

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, }
Monday, August 14th, 1876—7½ o'clock P. M. }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, John Caven, in the chair, and the following members:

Councilmen Adams, Buehrig, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—20.

Absent—Councilmen Byram, Case, Diffley, McGill, Pouder, and Thomas.—6.

The proceedings of the regular session, held August 7th, 1876, were read and approved.

Sealed proposals for building a sewer from City Hospital to Fall Creek, and for building a wooden bridge over the State Ditch on Ruckle street, were received opened, read, and referred to the Committee on Contracts.

Mr. Bugbee, from the Committee on Contracts, submitted the following report:

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Your Committee on Contracts, to which was referred the bids presented to Council August 7, 1876, providing for the construction of a Hose Reel house on east Washington street, such building to be erected according to plans and specifications now on file in the office of the Chief Fire Engineer, have examined said bids, and find them to be as follows, viz:

Shover & Christian, \$1,696.15.

J. Geo. Hoereth, \$1,600.00.

Henry Bermann, \$1,480.00.

J. H. Chamberlain, \$1,250.00.

J. H. Chamberlain being the lowest and best bidder, your committee recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

J. W. BUGBEE,

D. M. RANSELL,

Committee on Contracts.

Which was concurred in and the contract awarded.

Also, the following report:

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Contracts, to which was referred sundry proposals, presented to Council, August 7th, 1876, have examined the same and find them to be as follows, to-wit:

First. For grading and graveling the first alley west of West street, running north and south from Merrill to Grant streets—

Geo. Wm. Seibert, 15 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

J. Garner & Co., 14 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

John Schier, 14 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

J. G. Sickler & Co., 13 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

John Law, 13 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

A. Verhofstad, 13 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

M. Flaherty, 12½ cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Jas. Mahoney, 12 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Mahoney being the lowest and best bidder, your committee recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Second. For grading and graveling the first alley west of Noble street, between Ohio and Miami streets—

Jas. Mahoney, 25 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

John Schier, 23 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

J. G. Sickler & Co., 20 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Muse, 19 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Wm. Morrison, 18½ cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Garner & Co., 18 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Garner & Co. being the lowest and best bidders, your committee recommend that they be awarded the contract.

Third, For grading and graveling the first alley east of East street, from McCarty street to the second alley north of McCarty street—

August Verhofstad, 20 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Edward Belcher, 19 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

J. G. Sickler & Co., 18 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

John Schier, 18 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Irwin & Hanna, 18 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Geo. Wm. Seibert, 17 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Mahoney, 15 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Mahoney being the lowest and best bidder, your committee recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Fourth. For grading and graveling the alley between Pleasant street and Woodlawn avenue, from Olive to Linden streets—

- J. Garner & Co., 14 cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- F. Gansberg, 14 cents per lineal foot front on each side.]
- John Schier, 13½ cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- Irwin & Hanna, 13 cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- Geo. Wm. Seibert, 12½ cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- J. G. Sickler & Co., 12 cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- J. Mahoney, 12 cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- A. Verhofstad, 11¾ cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- Wm. Morrison, 11½ cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- Henry Clay, 11½ cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Henry Clay being the lowest and best bidder, your committee recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Fifth. For grading and graveling Clark street and sidewalks, from Hill avenue to Valley Drive.

- John L. Hanna, \$1.55 per lineal foot front on each side.
- J. Garner & Co., \$1.29 per lineal foot front on each side.
- Geo. W. Buchanan, \$1.26 per lineal foot front on each side.
- Wm. L. White, \$1.25 per lineal foot front on each side.
- James Muse, \$1.06 per lineal foot front on each side.
- J. Mahoney, \$1.05 per lineal foot front on each side.
- S. J. Smock, \$1.00 per front foot on each side.
- Geo. W. Seibert, 99 cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- Patterson & Dunning, 99 cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- Irwin & Hanna, 95 cents per lineal foot front on each side.
- Study & Elliott, 77 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Study & Elliott being the lowest and best bidders, your committee recommend that they be awarded the contract.

Sixth. For grading and graveling Orange street and sidewalks, from Shelby to Spruce streets—

Wm. L. White, 85 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Geo. W. Buchanan, 78 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Henry Clay, 75 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

J. Mahoney, 70 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Patterson & Dunning, 69 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Geo. Wm. Seibert, 65 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

M. Foust, 62½ cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Irwin & Hanna, 59 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Fred Gansberg, 53 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Fred Gansberg being the lowest and best bidder, your committee recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Seventh. For grading and graveling Japan or East street, from Morris to Nebraska streets, and the sidewalks thereon where not already improved—

John Schier, 60 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Muse, 49½ cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Wm. L. White, 56 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Henry Clay, 40 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Patterson & Dunning, 49 cent per front foot on the east side, and 43 cents per front foot on the west side.

Geo. W. Buchanan, 49 cents per front foot on each side, and 38 cents per front foot on each side where the sidewalks are already improved.

J. Garner & Co., 48 cents per lineal front foot on the east side, and 38 cents per front foot on the west side.

Jas. Mahoney, 50 cents per front foot on the east side, and 35 cents per front foot on the west side.

Fred Gansberg, 43 cents per lineal front foot on the east side, and 30 cents per lineal front foot on the west side.

Irwin & Hanna, 38 cents per lineal front foot where not already improved, and 34 cents per lineal foot front where already improved.

Geo. Wm. Siebert, 43 cents per front foot on each side of line improved where the sidewalks are not already made, and 29 cents per front foot where the sidewalks are already improved.

Geo. Wm. Seibert being the lowest and best bidder, your committee recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

J. W. BUGBEE,

D. M. RANSELL,

Committee on Contracts.

Which was concurred in, and contracts awarded.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The City Civil Engineer submitted the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I hereby report the following estimate for work done :

A first and final estimate allowed J. G. Sickler & Co. for grading and graveling the alley between New Jersey and Alabama streets, from St. Mary to St. Joseph streets—

630 lineal feet at 10 $\frac{1}{4}$ cents..... ..\$64.57 $\frac{1}{2}$

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following estimate resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed J. G. Sickler & Co., for grading and graveling the alley between New Jersey and Alabama streets, from St. Joseph to St. Mary streets, be, and the same is, hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt,

Steinhaur, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—17.

Negative—None.

Also, the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

I was directed to examine the point on Pogues Run near the Catharine street bridge where the lots have been washed away by floods, and ascertain if the channel cannot be so changed as to throw the volume of water on the east side to prevent the west bank from further washing.

It will be necessary to remove the earth from the east side and throw the Run into its old channel. On the west side a wall of hewn timber 215 feet long and six feet high should be constructed along the original line, and the earth taken from the east side filled in behind said wall. This will straighten the channel and prevent the further washing of the west bank. The cost of said bank will be about as follows :

215 by 6 feet wall, at \$2.00 per foot.....	\$430 00
800 cubic yards excavation, including refilling, at 20 cents.....	160 00
Total.....	<u>\$590 00</u>

SECOND.

I would respectfully report that Michigan street, between the mill-race and White River is but twenty-two (22) feet in width, rendering travel along that thoroughfare very inconvenient, and I would recommend that the Street Commissioner be instructed to use all of the surplus earth in that neighborhood for filling in and widening said street. Also, that he be instructed to post up signs on the place referred to, giving notice to the public that surplus earth, street or yard cleanings, or rubbish may be deposited on said street at the point named, such deposits of course to be made within stakes given by the City Civil Engineer. It was in this way the old Canal bed was filled up, and by the same means Michigan street could be widened to sixty feet in a comparatively short time and at little or no expense.

THIRD.

In compliance with instructions from the Council, I have prepared and hereby report an ordinance to provide for the construction of a brick sewer

from State street in and along English avenue and Laurel or Spruce street to Pleasant Run.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

The first clause was received.

The second clause was concurred in.

The third clause was received.

The City Civil Engineer submitted general ordinance No. 47, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for the construction of a brick sewer from State street, in the city of Indianapolis, in and along English avenue and Laurel or Spruce street to Pleasant Run.

Which was read the first time.

Also, the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen :—Herewith I report for your approval the following contracts and bonds :

Contract and bond of Henry C. Roney for curbing with stone the south side of Merrill street, between East and New Jersey streets.

Contract and bond of Wm. L. White for building a fire cistern at the corner of Laurel and Orange streets.

Contract and bond of Wm. L. White for building a fire cistern at the corner of College avenue and Twelfth or Reagan streets.

Contract and bond of Wm. L. White for building a fire cistern at the corner of Decota and Morris streets.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Mr. Laughlin moved to reject the contract and bond of H. C. Roney for curbing the south sidewalk of Merrill street, between East and New Jersey streets.

Mr. Thalman moved as a substitute to postpone action on said contract and bond.

Which substitute was adopted.

The remainder of the report was received, contracts concurred in, and bonds approved.

The City Clerk submitted the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I hereby report the following affidavits now on file in my office for the collection of street assessments, to-wit :

James Mahoney vs. Henry W. Hilderbrand for.....	\$ 68 20
James Mahoney vs. Indianapolis, Cincinnati & Lafayette Railroad Co. for.....	106 95
James Mahoney vs. Henry W. Hilderbrand for ...	83 70
James Mahoney vs. Indianapolis, Cincinnati & Lafayette Railroad Co. for.....	53 00
James Mahoney vs. James Bogart for.....	94 24
James Mahoney vs. William H. Sheets for ...	31 00
James Mahoney vs. C. H. Gerard (christian name unknown) for....	31 00
James Mahoney vs. Mary McClain for.....	51 00
James Mahoney vs. Mary A. Street.....	17 40
James Mahoney vs. Elizabeth Weilman for....	18 75
James Mahoney vs. Benjamin Johnson for	17 60
Irwin & Hanna vs. Joseph T. Dougherty for.....	20 40
Irwin & Hanna vs. Mary Knight for..	10 71
Irwin & Hanna vs. William W. Ware for....	20 40
Irwin & Hanna vs. Isaac Hooper for..	19 16

Irwin & Hanna vs. Isaac Hooper for... ..	19 17
Samuel P. Strong vs. Thomas E. Teters for	23 87
Samuel P. Strong vs. Thomas E. Teters for.....	30 80
Samuel P. Strong vs. Thomas E. Teters for	30 80
Samuel P. Strong vs. Thomas E. Teters for... ..	30 80
Samuel P. Strong vs. Thomas E. Teters for.....	30 80
Samuel P. Strong vs. Thomas E. Teters for.....	30 80
Samuel P. Strong vs. Thomas E. Teters for....	30 80
Samuel P. Strong vs. Thomas E. Teters for.	30 80
Samuel P. Strong vs. Thomas E. Teters for.. ..	30 80
Samuel P. Strong vs. William Seaman, John M. Brown, and William S. Brown for	107 80
Samuel P. Strong vs. S. Corbly Knight for.....	115 50

And respectfully recommend that you order the precepts to issue.

BENJ. C. WRIGHT,

City Clerk.

Which was concurred in, and precepts ordered to issue by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Buehrig, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—Councilman Schmidt.—1.

The City Treasurer submitted the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

REPORT OF THE CITY TREASURER SHOWING RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS OF
THE CITY TREASURY FROM MAY 13TH TO JULY 31ST,
(INCLUSIVE) 1876.

Receipts.

From balance on hand May 13, 1876..... \$ 40,431 57

From taxes collected.....	22,739 14
From loans.....	51,867 71
From licenses and other sources..	7,444 67
Total.....	<u>\$122,483 09</u>

Disbursements.

Assisting City Assessor.....	\$ 669 81
Board of Health.....	18 06
Bonds and interest.....	36,666 00
Costs	217 60
City Commissioners.....	200 00
Civil Engineer department.....	175 18
Damages.....	60 00
Elections.....	71 22
Fountains.....	10 07
Fire Department.....	10,206 71
Gas Fixtures.....	24 00
Hospital.....	193 22
Lamp-lighting.....	187 87
Levee.....	1,142 82
Markets.....	23 55
Office Fixtures.....	50
Printing.....	680 93
Police.....	3,628 26
Station House.....	132 76
Sinking Fund.....	27,355 85
Sewers.....	1,596 35
Street repairs.....	4,487 59
Salary..	2,044 09
Street improvements.....	855 65
Taxes refunded.....	37 50
Tomlinson estate.....	3,571 32
Balance on hand.....	28,226 18
Total.....	<u>\$122,483 09</u>

Respectfully submitted,

H. W. TUTEWILER,

Treasurer.

Which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

The City Attorney submitted the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—As directed, I herewith report for your action a resolution adopting the report of the City Commissioners in the matter of the laying out, opening and straightening of Blackford street to a width of 45 feet from Indiana avenue to North street.

Respectfully submitted,

R. O. HAWKINS,
City Attorney.

Which was received.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the report of the City Commissioners in the matter of the laying out, opening and straightening of Blackford street to a width of 45 feet from Indiana avenue to North street, made to Council June 5, 1876, and amended by report of August 7, 1876, be, and is, hereby adopted and accepted, and that the real estate necessary for said purpose is hereby appropriated. That the City Clerk be, and he is, hereby required to deliver to the City Treasurer a certified copy of so much of said report as assesses benefits and damages upon real estate, and in which the real estate so assessed is described, and the City Treasurer is hereby directed to collect said benefits and pay said damages as required by law.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Buehrig, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

Also, the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemne :—I herewith report an ordinance, as directed by your honorable body to provide for the relief of the property owners on Madison avenue

from the assessment upon their property for the purpose of constructing a sewer from Yeiser to Ray streets, and appropriating money for the payment of the same.

Respectfully submitted,

R. O. HAWKINS,

City Attorney.

Which was received.

The City Attorney introduced general ordinance No. 48, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance to relieve property owners on Madison avenue from the assessment upon their property for the purpose of constructing a sewer from Yeiser to Ray streets, and appropriating money for the payment of the same.

Which was read the first time.

The Board of Health submitted the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Report of deaths in the city of Indianapolis from 6 o'clock P. M., on the 5th day of August, to 6 o'clock P. M., on the 12th day of August, 1876.

Under 1 year	14
1 to 2 years.....	7
2 to 3 "	2
3 to 4 "	0
4 to 5 "	0
5 to 10 "	2
10 to 20 "	2
20 to 30 "	1
30 to 40 "	0
40 to 50 "	2
50 to 60 "	0
60 to 70 "	0
70 to 80 "	2
80 to 90 "	0

90 " 100 years.....	0
Above 100 "	0
Unknown	0
Total.....	32

Respectfully submitted,

J. W. MARSEE,
Secretary Board of Health, pro tem.

F. M. HOOK, M. D.,
President Board of Health.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—The undersigned respectfully ask that the ordinance pending for the last four weeks, requiring certificates preparatory to burial of deceased persons, be taken up and passed this evening. The present law is so loosely constructed that we are powerless to prevent its violation.

Respectfully submitted,

F. M. HOOK,
S. A. ELBERT,
J. W. MARSEE,
Board of Health.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—We recommend that the ordinance to grade the first alley east of Kennington street, from Yeizer street south, be taken up and passed. The alley in its present condition is impassible and injurious to the health of the neighborhood.

Respectfully submitted,

F. W. HOOK,
S. A. ELBERT,
J. W. MARSEE,
Board of Health.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—We recommend that the ordinance to grade and gravel Sullivan street, near Buchanan, be passed without the least delay. The residents in that locality have been exceedingly patient and long suffering, and we do not think it advisable to tax their forbearance any longer. Our recommendation has been before your honorable body now some three months, and the ponds are as offensive as ever.

Respectfully submitted,

F. M. HOOK,

S. A. ELBERT,

J. W. MARSEE,

Board of Health.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—We recommend that the ordinance to grade and gravel Oak street, from Cherry street to the first alley north, be passed in spite of remonstrance, as in our opinion the public health requires said improvement.

Respectfully submitted,

F. M. HOOK,

S. A. ELBERT,

J. W. MARSEE,

Board of Health.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—We would respectfully urge upon you the great necessity for an efficient system of removing garbage. We believe this is the only city of the size in the United States where this matter is so much neglected. Should the Council feel disposed to take up the reform in earnest at this time, we

will be happy to offer such suggestions as are in our power as to the best way to relieve the present pressure.

Respectfully submitted,

F. M. HOOK,

S. A. ELBERT,

J. W. MARSEE,

Board of Health,

Which was received.

Mr. Adams presented the contract and bond of Fred Gansberg for grading and graveling Orange street and sidewalks, from Shelby to Spruce streets.

Which was concurred in, and bonds approved.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES.

Mr. Ransdell introduced special ordinance No. 102, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Second street and sidewalks, from West street to the Central Canal.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Schmidt presented the following petition :

Indianapolis, August 14, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—Your petitioners pray that you allow them to make their stands on the public streets as formerly, to-wit :

That they may be allowed to stand in front of hotels and such other places as will benefit the traveling public, provided they govern themselves according to such restrictions as may be made by the Council, and that parties violating such restrictions shall be subject to the penalties required by, and adopted by, said ordinance to be made by the City Attorney, and adopted by the Common Council of the said city of Indianapolis.

Further, we will say that under the present restrictions we are unable to pay our horse feed, much less our hand hire, and in justice to the traveling public deem this as one of the best moves for the benefit of the city.

Joseph Grinsteinner, Alexander Colvin, H. Beh-

rent, Henry Bresa, G. W. Manley, John Hommoner, Robert Thomas, Wm. H. Ryan, James Bea, Tim Hurley, David Clary, Charles Cox, F. Girton, Dennis Sullivan, Wade & Co., Pat Hurley.

Which was received, and referred to the Committee on Revision of Ordinances.

Also, general ordinance No. 49, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance to amend section one of an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance to establish public stands for licensed public hacks, carriages, express wagons, drays, or other vehicles, kept or used for the purpose of transporting passengers, freight, or other articles to and from points within the city of Indianapolis," ordained November 29, 1875.

Which was read the first time, and referred to the Committee on Revision of Ordinances.

By consent, Mr. Steinhauer, from Committee on Public Buildings, submitted the following report :

Indianapolis, July 31, 1876.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—Your committee, to whom was ordered to get plans for an extension to the Central Station House, have done the same. We recommend that the addition be built as soon as possible, as the plans have been approved by the Police Board and your committee.

Also, to whom was referred the recommendation from the Board of Health to drain the Tomlinson property, on North Illinois street, recommend a drain be put in to connect with the Illinois street sewer, the same to be a 12 inch stone-ware pipe.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL STEINHAUER,
W. G. WRIGHT,
WM. BUEHRIG,

Committee on Public Buildings.

The first clause was received, and the second clause was referred to the Street Commissioner with instruction to do such work.

As a question of privilege, Mr. Adams offered the following motion :

Moved, That all further action for the improvement of Oriental street be postponed, and the matter be referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys, with instructions to report next Monday night.

After considerable debate and delay, the above motion was laid upon the table by a viva voce vote.

SPECIAL ORDER—GENERAL ORDINANCES ON SECOND AND THIRD READING.

The following ordinance were taken up and stricken from the files.

General ordinance No. 53, 1874,	introduced	June 29, 1874.
“ “ “ 56, 1874,	“	July 6, 1874.
“ “ “ 60, 1874,	“	July 20, 1874.
“ “ “ 72, 1874,	“	August 10, 1874.
“ “ “ 78, 1874,	“	September 7, 1874.
“ “ “ 79, 1874,	“	September 14, 1874.
“ “ “ 82, 1874,	“	September 14, 1874.
“ “ “ 89, 1874,	“	October 12, 1874.
“ “ “ 101, 1874,	“	December 14, 1874.
“ “ “ 102, 1874,	“	December 21, 1874.
“ “ “ 3, 1875,	“	January 11, 1875.
“ “ “ 5, 1875,	“	January 25, 1875.
“ “ “ 6, 1875,	“	February 1, 1875.
“ “ “ 9, 1875,	“	February 15, 1875.
“ “ “ 11, 1875,	“	February 15, 1875.
“ “ “ 17, 1875,	“	March 8, 1875.
“ “ “ 22, 1875,	“	April 5, 1875.
“ “ “ 25, 1875,	“	May 17, 1875.
“ “ “ 40, 1875,	“	June 14, 1875.
“ “ “ 49, 1875,	“	July 6, 1875.

General ordinance No. 50, 1875, introduced July 6, 1875.

"	"	"	59, 1875,	"	July 19, 1875.
"	"	"	64, 1875,	"	August 16, 1875.
"	"	"	70, 1875,	"	August 30, 1875.
"	"	"	73, 1875,	"	September 6, 1875.
"	"	"	80, 1875,	"	October 11, 1875.
"	"	"	88, 1875,	"	November 1, 1875.
"	"	"	95, 1875.	"	November 22, 1875.
"	"	"	1, 1876,	"	January 10, 1876.
"	"	"	7, 1876,	"	February 21, 1876.
"	"	"	29, 1876,	"	May, 15, 1876.
"	"	"	32, 1876,	"	May 29, 1876.
"	"	"	38, 1876,	"	July 3, 1876.

The following ordinances were taken up and postponed.

General ordinance No. 54, 1874.

"	"	"	1, 1875.
"	"	"	52, 1875.
"	"	"	55, 1875.
"	"	"	67, 1875.
"	"	"	82, 1875.
"	"	"	89, 1875.
"	"	"	97, 1875.
"	"	"	30, 1876.

The following ordinances were read the second time and engrossed :

General ordinance No. 51, 1875,

"	"	"	86, 1875.
"	"	"	6, 1876.
"	"	"	12, 1876.
"	"	"	37, 1876.
"	"	"	40, 1876.

General ordinance No. 41, 1876.

“ “ “ “ 42, 1876.

“ “ “ “ 45, 1876.

General ordinance No. 53, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to repeal section six of an ordinance to establish licensed public hacks, carriages, etc.

Was read the second time.

Dr. Stratford moved that the ordinance be engrossed.

Mr. Craft moved, as a substitute, to refer to the Committee on Revision of Ordinances.

Which motion to refer was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Morse, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—13.

Negative—Councilmen Buehrig, Kenzel, Laughlin, McGinty, Reasener, Steinhauer, and Stratford.—7.

General ordinance No. 61, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance supplemental to an ordinance entitled, “An ordinance relative to the sale of fresh meats in the East Market of the city of Indianapolis.

Was read the second time, and referred to the Committee on Markets.

General ordinance No. 63, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance regulating the removal of slops, offal, and waste matter from the corporate limits of the city of Indianapolis.

Was read the second time, and referred to the Committee on Sellers' Farm.

General ordinance No. 77, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance regulating the shutting off of the supply of water by the Water Works Co. of Indianapolis in certain cases, and prescribing a penalty for its violation.

Was read the second time, and referred to the Committee on Water Works.

On motion, Mr. Buehrig was excused.

General ordinance No. 96, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance prohibiting any Councilman from collecting money, and imposing on the City Treasurer the duty, and requiring Councilmen who become apprized that money is due the city to notify the Treasurer thereof.

Was read the second time, and referred to the Committee on Revision of Ordinances.

General ordinance No. 13, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance providing for the payment of all fees collected by officers of the city of Indianapolis into the city treasury.

Was read the second time.

Dr. Stratford moved to refer to the Committee on Revision of Ordinances and City Attorney, with instructions to prepare an ordinance fixing the salaries of all officers without fees.

Mr. Schmidt moved to lay the motion on the table.

Which motion to lay on the table was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Morse, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—13.

Negative—Councilmen Bugbee, Kenzel, Laughlin, McGinty, Reasener and Stratford—6.

Dr. Stratford moved to refer to the Committee on Revision of Ordinances with instructions to report in two weeks.

Mr. Thalman moved to lay the above motion on the table.

Which motion to lay on the table was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Morse, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—12.

Negative—Councilmen Bugbee, Kenzel, Laughlin, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener and Stratford.—7.

Mr. Thalman moved to refer to the Committee on Revision of Ordinances.

Which motion was adopted.

General ordinance No. 14, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance concerning the Sellers' Farm.

Was read the second time, and referred to the Committee on Sellers' Farm.

General ordinance No. 15, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance defining certain duties of sanitary policemen in reference to the Sellers' Farm.

Was read the second time, and referred to the Committee on Sellers' Farm.

General ordinance No. 43, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance prescribing certain regulations relative to the sale and purchase of provisions, produce and other articles at any market in the city of Indianapolis.

Was read the second time, and referred to the Committee on Markets by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—15.

Negative—Councilmen Laughlin, Schmidt, Steinhauer, and Stratford—4.

By consent, Dr. Stratford offered the following motion :

Moved, That the City Marshall be instructed to enforce the ordinance providing for the stationing of a flagman at the crossing of East street by the I. C. & L. R. R. Co.

GENERAL ORDINANCES ON THIRD READING.

General ordinance No. 23, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to prevent persons from driving over the bridges across White River faster than a walk.

Was read the third time.

Mr. A. L. Wright moved to amend by adding the south Delaware street bridge or viaduct.

Which amendment was adopted by unanimous consent.

The ordinance as amended was then passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed,

Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 18, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to prevent horses from running at large within the corporate limits of the city of Indianapolis.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 32, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance prohibiting persons from watering diseased horses, cows, and other animals at any well or public drinking fountain of the city of Indianapolis.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 45, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance for the repair of sidewalks and for the taxation of adjoining property for the same.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 51, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grant permission to Ebert & Ownes to construct a railroad truck to connect with the Indianapolis, Cincinnati & Lafayette Railroad Company.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 86, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to prevent geese and ducks from running at large in the city of Indianapolis.

Was read the third time and postponed.

General ordinance No. 6, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance prohibiting the throwing of stones or other missiles in the city.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 12, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance to repeal an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance granting Greenleaf & Co. the right to construct and maintain a railway switch from their foundry, crossing Tennessee street diagonally, and connecting with the Indianapolis Rolling Mill railroad track at or near the intersection of said track and Merrill street," ordained and established the 30th day of April, 1870.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 37, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance to amend section twelve of an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance authorizing the construction, extension and operation of certain passenger railroads in and upon the streets of the city of Indianapolis," ordained and established the 18th day of January, 1864.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 40, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance to amend section five of an ordinance entitled "An ordinance to further promote and secure the health of the city of Indianapolis," ordained August 1st, 1872.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, Arthur G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 41, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance in relation to the payment of damages by the City Treasurer for the condemnation of real estate.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 42, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance protecting public morality, decency, and order.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

General ordinance No. 45, 1876, entitled :

An ordinance to prevent communication with the inmates and prisoners at the Home for Friendless Women, without the consent of the person in charge of the said Home.

Was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Bugbee, Craft, Darnell, Izor, Kenzel, Laughlin, Morse, McGinty, Ransdell, Reasener, Reed, Schmidt, Steinhauer, Stratford, Thalman, Webster, Wright, Arthur L., and Wright, William G.—19.

Negative—None.

On motion the Council adjourned.

J. H. Poreen Mayor.

Attest :

Reep Wright City Clerk.