current expenses of the city of Indianapolis during balance of the fiscal year ending with May 31st, 1882.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

Alderman Rorison moved to refer G. O. 66, 1881, to the Committee on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage.

Alderman Hamilton moved to amend Alderman Rorison's motion so as to refer the ordinance to a special committee, said committee to consist of three members of the Board of Aldermen.

Which motion, as amended, was adopted, and the ordinance referred to a special committee. Aldermen Hamilton, Rorison and DeRuiter, were appointed by the Chair to act as the members of such committee.

REPORTS, ETC., FROM STANDING COMMITTEES.

Alderman Hamilton, in behalf of the Finance Committee, presented the following estimates, which were received:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—We hereby report the amount expended in the various city departments for the first half of the present fiscal year, to December 1st, 1881, also, the estimate for each, for year ending June 1st, 1882, to-wit:

	Estimates.	Am't. Expended.
Board of Health.....	\$ 2,500 00	\$ 1,301 00
Bridges.....	2,500 00	90 00
Assessor's Department.....	3,000 00	2,608 75
Engineer's Department.....	2,500 00	1,193 11
City Dispensary.....	3,000 00	1,490 41
City Hall.....	2,500 00	1,684 87
City Hospital and Branch.....	10,500 00	5,749 00
City Treasurer's per centage.....	5,000 00	3,539 31

Cisterns	3,000 00	1,146 77
Elections.....	700 00	691 75
Fire Department.....	70,000 00	85,516 87
Fountains.....	300 00	237 55
Garbage.....	5,400 00	2,250 02
Gas.....	62,500 00	31,218 01
Incidentals.....	2,000 00	1,618 11
Interest.....	139,670 00	69,387 50
Judgments and costs ..	12,000 00	9,462 69
Markets.....	300 00	85 78
Market Master's fees.....	2,000 00	1,460 09
Parks.....	1,300 00	761 53
Police.....	50,000 00	22,764 00
Printing.....	5,800 00	3 451 77
Salary.....	21,805 00	7,759 75
Sewers.....	15,000 00	14,291 16
Southern Park.....	1,500 00	1,114 70
Station Houses.....	3,000 00	1,388 73
Street improvements.....	15,000 00	8,508 40
Street openings and vacations	200 00	273 25
Street repairs.....	30,000 00	16,071 88
Street signs	300 00	101 10
Taxes refunded.....	500 00	718 10
Tomlinson Estate, repairs, etc.....	7,000 00	3,711 51
Water rents.....	27,000 00	14,753 00
Total.....	\$507,775 00	\$266,400 45

Respectfully submitted,

F. W. HAMILTON,
Finance Committee.

The Committee on Finance, through Alderman Hamilton, submitted the following report; which was concurred in :

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—Your committee, to whom was referred bonds of W. N. Wishard and Dr. Garver, recommend that such bonds be referred back to Council, as, by law, no member of Council or city officer can be surety on any bond of a city officer. Sec. 17, page 172.

Respectfully submitted,

F. W. Hamilton,
D. Mussmann,
H. Seibert,
Committee on Finance.

The Committee on Contracts, through Alderman Rorison, submitted the following report :

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Contracts and Bridges, to whom was referred the report of the City Clerk of an affidavit on file for collections of street assessment, to-wit :

Henry C. Roney vs. Ephraim Swallow.

Recommend that the action of the Common Council, in ordering said precept to issue, (see page 851), be concurred in.

Respectfully submitted,

George P. Wood,
Brainard Rorison,
Committee on Contracts.

On motion, the above report was concurred in, and the precept ordered to issue by the following vote :

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

The Committee on Water, through Alderman DeRuiter, submitted the following report; which was concurred in :

To the Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Water and Public Health, recommend that the action of the Council ordering a twelve hundred barrel cistern at the intersection of Morris and Church streets, be concurred in.

Respectfully submitted,

D. DeRuiter,
D. Mussmann,
Hiram Seibert,
Committee on Water and Public Health.

REPORTS FROM SELECT COMMITTEES.

Alderman Tucker, in behalf of a certain special committee, submitted the following majority report :

Amend, 1st, that milk cows only, be permitted to run at large from sun rise until sun down, and that no family, person or persons be permitted to keep more than two cows; 2d, that the impounding fee be \$1.00.

Respectfully submitted,

W. H. Tucker,
D. Mussmann,
Committee.

Alderman Rorison, member of the above special committee, submitted the following minority report :

To the President and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, member of the committee to whom was referred the ordinance to prevent the running at large of stock within the city limits, respectfully recommends the passage of the ordinance without addition or amendment.

The ordinance seems to have been drawn with great care, and with a view to the best protection of property, while imposing the least possible hardship upon those affected by it. While it prohibits the running at large of stock, it does not prevent the *herding* of cattle on the vacant grounds within the city limits. This can be done at a very trifling expense, and the *pastural* scenes so familiar heretofore to citizens, will be confined to commons, and will not extend to their private lawns and shrubbery. The fences around Circle and University Parks are in a badly dilapidated condition—rotten and broken down in places—and will have to be repaired immediately at considerable expense, if the amendment allowing cows to run at large during the day, is adopted, while under the ordinance, as now drawn, these fences can be removed; and private property that has heretofore been fenced in, can be thrown open, so making the city as are other cities of its size and importance, desirable and attractive in appearance.

Respectfully submitted,

BRAINARD RORISON.

Alderman Hamilton moved that the minority report be concurred in.

Alderman Mussmann moved that Alderman Hamilton's motion be laid on the table.

sig. 81.

Which motion to lay on the table failed of adoption by the following vote:

AYES, 4—viz. Aldermen Mussmann, Newman, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 5—viz. Alderman DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, and Seibert.

Alderman Hamilton's motion to concur in the minority report, was then adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 2—viz. Aldermen Mussmann, and Newman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

G. O. 48, 1881—An ordinance to prohibit the running at large of cattle, horses swine, sheep, fowls, and other animals within the corporate limits of the City of Indianapolis; and to provide for the impounding, keeping, sale and redemption of the same, and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 2—viz. Aldermen Mussmann, and Newman.

• INTRODUCTION OF MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS.

Alderman Hamilton presented the following motions; which were adopted:

That in all cases where the Water Works, Gas, or other company have broken any street or pavement, and have failed to place the same in as good condition as originally, then the City Civil Engineer shall place such broken street or pavement in good condition, and have the amounts deducted from any bill or quarterly account due from the city to any such company.

That the Committee on Markets and Public Property, with City Civil Engineer, report how many Parks are owned and controlled by the city; where located, how obtained, history, value, area, condition, and what should be done to improve such Parks.

Alderman Hamilton presented the following petition; which was referred to the Committee on Streets & Alleys and Sewers & Drainage, Judiciary, Ordinances & Rules, and City Attorney:

To the Honorable Mayor, Council, and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—Your petitioner, Peter Schmidt, residing at No. 394, on east Market street, in said city, would most respectfully represent that on the 17th day of October, 1864, he purchased Lot 137, in Noble's subdivision of Out-lots 45, 50, 55, 56 and 61, in said city, and has ever since resided, and still resides, thereon, with his family; that several times during the period stated, the stream or water course running through said city, and known as "Pogue's Run," has overflowed its banks and inundated a large district, including your petitioner's said lot and place of residence, and upon the occasion of each of such inundations, your petitioner has suffered very great damages, by reason of the foul waters, made so from overflowing privy vaults and other sources and deposits of filth, flowing into his cellar, well, cistern, etc., and flooding his dwelling and other buildings, and rendering them unwholesome and unfit for use, and putting him and his family to very great trouble, fatigue, inconvenience, expense and loss of health, and causing, at one time, his wife's death.

Your petitioner further represents that such overflows of said stream have been caused by the obstructions which have been made, and have been permitted to accumulate, in the way of bridges, culverts and crossings, being so constructed as to prevent the free and natural flow of the waters of said stream, and suffering great quantities of gravel, sand, and other debris to accumulate in the channel, and to be deposited within the banks of said stream. He would instance, and call especial attention to the following points:

First. The culverts at Washington street, the approaches to which are in such condition that probably not more than one-half the volume of water can or does pass in time of a freshet, that would if the channel of said stream both above and below Washington street was put and kept in proper condition and free of obstructions.

Second. The crossings of the Peru Railroad Co., and of the railroad tracks above East street, all of which are so constructed as to catch floating drift, and dam said stream to such an extent as to prevent the natural flow and free passage of the waters, as your petitioner believes, more than one-half.

Third. The approach to the culvert over said stream at East street, is in such condition as to seriously hinder the free passage of the waters.

Fourth. The bridge at New Jersey street is partly closed up, so as to prevent free passage of the waters under a part thereof.

Fifth. At many places along said stream, large quantities of dirt and debris have been, and continue to be, deposited therein, by persons in the vicinity, greatly reducing the space of the channel, and preventing the flow and free passage of the waters of said stream.

Sixth. By reason of the aforesaid obstructions hindering the direct flow and force of the natural current of said stream, vast quantities of sand and gravel, and other substances and debris, have accumulated in the bed and channel of said stream which would otherwise naturally have been carried off and into White River, by the force of an uninterrupted flow of the waters of said stream.

Seventh. Similar obstructions, if not so serious in character, exist along said stream between Washington street and the U. S. Arsenal grounds, and are liable to be the cause of great damage from overflowing.

Your petitioner therefore prays that such action may be taken by your honorable bodies, without further delay, as will secure the prompt removal of all obstructions from said stream, and prevent like obstructions in the future, and so save many citizens and residents of said city, including your petitioner, from dread and anxiety arising from constant fear of inundations from said stream, and from the damages resulting therefrom. And that your honorable bodies will at once proceed to this most urgent duty to the tax payers of a large district in said city, your petitioner will ever pray.

PETER SCHMIDT,

By Robert Denny, his Attorney.

Indianapolis, Ind., Dec, 12th, 1881,

Alderman Hamilton offered the following resolution ; which was referred, with the foregoing petition :

12WHEREAS, Pogue's Run, in its course through the city, and particularly between Ohio and Tennessee streets, is obstructed by railroad crossings, culverts, dirt, debris and other obstacles, preventing a natural flow of the water in said stream, thereby causing overflows and damage to private property and the city to liability for the payment of damages ;

Resolved, That the City Civil Engineer and City Attorney report to this body and the Common Council, as early as practicable, what action is necessary to secure a *prompt* removal of all the obstructions to a free and natural flow of the water, and to prevent any obstructions to such stream in the future.

Alderman Rorison moved to reconsider the action of the Board of Aldermen adopting a report of the Committee on Public Light and Education (see page 886, *ante*), and that the action of the Common Council, directing the City Civil Engineer to re-light the gas lamps on the inner side of Circle street, (see page 828, *ante*) be concurred in.

Which motion was adopted, and the action of the Board of Aldermen reconsidered, and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon, concurred in by the following vote :

AYES, 8—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 1—viz. Alderman Mussmann.

PENDING ORDINANCES.

Alderman Mussmann's motion referring G. O. 60, 61, 62, 63 and 64, 1881, to the Committee on Judiciary, Ordinances & Rules, failed of adoption.

By request, Alderman Mussmann was excused from voting on the following ordinances, viz : G. O. 60, 61, 62, 63 and 64, 1881.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

G. O. 60, 1881—An Ordinance creating Police Commissioners, prescribing their powers and duties, and regulating the organization of the Police Force of the city of Indianapolis.

And it failed to pass by the following vote :

AYES, 1—viz. Alderman Newman.

NAYS, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

G. O. 61, 1881—An Ordinance providing for the management of the City Hospital, and providing for the selection of Supervisors of said Hospital.

And it failed to pass by the following vote :

AYES, 1—viz. Alderman Newman.

NAYS, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

G. O. 62, 1881—An Ordinance to establish a Dispensary in the city of Indianapolis to be known as the City Dispensary, and to provide for the government and management thereof.

And it failed to pass by the following vote :

AYES, 3—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Newman, and Tucker.

NAYS, 5—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert. and President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

G. O. 63, 1881—An Ordinance creating Commissioners of Public Works and Improvements, and prescribing their powers and duties, and the manner of their election and appointment.

And it failed to pass by the following vote :

AYES, 1—viz. Alderman Newman.

NAYS, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

G. O. 64, 1881—An Ordinance creating Fire Commissioners, and providing for the organization of the Fire Department of the city of Indianapolis, and prescribing rules and regulations governing the same.

And it failed to pass by the following vote :

AYES, 1—viz. Alderman Newman.

NAYS, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

Alderman Tucker moved to reconsider the action of the Board of Aldermen on the above ordinances.

Alderman DeRuiter moved to lay Alderman Tucker's motion to reconsider, on the table,

Which motion to lay on the table, was adopted.

Alderman Rorison offered the following motion ; which was adopted:

That the City Attorney and the Chief of the Fire Department be, and are hereby, directed to prepare an ordinance, and have the same presented to the next meeting of the Council for their action, regulating the issuing of building permits, and providing such restrictions as may be authorized by the charter ; and that such permits be issued only when approved by the Chief of the Fire Department and His Honor, the Mayor.

President Layman offered the following resolution :

WHEREAS, It is apparent that the cost and expense of the Police Department is largely increased by the sale of intoxicating liquors within the city : and

Whereas, There is a general public demand that all saloons, dram shops, and all other places where intoxicating liquors are sold, within the corporate limits of the city, should pay to the city a license fee for the privilege of carrying on said business ; therefore,

Resolved, That the City Attorney and His Honor, the Mayor, be, and they are hereby, requested to prepare an ordinance providing for two classes of licenses ; the first of which shall include all places where intoxicating liquors, including wine and beer, are sold, and the second such places where beer only is sold ; and that the license fee for the first class be \$100 00, and the license fee for the second class be \$25.00 ; and that His Honor, the Mayor, be requested to present the said ordinance, when properly prepared, to the Common Council for its action thereon.

And it was adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 2—viz. Aldermen Mussmann, and Newman.

Alderman Drew moved that the Board of Aldermen do now adjourn.

Which motion to adjourn, failed of adoption by the following vote :

AYES—None.

NAYS, 9—viz Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

Alderman Hamilton moved to suspend the rules for the purpose of placing S. O. 144, 1881, on its final passage.

Which motion was adopted, and the rules suspended by the following vote :

AYES, 8—viz. Aldermen DeRuitter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS, 1—viz. Alderman Rorison.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

S. O. 144, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on California street, from Indiana aveuue to First street.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 9—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, Tucker, and President Layman.

NAYS—None.

On motion, the Board of Aldermen then adjourned.

JAMES T. LAYMAN, President

Attest: GEO. T. BREUNIG, Clerk.