

PROCEEDINGS OF COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION—MARCH 2, 1891.

The Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, met in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, March 2d, A. D. 1891, at 7:30 o'clock, in regular session.

PRESENT—HON. Thomas L. Sullivan, Mayor, and *ex officio* President of the Common Council in the Chair, and 25 members, viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Hicklin, Markey, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Weber, Woollen, and Yontz.

ABSENT—None.

The Proceedings of the Common Council for the regular session held February 16th, 1891, having been printed and placed upon the desks of the Councilmen, said Journals were approved as published.

COMMUNICATIONS, ETC., FROM THE MAYOR.

His Honor, the Mayor, presented the following communication; which was referred to the Committee on Judiciary:

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned respectfully represents that about twenty-five years ago he had constructed a block of brick buildings on the north side of Washington street, at the north-west corner of Noble and Washington streets. That said buildings were constructed in conformity to the grade of Washington street, as it then was and has been ever since, until the Warren-Sharf Company recently raised the street in front of these buildings about 12 inches against the earnest remonstrance of your petitioner, but under the authority, as the Company claims, of the city. The raising of the street at this point makes it necessary to raise the sidewalks and the lower floors of the buildings to have them the proper height from the street as they were before, and even then the damage by overflow of water into the cellars has been greatly increased by raising the street, as was shown by the result of the late freshet.

In addition to the block of eight store rooms on Washington street, above mentioned, the undersigned has other buildings in the same square more or less injured by the change. Wishing only that what is just and proper may be done, and preferring not to bring a suit, the undersigned will be glad to confer with any City officer, or Committee, the Council may be pleased to appoint for that purpose with power to act.

Very respectfully,

WM. H. ENGLISH.

His Honor, the Mayor, submitted the following report; which was read and received:

To the Mayor, Thomas L. Sullivan, the President of the Board of Aldermen, Isaac Thalman, and to each member of the Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The Committee of 'the United Soldiers' Organizations for the celebration of Washington's birthday, (last), tender thanks for the use of the Public Hall on that occasion. Nothing will be lost by calling the people together for a patriotic purpose. The committee recommend that the city ordinance be modified as to allow a National Salute to be fired from public grounds on Washington's birthday and on the 4th day of July each year.

By order of the Committee:

John Geis, Ch'n.,
Henry C. Smith,
Lawrence Geis, Sec'y.

Feb. 27, 1891.

REPORTS, ETC., FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The City Clerk submitted the following report; which was read and received:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith submit an itemized statement, showing the amount of orders drawn on the City Treasury during the month of February, 1891, viz:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| Board of Health..... | \$ 464 25 |
| Bridges..... | 3,100 00 |
| City Civil Engineer's Department..... | 1,014 38 |
| City Dispensary..... | 505 19 |
| City Hall..... | 59 45 |
| City Hospital and Branch..... | 2,162 78 |
| Fire Department Pay-Rolls..... | 6 054 50 |
| Fire Department Accounts..... | 1,398 97 |
| Gas..... | 3,549 06 |
| Incidentals..... | 200 00 |
| Judgments and Costs..... | 21 20 |
| Markets..... | 514 75 |
| Parks..... | 596 56 |
| Police..... | 6,204 93 |
| Printing..... | 405 04 |
| Salary..... | 492 00 |
| Sewers..... | 6,690 35 |
| Station House..... | 196 77 |
| Street Improvements..... | 7,509 21 |
| Street Openings and Vacations..... | 107 00 |
| Streets Repairs, Pay-rolls..... | 1,528 72 |
| Street Repairs, Accounts..... | 214 20 |
| Tomlinson Hall Accounts..... | 201 49 |
| Cemeteries..... | 27 40 |
| Illinois Street Tunnel..... | 62 00 |
| Vapor Light..... | 425 00 |
| Public Charity..... | 100 00 |
| | \$43,805 20 |

Respectfully submitted,

E. B. SWIFT, City Clerk.

The City Clerk submitted the following report:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith report the following entitled affidavits, now on file in the office of the City Clerk, for the collection of street improvement assessments by precept, to-wit:

Roney & Dunning vs. Charles E. Kerbach for\$25 83
Roney & Dunning vs. Charles E. Kerbach for 24 13

Respectfully submitted,

E. B. SWIFT, City Clerk.

Which report was received, and the precepts ordered to issue, by the following vote :

AYES, 20—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Hicklin, Markey, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Olsen, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Weber, and Woollen.

NAYS, 3—viz: Councilmen Nolan, Pearson, and Yontz.

The Chief Fire Engineer submitted the following communication ; which was referred to the Committee on Fire Department :

Indianapolis, March 2, 1891.

To His Honor, the Mayor and Members of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—I respectfully ask for an appropriation of one hundred dollars to defray incidental expenses, such as expressage, freight) etc.

J. H. WEBSTER, Chief Fire Engineer.

REPORTS FROM OFFICIAL BOARDS.

The Board of City Commissioners submitted the following report and resolution :

Indianapolis, Ind., February 18th, 1891.

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana :

Gentlemen:—The undersigned members, being all the members of the Board of City Commissioners of the City of Indianapolis, Ind., and being duly appointed and qualified, and acting as a Board of City Commissioners in and for said city, under the provisions of the Statutes of the State of Indiana, in relation to the vacation of streets and alleys, etc., beg leave to report.

1. That we met in the City Clerk's office February 16, 1891, pursuant to notice of City Clerk, which said notice with the proper return of the Superintendent of the Metropolitan Police Force endorsed thereon, is in words and figures following, to-wit :

To assess benefits and damages arising from the opening and extending of the first alley west of Shelby street, from its present terminus north to Coburn street; and find the following facts from the papers on file in the City Clerk's office, and the record of the City Commissioners, viz :

That the former Board of Commissioners met in the office of the City Clerk on Monday, July 14, 1880, at 10 o'clock A. M., to consider the matters contained in the petition of August Richter, et. al., in the matter of opening and extending the the above described alley, all in accordance with the notice of the City Clerk, which said notice with the proper return of the Superintendent of the Metropolitan Police Force endorsed thereon, is in words and figures following, to-wit :

Who, after examining said petition and plat, all of which were found correct, proceeded to view the premises and surrounding property effected by said proposed opening and extension, and directed the Secretary to issue notice to the City Clerk to have the proper officer notify certain interested parties therein named, to meet them on Monday, the 22d day of September, 1890, at 10 o'clock A. M., to further consider matters pertaining thereto; which said notice is in words and figures following, to-wit :

That on Monday, September 22, 1890, the Commissioners met pursuant to said notice and adjournment, and found that objections to said opening and extension were presented in writing by Mr. A. Seidenstieker, Attorney for L. H. Muelier, through whose lot said extended alley was proposed to be opened; more fully set forth in the remonstrance which is made a part hereof.

The Board also found that the report of your Committee directed the Commis-

sioners to vacate, in lieu of said opening and extension, a small alley west of said proposed opening, to which objection was made by parties on both sides of said alley, thereby stopping the proceedings as to the vacation of said diagonal alley.

The Commissioners then prepared a report to your honorable bodies, which was duly accepted by the Council October 20, 1890, and by the Board October 27, 1890, which said report is made a part hereof, and directed the Commissioners to proceed with said opening and extensions, and annulling, rescinding, and vacating certain proceedings had in the matter in 1885-6, and re-referring the opening and extension of said alley in accordance with the petition to the City Commissioners, which report and resolution is made a part hereof. And also

That on the 24th day of December, 1890, pursuant to notice from the City Clerk as to the action last above referred to, the City Commissioners met and directed the Secretary to prepare notice to interested parties under new resolution; which said notice directed the City Clerk to have proper officer notify certain interested parties to meet the Commissioners on Monday, February 16, 1891, at 10 o'clock A. M., for its further consideration.

That on day and date last named above, the City Commissioners met in the City Clerk's office, and after hearing evidence in matters pertaining thereto, there being no objection on the part of any one to said proposed opening and extension, the Board adjourned to meet at 9 o'clock A. M., February 17, 1891, to review the locality described in said petition.

We then proceeded to assess the benefits and damages arising from said opening and extension, and found as follows:

1. That the extension and opening of the first alley west of Shelby street, from the first alley south of Coburn street to Coburn street, re-referred as directed under petition and resolution, condemns for public use, a strip of land 12 feet wide through Lot No. 1, Dougherty's Subdivision of Out-lot 99, City of Indianapolis.

2. We award, as damages for land taken, to Louis H. Mueller, for a strip 12 feet wide, north and south through Lot one (1) of Dougherty's Subdivision of Out-lot 99, coterminus with the first alley west of Shelby street, at its northern extremity \$150 00

Said amount to cover all possible damage to said Mueller as the owner of said lot one (1.)

3. The costs of these proceedings are \$101 00

4. Total damage including costs \$251 00

We assess as benefits to the parties and properties named below for an outlet to their several properties, as follows:

| | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|---------|----------|
| August Richter | Munson's sub., Birkenmeyer's add..... | Lot | 1... | \$ 15 20 |
| Lena Richter | do do do..... | do..... | " 2... | 15 20 |
| William H. Carle | do do do..... | do..... | " 3... | 15 20 |
| do | do do do..... | do 25½ ft. n side | " 4... | 10 13 |
| Fidelia Hodges | do do do..... | do 12½ ft. s side | " 4... | 5 07 |
| do | do do do..... | do 12½ ft. n side | " 5... | 5 07 |
| do | do do do..... | do 13½ ft m pt. | " 5... | 5 33 |
| South Side Foundry.. | do do do..... | do 12 ft. s. side | " 5... | 4 80 |
| do | do do do..... | do..... | " 6... | 15 20 |
| J. C. Schaffer, Trustee | do do do..... | do..... | " 7... | 13 30 |
| do | do do do..... | do..... | " 8... | 13 30 |
| do | do do do..... | do..... | " 9... | 13 30 |
| do | do do do..... | do..... | " 10... | 13 30 |
| do | do do do..... | do..... | " 11.. | 13 30 |
| Mary Burgman..... | do do do..... | do..... | " 12... | 5 00 |
| Brothers of the Sa- cred Heart.. | Wiley & Martin's sub., Birkenmeyer's add., Lots 1-2... | | | 88 30 |

Total benefits including costs..... \$251 00

We report herewith a resolution, which we recommend be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

John F. Steeg,
James Smith,
H. M. Hadley,
W. M. Coval,
Chas. R. Balke.

City Commissioners of Indianapolis, Ind.

Be it resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the report of the Board of City Commissioners in the matter of the petition of August Richter, and others, praying for the opening and extension of the first alley west of Shelby street, from the first alley south of Coburn street to Coburn street, be, and the same is hereby, in all things accepted, adoped and approved, and in accordance with said report, the said alley, as described in the report, be, and the same is hereby, opened and extended.

That the said petitioners be, and they are hereby, required to pay to the County Treasurer for the city, within twenty days from the adoption of this resolution, the sum of one hundred and fifty (\$150) dollars, that being the amount of benefits assessed over the damages by reason of the said opening, and also the sum of one hundred and one (\$101) dollars, that being the amount of expenses reported by the said Board of City Commissioners, as taxed in this matter; and that said petitioners be, and they are hereby, required to have made out by the engineer, filed by the City Clerk, and recorded in the Recorder's office, of Marion county, Indiana, a plat of the said alley hereby opened, and to procure from the City Clerk and have recorded in the Recorder's office of Marion County, Indiana, a certified copy of this resolution, all at their own expense. *Provided, however,* that until the said benefits and expenses are paid as aforesaid, said alley shall not be opened or otherwise used than as now.

Which report was received, and the resolution adopted, by the following vote :

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gauss, Hicklin Markey, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Raasmann Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Weber, and Woollen.

NAYS—None

The Board of City Commissioners submitted the following report and resolution :

Indianapolis, Ind., Feb. 26, 1890.

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—The undersigned members, being all the members of the Board of City Commissioners of the City of Indianapolis, and being duly appointed, qualified, and acting as a Board of City Commissioners in and for said city, under the provisions of the Statutes of the State of Indiana, in relation to the vacation of streets and alleys, etc., beg leave to report :

That the former Board of Commissioners met pursuant to notice of City Clerk, on Monday, December 22, 1890, at 10 o'clock A. M., to examine, appraise and assess the damages and benefits, if there be any, in the matter of opening and extending Katherine street, from Fernway to Lawrence street, as shown by plat and petition of U. A. Greenleaf, et. al., all in accordance with the notice of the City Clerk, which said notice, with the proper return of the Superintendent of the Metropolitan Police force endorsed thereon, is in words and figures following, to-wit :

After examining said petition and the accompanying plat — all of which we found correct—we proceeded to view the ground and surrounding locality, and directed the Secretary to prepare a notice to the Clerk to have proper officer notify certain interested property owners to meet the Commissioners on Thursday, February 19, 1891, at 10 o'clock A. M., for the further consideration of the case, all in accordance with said notice, with the proper return of the Superintendent of the

Metropolitan Police Force endorsed thereon, which is in words and figures following, to-wit:

On Monday, February 19, 1891, at place and hour named, the undersigned Commissioners, confirming and accepting unanimately all former action had in this case, and having previously visited the locality named, viz., on the 18th day of February, 1891; proceeded to hear evidence in the case. After the examination of many witnesses and a careful consideration of the matter before us, we find the following facts:

1. That there are no parties objecting to said opening and extension.
2. That said opening and extension of said Katherine street, contemplates the condemnation for public use of lots numbered twenty-three (23), and forty-two (42), of DeWolf's Subdivision of A. E. and I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition to Indianapolis, Ind.
3. We value the land taken at four hundred and seventy-five dollars (\$475.00.)

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| We award to Arthur A. Anderson, for Lot 42, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition | \$300 00 |
| (See benefits to Lot 41.) | |
| Cicero Brown, for Lot 23, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition | 175 00 |
| See benefits to Lots 20, 21, 22, same Subdivision and Addition. | |
| The costs of these proceedings are..... | 80 00 |
| Total damages including costs | \$555 00 |

We apportion the benefits as follows:

| | |
|--|----------|
| To Cicero Brown, to Lots 20, 21, 22, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | \$ 50 00 |
| To Mary J. Hicklin, Lots 24, 25, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 35 00 |
| To Daniel Bruennerman, to Lots 43, 44, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 50 00 |
| To Arthur A. Anderson, to Lot 41, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 30 00 |
| To Aaron Clem, to Lot 40, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 10 00 |
| To A. A. Griffin, to Lots 26, 27, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... (\$5.00 each) | 10 00 |
| To Cicero Brown, to Lots 28, 29, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition | 6 00 |
| (\$3.00 each) | |
| To John and Ann Heron, to Lot 15, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 5 00 |
| To John and Ann Herron, to Lot 16, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 5 00 |
| To John and Ann Herron, to Lot 17, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition | 5 00 |
| To John and Ann Herron, to Lot 18, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 5 00 |
| To John and Ann Herron, to Lot 19, DeWolf's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 5 00 |
| To James Lackey, to Lot 36, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 15 00 |
| To Catherine F. Kirm, to Lot 37, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 15 00 |
| To Matthias Specker, to Lots 38, 39, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition | 24 00 |
| (\$12.00 each) | |
| To Mary F. Pierce, to Lot 40, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | 12 00 |
| To Eliza Gilmore, to Lots 41, 42, 43, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition | 36 00 |
| (\$12.00 each) | |

| | | |
|---|----------------|----------|
| To Francis S. Hobbs, to Lots 44, 45, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | (\$12.00 each) | \$ 24 00 |
| To C. A. Greenleaf, et. al., to Lots 46, 47, 48, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | (\$12.00 each) | 36 00 |
| To John P. H. Lane, to Lots 24, 25, 26, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | (\$12.00 each) | 36 00 |
| To Rachel Baker, to Lots 27, 28, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | (\$12.00 each) | 24 00 |
| To Mary J. White, to Lot 29, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | | 12 00 |
| To Albert I. Ferguson, to Lots 30, 31, 32, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | (\$12.00 each) | 36 00 |
| To Henry Gearhart, to Lot 33, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | | 12 00 |
| To Frank Tateman, to Lot 34, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | | 12 00 |
| To Alonzo D. & A. E. McNutt, to Lot 35, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | | 12 00 |
| To Luella B. Hartley, to Lot 49, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | | 3 00 |
| To Albert Jackson, to Lot 50, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | | 3 00 |
| To Charles Maguire, to Lots 51, 52, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | (\$3.00 each) | 6 00 |
| To Amelia W. Platter, to Lots 53, 56, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | (\$3.00 each) | 6 00 |
| To George V. Coen, to Lot 54, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | | 3 00 |
| To C. A. Greenleaf, to Lot 55, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | | 3 00 |
| To Alex M. Robertson, to Lots 57, 58, 59, Dorsey's Subdivision, A. E. & I. Fletcher's Oak Hill Addition..... | (\$3.00) | 9 00 |
| Total benefits, including costs..... | | \$515 00 |

We report herewith a resolution, which we recommend be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

James Smith,
W. M. Coval,
Chas. R. Balke,
John L. F. Steeg,
H. M. Hadley.

City Commissioners of Indianapolis.

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the report of the Board of City Commissioners in the matter of the petition of Frank Lackey and others, praying for the opening and extension of Katherine street, from Fernway to Lawrence street, be, and the same is hereby, in all things accepted, adopted and approved; and in accordance with said report, the said street, as described in the report, be, and the same is hereby, opened and extended. That said petitioners be, and they are hereby, required to pay the County Treasurer for the city, within twenty days from the adoption of this resolution, the sum of four hundred and seventy-five dollars (\$475.00), that being the amount of benefits assessed over the damages by reason of said extension, and the sum of eighty dollars (\$80 00), that being the amount of expenses reported by the said Board of City Commissioners, as taxed in this matter; and that said petitioners be, and are hereby, required to have made out by the engineer, filed by the City Clerk, and recorded in the Recorder's office of Marion County, Indiana, a plat of the said street hereby opened, and to procure from the City Clerk and have recorded in the Recorder's office of Marion county, Indiana, a certified copy of this resolution, all at their own expense: *Provided, however,* that until the said benefits and expenses are paid as aforesaid, and said plat and certified copies of said proceedings required as aforesaid, said street shall not be opened or otherwise used than as now.

Which report was received, and the resolution adopted, by the following vote :

AYES, 23—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Hicklin, Markey, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Sweetland, Trusler, Weber, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

REPORTS FROM STANDING COMMITTEES.

The Committee on Contracts, through Councilman Austin, submitted the following report :

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Contracts, to whom was referred the proposals received February 16, have examined the same and find them to be as follows :

For constructing a brick sewer, single ring, two and one-half feet internal diameter, in and along Seventh street, from Pennsylvania street to Meridian street.

Timothy T. Shehan, \$1.89 for sewer per lineal foot, catch basins, \$44.00 each, man holes, \$35.00 each.

Gansberg & Roney, \$1.85 for sewer per lineal foot, catch-basins, \$45.00 each, man holes, \$25.00 each.

Roney & Dunning, \$1.75 for sewer per lineal foot, catch-basins, \$40.00 each, man-holes, \$23.00 each.

A. Bruner, \$1.55 for sewer per lineal foot, catch-basins \$55.00 each, man-holes, \$30.00 each.

William Bossert, \$1.65 for sewer per lineal foot, catch-basins, \$30.00 each, man-holes, \$25.00 each.

William Bossert being the lowest and best bidder, recommend he be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted.

Edw. A. Austin,
O. R. Olsen,
Orto Stechhan,
Committee on Contracts.

Which report was adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 25—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Hicklin, Markey, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Weber, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

The Committee on Markets, through Councilman Burns, submitted the following report ; which was concurred in :

To the Mayor and Common Council :

Gentleman:—Your Committee on Markets would recommend the approval of the lease herewith filed, for the leasing of the ground therein described, and known as the West Market grounds.

Michael J. Burns,
M. D. Yontz,
Robt Martindale,
Committee on Markets.

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, through Councilman Rassmann, submitted the following report and resolution :

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The Committee on Streets and Alleys of the Common Council, to whom was referred the final estimate, made by the City Civil Engineer, in favor of Henry Clay, contractor, for the total cost of two thousand, eight hundred and eighty-six dollars, and ninety-three cents, (\$2,886.93), pursuant to Special Ordinance No. 70, 1890, respectfully report that said committee met in Room 3 of the City Clerk's office, on the 28th day of January, 1891, at 10 o'clock A. M. in pursuance to a notice given therefor, and having carefully examined and considered final estimate, and no one appearing to object to the same, recommends that said final estimate and assessment so made by said Engineer, be, in all things, adopted, approved and confirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

Emil C. Rassmann,

Chas. A. Gauss,

Robt. Martindale,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, Ind., That the report of the Committee on Streets and Alleys, of the Common Council, recommending that the final estimate, made by the City Civil Engineer, in favor of Henry Clay, contractor, for the grading, bowldering and curbing the gutters and graveling the roadway, and paving with brick the sidewalks of Windsor street, from Clifford avenue to Stoughton street, pursuant to Special Ordinance No. 70, 1890, be, and the same is hereby, approved. That the assessments set forth in said final estimate (as so altered and amended,) are hereby approved and confirmed; and there is hereby assessed against the several lots and parcels of ground, as described in said final estimate, the several amounts set opposite and assessed against said several lots and parcels of ground, respectively, for and on account of said improvement.

The County Auditor is hereby directed to place said assessments upon the city tax duplicate, and to charge the same respectively against the several lots and parcels of ground against which they have been so assessed as follows, to-wit: Ten per cent. for each successive year for ten years, together with interest on the several amounts so assessed, at six per cent. per annum payable semi-annually, calculated from the date of the approval of this estimate, until the several amounts fall due. The first ten per cent. of said assessments, with interest on the whole from the date of the approval of this estimate, shall be due and payable on the third Monday in April, 1891; the semi-annual interest on the unpaid balance shall be due and payable thereafter at the dates of the semi-annual payment of city taxes in each year; and on the third Monday in April, in each year thereafter one-tenth of the principal of said assessment, together with the semi-annual interest on the unpaid balance, shall be due and payable, until all is paid.

Provided, however, That the above provision for extending said assessments upon the city tax duplicate, and collecting the same successively in annual and semi-annual installments, principal and interest respectively, shall apply only to the owner or owners of said lots or parcels of ground, who shall promise and agree, in writing, filed with the City Clerk of this city, that, in consideration of having the right to pay his or her assessment or respective assessments in installments, they will not make any objection to the illegality or irregularity as to their respective assessments, and will pay the same, when due, with interest thereon, not exceeding six per cent. as shall, by ordinance or resolution of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen, be prescribed and required.

The said assessments, together with interest thereon, shall, from the date of the approval of this estimate, be a lien upon the several lots and parcels of ground against which they are assessed respectively to the same extent that taxes are a lien upon such property; and the said assessments, when placed upon the city tax duplicate, shall be collected in the same way that city taxes are collected; all said assessments not so extended upon the city tax duplicate, pursuant to the above proviso, shall be at once due and payable and shall be collected by precept and sale, as now provided by law.

The proceeds from such assessments as are so placed on the city tax duplicate,

shall constitute a special fund to be applied to the payment of the costs of said improvement, and of the bonds and certificates to be issued therefore, and for no other purpose.

Which report was received, and the resolution adopted, by the following vote:

AYES, 23—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Markey, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Weber, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, through Councilman Rassmann, submitted the following report and resolution:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, Ind:

Gentlemen:—The Committee on Streets and Alleys of the Common Council, to whom was referred the final estimate, made by the City Civil Engineer, in favor of Fulmer, Cooper & Co., contractors, for the total cost of eleven hundred, twenty-seven dollars and twenty-five cents (\$1127.25) pursuant to Special Ordinance No. 56, 1890, respectfully report that said Committee met in Room 3, of the City Clerk's office, on the day of, 1891, at 10 o'clock A. M., in pursuance to a notice given therefor, and having carefully examined and considered final estimate, and no one appearing to object to the same, recommends that said final estimate and assessment so made by said Engineer, be in all things, adopted, approved and confirmed. Respectfully submitted,

EMIL C. RASSMANN,
Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, Ind, That the report of the Committee on Streets and Alleys of the Common Council, recommending that the final estimate, made by the City Civil Engineer, in favor of Fulmer, Cooper & Co., contractors, for the grading, bowldering and curbing the roadway of Clinton street, and paving with brick the sidewalks thereof, from Vermont street to New York street, pursuant to Special Ordinance No. 56, 1890, be, and the same is hereby, approved—amended as follows: To cover property fifty feet back. That the assessments set forth in said final estimate, (as so altered and amended,) are hereby approved and confirmed; and there is hereby assessed against the several lots and parcels of ground, as described in said final estimate, the several amounts set opposite and assessed against said several lots and parcels of ground, respectively, for and on account of said improvement.

The County Auditor is hereby directed to place said assessments upon the city tax duplicate, and to charge the same respectively against the several lots and parcels of ground against which they have been so assessed as follows, to-wit: Ten per cent. for each successive year for ten years, together with interest on the several amounts so assessed, at six per cent. per annum payable semi-annually, calculated from the date of the approval of this estimate, until the several amounts fall due. The first ten per cent. of said assessments, with interest on the whole from the date of the approval of this estimate, shall be due and payable on the third Monday in April, 1891; the semi-annual interest on the unpaid balance shall be due and payable thereafter at the dates of the semi-annual payment of city taxes in each year; and on the third Monday in April in each year thereafter one-tenth of the principal of said assessment, together with the semi-annual interest on the unpaid balance, shall be due and payable, until all is paid.

Provided, however, That the above provision for extending said assessments upon the city tax duplicate, and collecting the same successively in annual and semi-annual installments of principal and interest respectively, shall apply only to the owner or owners of said lots or parcels of ground, who shall promise and agree, in writing, filed with the City Clerk of this city, that, in consideration of having the right to pay his or her assessment or respective assessments in installments, they will not make any objection to the illegality or irregularity as to their respective

assessments, and will pay the same, when due, with interest thereon, not exceeding six per cent. as shall, by ordinance or resolution of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen, be prescribed and required.

The said assessments, together with interest thereon, shall, from the date of the approval of this estimate, be a lien upon the several lots and parcels of ground against which they are assessed respectively to the same extent that taxes are a lien upon such property; and the said assessments, when placed upon the city tax duplicate, shall be collected in the same way that city taxes are collected, all said assessments not so extended upon the city tax duplicate, pursuant to the above proviso, shall be at once due and payable and shall be collected by precept and sale, as now provided by law.

The proceeds from such assessments as are so placed on the city tax duplicate, shall constitute a special fund to be applied to the payment of the costs of said improvement, and of the bonds and certificates to be issued therefore, and for no other purpose.

Which report was received, and the resolution adopted, by the following vote:

AYES, 23—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Markey, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Weber, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, through Councilman Rassmann, submitted the following report and resolution:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of Joseph H. Clark, Wm. H. Corbaley, and others, asking for the vacation of five (5) feet on each side of Twenty-second (22d) street, from Meridian to Mississippi street, would respectively report that we have examined the matter and would recommend that the prayer of the petitioners be granted and the accompanying resolution be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

Emil C. Rassmann,

Chas. A. Gauss,

Robt. Martindale,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the petition of Joseph H. Clark, Wm. H. Corbaley, and others, praying for the vacation of five (5) feet on each side of Twenty-second street, between Meridian and Mississippi streets, be referred to the Board of City Commissioners, together with the plat accompanying the same, with instructions to assess benefits and damages caused by such vacation, and to make due report to the Common Council and Board of Aldermen. The said Board of City Commissioners to return all petitions, plats and notices. The City Clerk is hereby required to issue, and the Superintendent of the Metropolitan Police Force to serve proper notices upon the City Commissioners, and the petitioners are hereby required to serve the proper notice upon the property owners, and to show, by affidavit, due service of such notices; *Provided*, That before the City Clerk issue the said notice to the City Commissioners, a bond shall be filed with said City Clerk, to the approval of the Mayor, guaranteeing the payment of all costs and charges of said Commissioners in the matter.

Which report was received, and the resolution adopted, by the following vote:

AYES, 21—viz: Councilmen Austin, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Markey, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Sweetland, Trusler, Weber, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, through Councilman Rassmann, submitted the following report and resolution:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the following matters, beg leave to report as follows:

1st. That we have examined the petition of James B. Conaty, Sisters of Providence, and H. Alerding, asking for the vacation of the first alley south of North street, from Noble street to the first alley west of Noble street, and would recommend that the prayer of the petitioners be granted and the accompanying resolution be adopted.

2d We have examined the petition of Otto Stechhan, W. H. Coleman, and Aug. Erbrich, asking for the opening, widening and extension of Tompkins street, to a width of fifty feet, and would respectfully recommend that the request of the petitioners be granted and the accompanying resolution be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

Emil C. Rassmann,

Chas. A. Gauss,

Rob't. Martindale,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the petition of Otto Stechhan, W. H. Coleman, and August Erbrich, praying for the opening, widening and extension to a width of fifty feet of Tompkins street from East street west to Madison avenue, so that the south line of said Tompkins street, when so opened, shall be 25,635 chains north of the south line of Section thirteen (13), Township fifteen (15), north of Range three (3) east, and prayed for in said petition, be referred to the Board of City Commissioners with instructions to assess benefits and damages, and to make due report to the Common Council and Board of Aldermen; the City Commissioners to return all petitions, plats and notices.

The City Clerk is hereby instructed to issue the proper notices, and the Superintendent of the Metropolitan Police Force is hereby directed to serve said notices on said City Commissioners and upon the property owners: *Provided*, That before the Clerk issue the said notices to the said City Commissioners, a bond shall be filed with the said City Clerk, to the approval of the Mayor, guaranteeing the payment of all costs and charges of said Commissioners in this matter.

Which report was received, and the resolution adopted, by the following vote:

AYES, 18—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Martindale, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Trusler, Weber, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS, 1—viz: Councilman Sweetland.

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, through Councilman Rassmann, submitted the following report and resolution:

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the petition of James B. Conaty, Sisters of Providence, and H. Alerding, praying for the vacation of the first alley south of North street, from Noble street to the first alley west of Noble street, between Lots Nos. 14 and 15, Out-lot 49, be referred to the Board of City Commissioners, together with plat accompanying the same, with instructions to assess benefits and damages caused by such vacation, and to make due report to the Common Council and Board of Aldermen. The said Board of City Commissioners to return all petitions, plats and notices.

The City Clerk is hereby instructed to issue the proper notices, and the Superintendent of the Metropolitan Police Force is hereby directed to serve said notices on said City Commissioners and upon the property owners: *Provided*, That before the City Clerk issue the said notices to said City Commissioners, a bond shall be filed with the said City Clerk, to the approval of the Mayor, guaranteeing the payment of all costs and charges of said Commissioners in this matter.

Which report was received, and the resolution adopted, by the following vote:

AYES, 17—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Dunn, Gasper, Markey, Martindale, Murphy, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Sweetland, Weber, and Woollen.

NAYS, 3—viz: Councilmen Davis, Trusler, and Yontz.

The Committee on City Improvements, through Councilman Yontz, submitted the following report and recommendations:

Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on City Improvements, through its chairman, ask for plans and specifications for the purpose of protecting the bank or grounds of the City Hospital. The City Civil Engineer, as per request, prepared said plans and the same are filed herewith, with the recommendation that the City Clerk be directed to advertise for bids as per plan "B" herewith filed.

Respectfully submitted,
 M. D. Yontz,
 M. J. Murphy,
 John R. Pearson,
 Committee on City Improvements.

Indianapolis, February 12, 1891.

To M. D. YONTZ, Esq., Chairman Committee on City Improvements:

Dear Sir:—By request of your Committee I have made the necessary survey, plans and estimate, for the purpose of protecting the bank or grounds of the City Hospital from highwater in Fall creek. I herewith submit for your consideration and would recommend that plan "B" be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,
 H. A. MANSFIELD, City Civil Engineer.

Estimates for proposed protection to City Hospital grounds, from high water in Fall creek.

PLAN "A."—Construct stone retaining wall from east end of bridge along the bank of creek, for a distance of 425 feet.

1,920 cubic yards of masonry, at \$10.00..... \$19,200 00

PLAN "B."—Drive one row of piling from the east end of bridge along the bank of creek for a distance of 350 feet, and sheathe the same with three-inch oak plank on one side, from water's edge to top, and afterward fill in behind with earth, debris or street cleanings.

117 piling, at \$8.80.....\$1,029 60
 10,500 board measure, oak timber, at \$25 00..... 262 50
 \$1,292 10

PLAN "C."—Construct two break-waters, 50 feet each in length, made of two-rows of piling sheathed with three-inch oak plank and filled with rip-rap.

133 piling, at \$8.80.....\$1,170 40
 12,000 oak timber, at \$25 00..... 300 00
 120 yards of rip-rap, at \$3.00..... 360 00
 \$1,830 40

Which report was received, and the recommendations adopted.

MESSAGES AND PAPERS FROM THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN.

The following message (see page 209, *post*.) was read:

To the Mayor and Members of the Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—The Board of Aldermen, in special session held this evening, adopted the following report:

Indianapolis, March 2d, 1891.

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Public Light, together with the City Attorney, to whom was referred the report of the Committee on Public Light of the Council, would respectfully report that we have had the same under consideration, and find that since this report was passed by the Common Council, at its meeting on February 16th, 1891, it has been changed in the following manner: First, by inserting the word Thursday for Tuesday, and changing the date from February 17th, 1891, to February 16th, 1891; Second, by changing the date Monday, the 23d day of February, 1891, to Monday, March 2d, 1891; Third, by inserting the following: "All poles, including all cross-arms, pins and brackets, to be painted two coats of good paint of such color as the City Engineer may designate."

We would respectfully recommend that this body would return the report of the Committee on Public Light of the Common Council, together with this report, officially notifying them of these changes, for such action as they may desire to take in the matter. We are of the opinion that the changes were made in good faith, and with no purpose to mutilate the records.

Respectfully submitted,

H. B. Smith,
John J. Blackwell,
Theo. F. Smither,
Committee on Public Light.

LEON O. BAILEY, City Attorney.

I submit herewith the original report adopted by the Common Council February 16th, 1891. S. V. PERROTT, Clerk.

On motion by Councilman Yontz, the changes reported were adopted, and the message referred to the Committee on Public Light.

The Committee on Public Light, through Councilman Gauss, submit the following report:

To the Mayor, and Common Council :

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Public lighting, to whom was referred the matter of purchasing a plat for electric lighting, and for electric lighting by contract, endeavored to obtain all possible competition, but have failed to receive a single bid on your proposition up to date, and finding that the bid received from the Brush Electric Lighting and Power Co., on January 5, 1891, is the lowest and best bid for the underground system, we respectfully submit the following resolution.

Charles A. Gauss,
W. M. Hicklin,
Otto Stechhan.

Councilman Gauss submitted the following resolution :

Resolved That the Brush Electric Light and Power Co. be awarded the contract for supplying the city with 550 electric lights of 2000 candle power each, on a five years' contract, in accordance with their bid received by the Common Council on January 5, 1891, and all additional lights to be supplied at the same price. The said Brush Electric Light and Power Co. to supply, free of charge, twelve engine houses each with 6 incandescent electric lights of 16 candle power each.

Councilman Woollen offered the following amendment :

Provided, That the entire city, within its present boundaries, be lighted at a sum not exceeding sixty-five thousand dollars per year.

Councilman Olsen moved to lay the amendment on the table.

Which failed of adoption by the following vote :

AYES, 4—viz: Councilmen Gauss, Murphy, Myers, and Olsen.

NAYS, 21—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Hicklin, Markey, Martindale, McGill, Nolan, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Weber, Woollen, and Yontz.

Councilman Woollen's amendment was then adopted, by the following vote :

AYES, 13—viz: Councilmen Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Hicklin, Markey, Martindale, Pearson, Trusler, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS, 12—viz: Councilmen Austin, Gauss, Murphy, Myers, McGill, No'an, Olsen, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, and Weber.

Councilman Trusler moved to refer the whole matter to the Committee on Finance.

Councilman Gauss moved to lay Councilman Trusler's motion on the table.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 13—viz: Councilmen Austin, Cooper, Dunn, Gauss, Murphy, Nolan, Olsen, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Weber, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS, 12—viz: Councilmen Burns, Coy, Davis, Gasper, Hicklin, Markey, Martindale, Myers, McGill, Pearson, Sweetland, and Trusler.

One bid for lighting being received, on motion by Councilman Rassmann, it was opened and read.

On motion by Councilman Yontz, Councilman Gauss' resolution, as amended by Councilman Woollen, was laid on the table.

On motion by Councilman Rassmann, the bid of the Electric Luminating Company, of Chicago, and the whole matter of public lighting, was referred back to the Committee on Public Light.

The following message was read :

To the Mayor, and Common Council :

Gentlemen:—The Board of Aldermen, at its special session held Monday evening, February 16, amended S. O. 223, 1800, by striking out the words New York and inserting in lieu thereof the Vermont wherever they appear.

I submit the same for your consideration.

For the Board of Aldermen,

S. V. FERROTT, Clerk.

On motion by Councilman Burns, the amendments were concurred in, by the following vote :

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

APPROPRIATION ORDINANCES.

This being the regular appropriation night, the following entitled Appropriation Ordinances (passed by the Common Council) were placed on their final passage, without a suspension of the Rules :

Councilman Woollen, on behalf of the Hospital Board, introduced the following entitled appropriation ordinance :

Ap. O. 16, 1891—An ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the City Hospital and Branch. [Amount appropriated, \$1,977.85.]

Read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, read the third time and passed, by the following vote :

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

Councilman Hicklin, on behalf of the Fire Department, introduced the following entitled ordinance :

Ap. O. 17, 1891—An ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the Fire Department. [Amount appropriated, \$945 72.]

Read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, read the third time and passed, by the following vote :

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

Councilman Weber, on behalf of the Committee on Accounts and Claims, introduced the following entitled ordinance :

Ap. O. 18, 1891—An ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis. [Amount appropriated, \$20,262.91.]

Read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, read the third time and passed, by the following vote :

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

The City Clerk, on behalf of the Board of Police Commissioners, introduced the following entitled ordinance :

Ap. O. 19, 1891—An ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Station House. [Amount appropriated, \$212.82.]

Read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, read the third time and passed, by the following vote :

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

Councilman Yontz, on behalf of the Street Commissioner, introduced the following entitled ordinance :

Ap. O. 20, 1891—An ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the Street Repair Department. [Amount appropriated, \$285.64]

Read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, read the third time and passed, by the following vote :

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

Councilman Woollen, on behalf of the Finance Committee, introduced the following entitled ordinance :

Ap. O. 21, 1891—An ordinance appropriating money for the payment of the salaries of City Officers, Committe Clerk, Janitors and Assistant Janitors of the City Hall and Tomlinson Hall, and of the East and West Market Masters. [Amount appropriated, \$5,526.00.]

Read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, read the third time and passed, by the following vote :

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

Councilman Markey introduced the following entitled ordinance :

Ap. O. 22, 1891—An ordinance appropriating the sum of one thousand dollars, to pay the salaries of the Park Police, employes of the City Civil Engineer, Board of Health, and of the East Market Master.

Read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, read the third time and passed, by the following vote :

AYES, 22—viz: Councilmen Austin, Burns, Cooper, Coy, Davis, Dunn, Gasper, Gauss, Martindale, Murphy, Myers, McGill, Nolan, Olsen, Pearson, Rassmann, Sherer, Stechhan, Sweetland, Trusler, Woollen, and Yontz.

NAYS—None.

On motion, the Common Council then adjourned.

J. L. Sullivan, Mayor,
President of the Common Council.

Attest:

B. Dewey, City Clerk.

[Faint, illegible handwriting covering the upper and middle portions of the page.]

[A large, stylized signature or name in cursive script, possibly reading "John Smith", located at the bottom center of the page.]

PROCEEDINGS OF BOARD OF ALDERMEN.

SPECIAL SESSION—MARCH 2, 1891.

The Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis met in the Aldermanic Chamber, Monday evening, March 2d, A. D. 1891, at 8:00 o'clock, in special session, pursuant to the following call:

To the Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—You are hereby requested to meet in special session in the Aldermanic Chamber, Monday evening, March 2d, 1891, to consider the report from the Committee on Public Light.

ISAAC THALMAN, President.
H. W. LAUT, Vice President.

PRESENT—HON. Isaac Thalman, President of the Board of Aldermen, in the Chair, and Aldermen Blackwell, Breunig, Laut, Reilly, Reinecke, Reynolds, Smith, and Smither—9.

ABSENT, 1—viz: Alderman Farrell.

The Committee on Public Light, through Alderman Smith, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

Indianapolis, March 2d, 1891.

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Public Light, together with the City Attorney, to whom was referred the report of the Committee on Public Light of the Common Council, would respectfully report that we have had the same under consideration, and find that since their report was passed by the Common Council, at its meeting on February 16th, it has been changed in the following manner: First, by inserting the word Thursday for Tuesday, and changing the date from February 17th, 1891, to February 19th, 1891; Second, by changing the date Monday, the 23d day of February, 1891, to Monday, March 2d, 1891; Third, by inserting the following: "All poles, including all cross-arms, pins and brackets, to be painted two coats of good paint, of such color as the City Engineer may designate."

We would respectfully recommend that this body would return the report of the Committee on Public Light of the Common Council, together with this report, officially notifying them of these changes, for such action as they may desire to take in the matter. We are of the opinion that the changes were made in good faith, and with no purpose to mutilate the records.

Respectfully submitted,

H. B. Smith,
John J. Blackwell,
Theo. F. Smither,
Committee on Public Light.

LEON O. BAILEY, City Attorney.

On motion, the Board of Aldermen then adjourned.

Isaac Thalman, President.

Attest: *M. Herratt*, Clerk.