

PROCEEDINGS  
OF THE  
COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, }  
*Monday, December 20th, 1875—7 o'clock P. M.* }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, John Caven, in the chair, and the following members :

Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford and Thalman--22.

Absent—Councilmen Darnell, Stuckmeyer, Ward and Webster—4.

The proceedings of the regular session, held December 13th, 1875, and the called session held December 14th, 1875, were read and approved.

Sealed proposals for covering that portion of Kentucky avenue sewer with cinders which is unprotected at and near the White River bank, were received, opened, read, and referred to the Committee on Contracts.

Mr Kahn, from the Committee on Contracts, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

*Gentlemen* :—Your Committee on Contracts, to which was referred the proposals presented to Council December 13th, 1875, for grading and graveling Walnut street and sidewalks from Mississippi to Missouri streets, respectfully report that the following persons submitted proposals as follows, to wit :

R. H. Patterson, 99 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Samuel Heveling, 95 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

John J. Palmer, 78 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Muse, 76 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Henry Clay, 67 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Mahoney, 62 cents per lineal foot front on each side.

James Mahoney being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,  
ROBT. C. MCGILL,  
P. H. CURRAN,  
Committee on Contracts.

Which was concurred in and contract awarded.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

*Gentlemen* :—Your Committee on Contracts, to whom were referred the bids for building an iron bridge over the Indianapolis, Cincinnati & Lafayette Railroad

tracks on Noble street, would report that we have examined the same and find them to be as follows, to wit :

Wrought Iron Bridge Co. of Canton, Ohio, plan No. 1, per lineal foot, \$70.00; plan No. 2, per lineal foot, \$55.50; plan No. 3, per lineal foot, \$44.50.

Cincinnati Iron Bridge Company, girder bridge, plan No. 1, \$3,000.00; truss bridge, plan No. 2, \$2,200.00.

Indianapolis Bridge Company, truss bridge, plan No. 1, per lineal foot, \$38.25; truss bridge, plan No. 2, per lineal foot \$36.50; truss bridge, plan No. 3, per lineal foot, \$60.80; girder bridge, plan No. 1, per lineal foot, \$60.00; girder bridge, plan No. 2, per lineal foot, \$55.00; girder bridge, plan No. 3, per lineal foot, \$65.00.

The Indianapolis Bridge Company being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that they be awarded the contract for a truss bridge as per plan No. 1, at \$38.25 per lineal foot; *Provided*, they put in the center beams as stated in the report of the City Civil Engineer.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,

P. H. CURRAN,

ROBT. C. MCGILL,

Committee on Contracts.

Mr. Kahn presented the following report from the Engineer :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875,

To the Committee on Contracts :

*Gentlemen* :—I advertised for bids on a low truss bridge and a deck bridge. Plan No. 1 of the Indianapolis Bridge Company for a low truss bridge will be just what is required, provided the center beams are made stronger and the company are willing to strengthen the beams and charge for the same but the difference in weight of iron extra. In that case this bid will be the lowest and best by about \$700.00.

The next lowest bid for a low truss bridge will be plan No. 2 of the Cincinnati Iron Bridge Company, price \$2,200.00.

For a deck bridge, plan No. 2 of the Indianapolis Bridge Company will be the lowest and best bid. Price \$2,117.50.

A deck bridge will be the most desirable for Noble street on account of grade but either bridge will answer at said point.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Mr. Laughlin moved that further action in the matter be postponed until next spring.

On motion by Mr. Curran, the motion to postpone was laid on the table.

The report of the Committee was then concurred in, and the contract awarded.

#### REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The City Civil Engineer submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

*Gentlemen* :—I was directed to examine the bids for widening and deepening the State Ditch, and report the total cost of the same, taking as the basis of calculation the lowest bid for doing said work, would report that I have examined said bids and find that the bid of James Muse to be the lowest, and the total cost of said work under this bid will be \$7,998.72.

The next lowest bid will be that of Patterson & Dunning, under which bid the total cost for doing said work will be \$13,726.24.

#### SECOND.

I would report that the stone arch built by the Union Railway Company at the northeast corner of Pogues Run and Meridian street is in a very dangerous condition and I would recommend that the Marshal be instructed to notify the said Union Railway Company to have said arch repaired as soon as possible.

#### THIRD.

At last meeting of Council I was directed to examine all the city fire cisterns and report the condition of the same to your honorable body as soon as possible, would beg leave to state that I am not prepared to do said work, and as the matter properly belongs to the Fire Department, I would recommend that the matter be referred to the Chief Fire Engineer.

Respectfully submitted.

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,  
City Civil Engineer.

The first section was referred to the Committee on Contracts.

The second and third sections were concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I hereby report the following estimate for work done :

A fourth and final estimate allowed William Petrie, for building stone abutments on Fifth street where the Canal crosses the same—

165.48 cubic yards masonry at \$5.80 .....	\$959 78
126.9 cubic yards excavation at 45 cents .....	57 10
	\$1,016 88
Less former payments .....	996 00
	\$20 88

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was concurred in and the estimate approved.

The City Treasurer submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I have the honor to report the collection of \$275.00 on the 17th inst. on account of benefits in the matter of opening Second street from Pennsylvania to Meridian street.

Respectfully submitted,

HENRY W. TUTEWILER,

City Treasurer.

Which was received.

The City Clerk submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I respectfully report the following affidavits now on file in my office for the collection of street assessments by precept, to-wit :

Heveling & Co. vs. Charles Feary for.....	\$17 60
Heveling & Co. vs. George W. Brown for .....	17 60

Heveling & Co. vs. George W. Brown for.....	\$17 60
John Schier vs. James A. Kealing for.....	29 06
John Schier vs. George W. Huston for.....	14 90
John Schier vs. George W. Huston for.....	14 90
John Schier vs. George W. Huston for.....	14 90
John Schier vs. George W. Huston for.....	14 90
John Schier vs. George W. Huston for.....	18 63
John Schier vs. Mary Fout for .....	21 60
John Schier vs. William C. Smock for.....	15 66
John Schier vs. William C. Smock for.....	15 66
John Schier vs. William C. Smock for.....	15 66
John Schier vs. William C. Smock for.....	15 66
John Schier vs. William C. Smock for.....	15 66
John Schier vs. William C. Smock for.....	15 66
John Schier vs. William C. Smock for.....	15 66
John Schier vs. Philander E. Philips for.....	25 11

And respectfully recommend that you order the precepts to issue.

Respectfully submitted.

BENJ. C. WRIGHT,

City Clerk.

Which was concurred in, and the precept ordered to issue by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Diffley, Geiger, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Reed, Schmidt and Thalman—20.

Negative—None.

The City Attorney submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

*Gentlemen:*—In compliance with your petition, the Commissioners of Marion county have this day annexed to the city the following described territory, to-wit :

Beginning on State avenue at the southwest corner of John M. Ridenour's Highland Home subdivision, thence east along the south boundary of said subdivision and along south line of Pettibone & Richard's subdivision to the east line of Ran-

dolph street, thence south with said street to the north side of Washington street, thence west along Washington street to State avenue, thence north to place of beginning.

Respectfully submitted,

CAS. BYFIELD,

City Attorney,

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—Since the last meeting of the Council, the following cases in which the city was interested have been determined:

Ridgeway vs. Frank Wilson et al. In Civil Circuit Court, involving the right of the city officers to seize and retain gambling apparatus. Judgment in favor of the officers.

The following cases on appeal to Superior Court, from assessment of benefits for opening Second street, have been dismissed by me because there had been no notice served upon the parties of the meeting of the City Commissioners to assess benefits and damages, to wit:

No. 11706. City vs. Oscar J. R. Hanna.

No. 11745. City vs. W. J. Johnson et al.

No. 11823. City vs. Edward King.

No. 11821. City vs. George F. Adams.

No. 11744. City vs. Benj. Harrison.

In the case of John M. Gaston vs. the city, tried in the Superior Court and appealed by the city to the General, has been affirmed, and the proper steps taken to appeal the same to the Supreme Court.

Benjamin Geræd vs. City of Indianapolis. In Civil Circuit Court. Suit for driving into sewer. Judgment in favor of city.

Respectfully submitted,

CAS. BYFIELD,

City Attorney.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

I herewith submit the written agreement of E. B. Martindale, in reference to the compromise made with him, and suggest that the same be spread of record in the proceedings of the Council.

Respectfully submitted,

CAS. BYFIELD,  
City Attorney.

This agreement, this day made by and between Elijah B. Martindale and the city of Indianapolis, witnesseth, that in pursuance of an agreement made and ordinance passed by the city Council of said city, has this day paid and delivered to said Martindale eight thousand dollars of their city bonds, being eight bonds (\$1,000 each) due in twenty years from January 1st, 1876, with interest at the rate of 7 3-10ths, payable on coupons semi-annually in July and January, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged. In consideration of which and the other stipulations herein contained, the said Martindale has this day entered satisfaction of a judgment rendered February 14th, 1875, in the Superior Court of Marion County, cause No. 6442, for \$10,000; and the said Martindale has also dismissed the suit instituted by him against said city in said Superior Court, being cause No. 10573. The said city has this day dismissed the appeal heretofore taken in said cause No. 6442, and said city hereby agrees to have vacated Fourth street, extending east from Illinois street through the east line of Drake's addition, or pass a resolution confirming and approving the vacation heretofore made of said part of said street by the Board of Commissioners of Marion county. The said Martindale is to open immediately Fourth street through the south side of his property, as heretofore ordered by the City Council, reserving the fence and shrubery in the line of said street.

E. B. MARTINDALE.

Indianapolis, December 7th, 1875.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen:*—I herewith return the petition of A. E. Francis, referred to me for an opinion. I do not think the ordinances of the city require a license to vend fruit. I therefore think the Council ought to refund Mr. Francis the money paid by him for such license.

Respectfully submitted,

CAS. BYFIELD,  
City Attorney.

Which was concurred in.



The Board of Health submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Report of deaths in the City of Indianapolis from 6 o'clock P. M., on the 11th day of December, to 6 o'clock P. M. on the 18th day of December, 1875 .

Under 1 year.....	5
1 to 2 years.....	1
2 to 3 ".....	0
3 to 4 ".....	0
4 to 5 ".....	0
5 to 10 ".....	0
10 to 20 ".....	3
20 to 30 ".....	2
30 to 40 ".....	2
40 to 50 ".....	3
50 to 60 ".....	2
60 to 70 ".....	0
70 to 80 ".....	1
80 to 90 ".....	0
90 to 100 ".....	0
Above 100 ".....	0
Unknown ".....	1
<hr/>	
Total.....	20

C. E. WRIGHT, M. D.,

President Board of Health.

A. STRATFORD, M. D.,

Secretary Board of Health.

Which was received.

His Honor, the Mayor, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—The amount of forfeitures collected by me during the month of November, 1875, is 159.71, to be credited to the City Treasury as will appear by annexed detailed statement, which amount I have paid to the City Treasurer as will appear by duplicate receipt filed herewith.

Docket 25, No. 487.....	\$3 00
Docket 25, No. 167.....	3 00
Docket 25, No. 496.....	3 00

Docket 25, No. 527.....	\$1 85
Docket 25, No. 680.....	10 00
Docket 25, No. 1063.....	60
Docket 25, No. 1192.....	1 00
Docket 25, No. 1329.....	5 00
Docket 25, No. 1343.....	1 00
Docket 25, No. 1348.....	7 10
Docket 25, No. 1350.....	60
Docket 25, No. 1351.....	5 00
Docket 25, No. 1356.....	60
Docket 25, No. 1361.....	3 00
Docket 25, No. 1367.....	10 00
Docket 25, No. 1369.....	5 00
Docket 25, No. 1370.....	6 60
Docket 25, No. 1342.....	3 00
Docket 25, No. 1347.....	4 10
Docket 25, No. 1358.....	60
Docket 25, No. 1371.....	4 60
Docket 25, No. 1373.....	24 75
Docket 25, No. 1382.....	1 00
Docket 25, No. 1399.....	1 60
Docket 25, No. 1392.....	5 00
Docket 25, No. 1394.....	3 00
Docket 25, No. 1402.....	1 00
Docket 25, No. 1403.....	1 00
Docket 25, No. 1404.....	01
Docket 25, No. 1405.....	05
Docket 25, No. 1406.....	5 00
Docket 25, No. 1407.....	4 10
Docket 25, No. 1421.....	1 95
Docket 25, No. 1429.....	1 25
Docket 25, No. 1430.....	5 00
Docket 25, No. 1432.....	5 00
Docket 25, No. 1433.....	1 10
Docket 25, No. 1437.....	10
Docket 25, No. 1438.....	4 60
Docket 25, No. 1442.....	5 00
Docket 25, No. 1443.....	1 00
Docket 25, No. 1450.....	3 60
Docket 25, No. 1454.....	5 00
Docket 25, No. 1455.....	1 15

\$159 91

Respectfully submitted,

J. CAVEN,  
Mayor.

Which was approved.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—On last Tuesday at 10 A. M., a special meeting of the Council was held in pursuance of a call of some of its members.

The charter provides that the Mayor and Common Councilmen shall constitute the Common Council, and also that the Mayor shall be the presiding officer and give the casting vote. By the charter he is also the Police Judge, and the performance of such judicial duties requires his presence in the City Court room every week day at the hour of 10 A. M., rendering it almost impossible for the Mayor to meet with the Council at that hour, and on last Tuesday, as on almost every other day, a large number of prisoners were to be disposed of, all the Court officers and a great many witnesses in attendance, as by long continued custom. By rule of the Court, and under the requirements of the charter and ordinances, the Police Court is in session at that hour.

I do not assume that in calling a special meeting at that time, there was any intention to disregard the rights and duties of the Mayor, but that on the contrary it was done without being fully aware of my inability to be present. I, however, regard it as a duty to the office I hold, to respectfully protest against the call for special meetings of the Council to be held at a time when the performance of other important and unavoidable official duties renders it impossible for me to be present. I will always with pleasure attend any meeting Councilmen may choose to call, when it can be done without neglecting other official duties having precedence.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN CAVEN,

Mayor.

Which was received.

#### REPORTS FROM SPECIAL COMMITTEES.

Mr. Geiger, Chairman Special Investigation Committee, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, December 20, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—Your Special Committee, to whom was referred the report, charges and specifications against Councilman M. E. Hall, made to the Council on December 13th by Councilman Madden, beg leave to present the annexed statement made

by Councilman Hall before your Committee, and that the said Marshal E. Hall be placed on trial on the said charges and specifications, herewith returned, at such time as the Council may designate.

Respectfully submitted.

GEORGE W. GEIGER,  
J. J. DIFFLEY,  
F. M. HOOK.

In the matter of the charges against Marshall E. Hall, a member of the Common Council of the city of Indianapolis, before a Special Committee of said Council, composed of Messrs. Geiger, Hook and Diffey :

*Gentlemen of the Committee* :—I, Marshal E. Hall, do herein agree to waive a preliminary examination of all charges heretofore made against me as a member of the Common Council of said city, by Thomas Madden, a member of said Common Council, and ask that said charges be examined into by the Common Council of said city, at a regular or special session of said Council.

MARSHALL E. HALL.

December 17th, 1875.

Which report was concurred in.

Mr. Geiger offered the following motion :

*Moved*, That the Common Council meet on next Monday evening to try Councilman M. E. Hall on the charges and specifications presented, and that the Marshal is hereby ordered to notify the following persons to appear as witnesses at that time, viz : Thos. Nyland, Chas. G. Morris, Geo. Travis, W. H. McLaughlin, F. Bergman, John G. Gulick, Chas. Fant, — Ostendorf, John T. Presley, Fred. Miller, — James. And also to notify such witnesses as may be wanted by Mr. Hall.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Adams asked to be excused.

Which request was granted.

By consent, Mr. Kahn offered the following motion :

*Moved*, That A. A. Cohen have the privilege of taking out an auction linense to sell goods at his place of business on Indiana avenue.

Which was adopted.

By consent, Mr. Madden offered the following motion :

*Moved*, That the City Clerk be instructed to advertise for plans for a Work House, such plans to be presented to this Council on the second (2d) Monday, January 10th, 1876, the cost of which plans must not exceed one hundred (\$100) dollars.

Mr. Ransdell offered the following motion as a substitute for Mr. Madden's motion :

*Moved*, That the Civil Engineer prepare plans for a City Work House, the cost of which shall not exceed \$10,000 ; said plans to be submitted to this Council on Monday, January 10th, 1876.

Which substitute failed to pass by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Diffley, Geiger, Hall, Hook, Kenzel and Ransdell—6.

Negative—Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Kahn, Laughlin, Madden, McGill, Reasner, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford and Thalmān—14.

Dr. Stratford offered the following motion as a substituts for Mr. Madden's motion :

*Moved*, That the City Clerk be directed to advertise for plans for a Work House, with the cost of the same not to exceed \$10,000 ; such plans to be received the second Monday in January, 1876, and that the Council agree to pay \$100 for the plan adopted.

Which was adopted.

By consent, Mr. Craft offered the following motion :

*Moved*, That the Street Commissioner be and is hereby ordered to clean away or remove the dirt thrown into Pogues Run, between Meridian and Illinois streets, along lot No. 17.

Which was adopted.

## ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

On motion by Mr. Madden, the following general ordinance was taken up.

General ordinance No. 62, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance regulating railroads crossing Virginia avenue between Maryland and Louisiana streets.

Was read the second time.

Dr. Stratford moved to amend section 2 by inserting 50 feet in lieu of 100 yards.

Which amendment was adopted.

Mr. Geiger moved to refer to the Committee on Railroads.

Which motion was laid on the table by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Bollman, Buehrig, Curran, Diffley, Hook, Madden, McGill, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford and Thalman—11.

Negative—Councilmen Albershardt, Craft, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Kenzel, Laughlin, Ransdell and Reasner—9.

On motion by Mr. Thalman, the ordinance was referred to the Committee on Revision of Ordinances and City Attorney, with instructions to report next Monday night.

Mr. Craft asked to be excused.

Which request was granted.

Special ordinance No. 118, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley north of Fletcher avenue, from Dillon to Laurel streets.

Was read the second time and engrossed.

Special ordinance No. 120, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to erect lamp posts, lamps and fixtures on Linden street, from Prospect street to Orange street.

Was read the second time, and postponed until first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 139, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to boulder the gutters and curb the sidewalks of Alabama street, between Washington and North streets.

Was read the second time, and postponed until first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 143, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to regrade and gravel Pennsylvania street and lowering the same, and for curbing and paving the sidewalks, bouldering the gutters and lowering the same, between First and Tinker streets.

Was read the second time, and postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 144, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and gravel Pennsylvania street and sidewalks, from Tinker street to State Ditch.

Was read the second time, and postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 147, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and gravel Ray street and sidewalks, from the old bed of the Canal to the banks of White River.

Was read the second time, and postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 149, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and pave the west sidewalk of Ash street, from Christian avenue to Home avenue.

Was read the second time, and postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 151, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and gravel Indiana avenue, bouldering the gutters and curbing the sidewalks thereof, and pave the same where not already paved, from Tennessee to Missouri streets.

Was read the second time, and postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 153, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and gravel the first alley south of Fletcher avenue, from Dillon to Laurel streets.

Was read the second time, and postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 155, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and gravel the first alley north of Huron street, between Dillon and Grove streets.

Was read the second time and ordered engrossed.



## Special ordinance No. 158, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and gravel Orange street, from Shelby street to Spruce street.

Was read the second time and ordered engrossed.

## Special ordinance No. 160, 1875, entitled .

An ordinance to grade and gravel Prospect street, curb the sidewalks and boulder the gutters, from Dillon to Reed streets.

Was read the second time, and postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

## Special ordinance No. 166, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and gravel the first alley east of West street, between the first alley south of McCarty and Ray streets.

Was read the second time and ordered engrossed.

## Special ordinance No. 167, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and gravel the first alley east of East street, from Stevens street to Merrill street.

Was read the second time.

Mr. Laughlin presented the following :

INDIANAPOLIS, November 22, 1875.

*Mr. Daniels* :—I went and examined the alley east of East street, running north from McCarty to the second alley north, and find that there has been a mistake. That alley never has been reported a nuisance, and is in good condition. There was an alley just north of this that was reported as a nuisance. This doubtless caused the mistake.

SAMUEL KEELY, Sanitary Police.

Done under the direction of the President of the Board of Health.

Dr. Stratford moved to engross the ordinance.

Which motion failed to pass by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Albershardt, Gimber, Hook, McGill, Reasner, Schmidt and Stratford—7.

Negative—Councilmen Buehrig, Curran, Diffley, Geiger, Hall, Kahn, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, Ransdell, Reed and Thalman—12.

The ordinance was then postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 168, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grade and gravel the alley between Huron and Elm streets, from Cedar to Grove streets.

Was read the second time, and postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Special ordinance No. 170, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Greenwood street and sidewalks, from Ninth to Tenth streets.

Was read the second time and ordered engrossed.

Special ordinance No. 185, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Ash street and sidewalks between Lincoln avenue and Seventh streets.

Was read the second time and ordered engrossed.

Special ordinance No. 198, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to erect lamp posts, lamps and fixtures on Bellefontaine street, between Home avenue and Seventh street.

Was read the second time, and postponed until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Dr. Stratford moved to postpone all street improvement ordinances until the first Monday in March, 1876.

Mr. Thalman moved to lay the motion on the table.

Which motion to lay on the table failed to pass by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Albershardt, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Laughlin, Reed, Schmidt and Thalman—8.

Negative—Councilmen Buehrig, Curran, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner and Stratford—11.

Mr. Geiger moved that the Council now adjourn.

Which motion was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Buehrig, Curran, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Reed, Schmidt and Thalman—13.

Negative—Councilmen Albershardt, Diffley, Hook, Kahn, Laughlin and Stratford—6.

JOHN CAVEN, Mayor.

Attest :

BENJ. C. WRIGHT, City Clerk