

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE }
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, }
Monday, July 19th, 1875—7½ o'clock P. M. }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, John Caven, in the chair and the following members :

Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford, Thalman and Webster—24.

Absent—Councilmen Stuckmeyer and Ward—2.

The proceedings of the regular session held July 12th, and the adjourned session held July 13th, 1875, were read and approved.

Sealed proposals for grading and graveling the alley running east and west from Alabama to Delaware street, between Morrison and St. Mary street.

Also, for grading and paving the west sidewalk on West street, from Kentucky avenue to Merrill street.

Also, for grading and graveling Gantling street and sidewalk, from Beecher to Raymond street.

Also, for grading and paving with what is known as Lefler paving stone, and curbing with stone the north sidewalk of Washington street, between Tennessee and Mississippi street.

Also, for grading and paving with brick the north sidewalk on Ohio street, from Central Canal to West street.

Also, for paving with brick and curbing with stone the sidewalks on Sinker street, from Alabama to New Jersey street.

Also, for grading, graveling, curbing, paving and bouldering the gutters on Indiana avenue, from Tennessee to Missouri street.

Were received, opened, read, and referred to the Committee on Contracts.

Sealed proposals for grading and graveling Potomac street and sidewalks, from California to West street, were received, opened, read, and on motion of Mr. Thalman, rejected.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.

Mr. Kahn, from the Committee on Contracts, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen.—Your Committee on Contracts together with City Civil Engineer, to whom was referred the bids for grading and graveling Wisconsin street and

sidewalks from Mississippi to Meridian street, have examined the same and find them to be as follows:

Defrees, Morris & Co—

One dollar and sixty-eight cents per front on each side.

Muse & Co—

One dollar and ten and one-half cents per front foot where forty-six feet wide.

One dollar and thirty-eight and a half cents per front foot where sixty feet wide

R. H. Patterson—

One dollar and forty-one cents per front foot on each side.

Richard Carr—

One dollar and thirteen cents per front foot where forty-six feet wide.

One dollar and forty-five cents per front foot where sixty feet wide.

W. L. White—

One dollar and eight cents per front foot where forty-six feet wide.

One dollar and twenty-eight cents per front foot where sixty feet wide.

Anderson & Devenish—

One dollar and thirty-nine cents per front foot on each side.

Irwin & Hanna—

Ninety-eight cents per front foot on each side.

Geo. W. Seibert—

Ninety-eight cents per front foot where forty-six feet wide.

One dollar and ten cents per front foot where sixty feet wide.

S. Heveling & Co—

One dollar and forty-five cents per front foot on each side.

Mann & Buchanan—

One dollar and nine cents per front foot where forty-six feet wide.

One dollar and fifty-nine cents per front foot where sixty feet wide.

Joseph Hanna—

One dollar and five cents per front foot where forty-six feet wide.

One dollar and twenty cents per front foot where sixty feet wide.

John Greene—

Eighty-seven cents per front foot where forty feet wide.

One dollar and twelve and a half cents per front foot where sixty feet wide.

John Greene being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,
ROBT. C. MCGILL,
P. H. CURRAN,
Committee on Contracts.

Which was concurred in, and contract awarded.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Contracts, to whom was referred sundry bids have examined the same, and find them to be as follows, to-wit:

FIRST.

For grading and graveling the first alley east of Cedar street, running north and south, from Hosbrook to Huron street :

M. A. Huffington—

Twenty-six cents per lineal foot on each side.

Henry Goldwait—

Twenty-three and one-half cents per lineal foot on each side.

Worley Sheigert—

Twenty-two cents per lineal foot on each side.

Anderson & Devenish—

Twenty-three and one-half cents per lineal foot on each side.

Irwin & Hanna—

Thirty-three cents per lineal foot on each side.

Wm. Sonnefield—

Twenty-two cents per lineal foot on each side.

Mann & Buchanan—

Twenty-three cents per lineal foot on each side.

Doss & Riker—

Twenty-eight cents per lineal foot on each side.

R. H. Patterson—

Thirty-three cents per lineal foot on each side.

Martin F. Foley—

Twenty cents per lineal foot on each side.

F. M. Eppert—

Twenty cents per lineal foot on each side.

F. M. Eppert being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

SECOND.

For grading and paving with brick the north sidewalk on New York street, from East to Noble street :

S. Heveling & Co.—

Sixty-eight cents per lineal foot front.

E. H. Roney—

Fifty-eight cents per lineal foot front.

Anderson & Devenish—

Sixty cents per lineal foot front.

J. W. Smith—

Fifty-six cents per lineal foot front.

John Schier—

Fifty-eight cents per lineal foot front.

Jacob Bly—

Fifty-eight cents per lineal foot front.

James W. Hudson—

Fifty-eight cents per lineal foot front.

J. H. Smith—

Fifty-five cents per lineal foot front.

Robert Middleton—

Fifty-five cents per lineal foot front.

Murphy & Good—

Fifty-three cents per lineal foot front.

Murphy & Good being the lowest and best bidders, your Committee recommend that they be awarded the contract.

THIRD.

For grading and graveling Michigan street, bouldering the gutters, and curbing with stone the sidewalks thereof, from Pennsylvania to Delaware street:

James W. Hudson—

Grading and graveling, ninety-five cents per front foot, each side.

Bouldering, forty-eight cents per front foot, each side.

Curbing, forty-eight cents per front foot, each side.

Samuel J. Smock—

Grading and graveling, ninety-five cents per front foot on each side.

Bouldering fifty-five cents per front foot on each side.

Curbing fifty cents per front foot on each side.

Patterson & Dunning—

Grading and graveling ninety-nine cents per front foot on each side.

Bouldering forty-eight cents per front foot on each side.

Curbing fifty-one cents per front foot on each side.

John J. Palmer—

Grading, graveling, bouldering and curbing, two dollars and thirty-three cents per lineal foot front on each side of the line improved.

Anderson & Devenish—

Grading, graveling and bouldering, two dollars and eighty-four cents per front foot, and curbing fifty-eight cents on each side per front foot.

Bernard Hammill—

Grading and graveling eighty-one cents per front foot on each side.
Bouldering fifty-five cents per front foot on each side.
Curbing fifty-one cents per front foot on each side.

Strong & Hanna—

Grading, graveling, bouldering and curbing, two dollars and thirty-seven and a half cents per lineal foot on each side of the line improved.

James Mahoney—

Grading and graveling ninety-eight cents per front foot on each side.
Bouldering fifty cents per front foot on each side.
Curbing fifty cents per front foot on each side.

Defrees, Morris & Co—

Grading and graveling one dollar and thirty cents per front foot on each side.
Bouldering fifty-three cents per front foot on each side.
Curbing sixty cents per front foot on each side.

George W. Seibert—

Grading and graveling, one dollar and fifteen cents per lineal foot front.
Bouldering gutters forty-eight cents per lineal foot front.
Curbing fifty cents per lineal foot front.

Richard Carr—

Grading and graveling ninety-eight cents per lineal foot front.
Bouldering fifty-two cents per lineal foot front.
Curbing fifty-five cents per lineal foot front.

W. L. White—

Grading and graveling one dollar and fourteen cents per lineal foot on each side.
Bouldering sixty-five cents per lineal foot on each side.
Curbing fifty-four cents per lineal foot on each side.

Joseph Hanna—

Grading and graveling ninety-four cents per lineal foot on each side.
Bouldering sixty-two cents per lineal foot on each side.
Curbing fifty cents per lineal foot on each side.

R. H. Patterson—

Grading and graveling eighty-seven cents per front foot on each side.
Bouldering fifty-three cents per front foot on each side.
Curbing fifty-six cents per front foot on each side.

J. H. Smith—

Grading and graveling, seventy-seven cents per front foot on each side.
Bouldering, fifty-four cents per front foot on each side.
Curbing, forty-six cents per front foot on each side.

J. H. Smith being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract :

FOURTH.

For grading and graveling the alley running between Delaware and Alabama streets, and running north and south from Merrill to McCarty streets:

Robert H. Patterson—

Twenty-nine cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.
Thirty-eight cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

Joseph Hanna—

Thirty cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.
Forty cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

Richard Carr—

Twenty-seven cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.
Thirty-five cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

W. L. White—

Forty-five cents per front foot on each side.

Michael Faust—

Twenty-nine cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.
Thirty-nine cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

Muse & Co.—

Thirty-two cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.
Thirty-five cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

Geo. W. Seibert—

Twenty-five cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.
Thirty-three cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

Thomas O'Conner—

Thirty cents per lineal foot where fifteen feet wide.
Thirty-seven cents per lineal foot where twenty feet wide.

S. Heveling & Co.—

Seventy-four cents per front foot on each side.

James Mahoney—

Twenty-six cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.

Thirty-two cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

J. J. Palmer—

Thirty-seven cents per front foot on each side.

Worley Sheigert—

Twenty-nine cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.

Thirty-six cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

Mann & Buchanan—

Twenty-three cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.

Twenty-nine cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

William Sonnefield—

Twenty-three cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.

Twenty-eight cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

Fred Gansberg—

Twenty-two cents per front foot where fifteen feet wide.

Twenty-five cents per front foot where twenty feet wide.

Fred Gansberg being the lowest and best bidder, your Committee recommend that he be awarded the contract.

FIFTH.

For grading and graveling Huron street, from Dllon to Reid street :

Fred Gansberg—

One dollar and nine cents per front foot on each side.

Mann & Buchanan—

Ninety cents per front foot on each side.

Heveling & Co.—

One dollar and fifty cents per front foot on each side.

Irwin & Hanna—

One dollar and twenty cents per front foot on each side.

Patterson & Dunning—

One dollar and five cents per front foot on each side.

J. J. Palmer—

One dollar and forty-eight cents per front foot on each side.

Bernard Hammill—

One dollar and seventeen cents per front foot on each side.

James Mahoney—

Ninety-seven cents per front foot on each side.

Richard Carr—

One dollar and seventeen cents per front foot on each side.

Defrees, Morris & Co—

One dollar and fifty-three cents per front foot on each side.

Geo. W. Seibert—

One dollar and seven cents per front foot on each side.

John Greene & Co—

Ninety-seven and a half cents per front foot on each side.

Muse & Co—

Ninety-nine cents per front foot on each side.

Anderson & Devenish—

One dollar and nineteen cents per front foot on each side.

W. L. White—

One dollar and forty-four cents per front foot on each side.

Doss & Riker—

One dollar and twenty-five cents per front foot on each side.

R. H. Patterson—

One dollar and four cents per front foot on each side.

Michael Faust—

Eighty-three and a half cents per front foot on each side.

Samuel J. Smock—

Eighty-three cents per front foot on each side.

Joseph Hanna—

Sixty cents per front foot on each side.

Joseph Hanna being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

SEVENTH.

For grading and paving the south sidewalk on Vine street from Park avenue to Oak street.

Anderson & Devenish—

Forty-seven cents per front foot.

E. H. Roney—

Sixty-three cents per front foot.

W. L. White—

Seventy cents per front foot.

H. C. Roney—

Forty-five cents per front foot.

J. W. Smith—

Forty-four cents per front foot.

James W. Hudson—

Forty-six cents per front foot.

Robert Middleton—

Forty-five cents per front foot.

Murphy & Good—

Forty-four cents per front foot on each side.

Elliott & Morrison—

Forty-two cents per front foot on each side.

J. H. Smith--

Forty-one cents per front foot on each side.

John Scheir—

Thirty-nine and a half cents per front foot on each side.

John Scheir being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

EIGHTH.

For grading and graveling Susquehanna alley and sidewalk, through square No. 4, being from Michigan to North street :

Joseph Hanna—

Fifty-four cents per front foot on each side.

Patterson & Dunning—

Fifty-three cents per front foot on each side.

John J. Palmer—

Fifty-five cents per front foot on each side.

Bernard Hammill—

Forty-eight cents per front foot on each side.

Strong & Hanna—

Sixty-five cents per front foot on each side.

James Mahoney—

Forty-nine cents per front foot on each side.

S. Heveling & Co—

Sixty-seven cents per front foot on each side.

Defrees, Morris & Co—

Forty-eight cents per front foot on each.

Geo. W. Seibert—

Fifty-nine cents per front foot on each side.

Mann & Buchanan—

Fifty-four cents per front foot on each side.

Anderson & Devenish—

Sixty-two cents per front foot on each side

W. L. White—

Sixty cents per front foot on each side.

Samuel J. Smock—

Fifty-eight cents per front foot on each side.

Elliott & Morrison—

Forty-four cents per front foot on each side.

R. H. Patterson—

Forty-one cents per front foot on each side.

R. H. Patterson being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

NINTH.

For grading and paving with brick the sidewalk on the south side of Vermont street, between Delaware and Alabama street, where not already paved :

James W. Hudson—

Sixty-seven cents per front foot.

E. H. Roney—

Sixty-three cents per front foot.

W. L. White—

Ninety cents per front foot.

Murphy & Good—

Sixty cents per front foot.

Anderson & Devenish—

Seventy cents per front foot.

Murphy & Gord being the lowest and best bidders, we recommend that they be awarded the contract.

TENTH.

For grading and paving with brick the north sidewalk on North street, between East and Noble street.

W. L. White—

Sixty-five cents per front foot.

H. C. Roney—

Forty-five cents per front foot.

Jacob Bly—

Forty-seven cents per front foot.

James W. Hudson—

Forty-six cents per front foot.

Robert Middleton—

Forty-nine cents per front foot.

Anderson & Devenish—

Forty-five cents per front foot.

Murphy & Good—

Forty-five cents per front foot.

John Schier—

Forty-two cents per front foot.

J. W. Smith—

Forty-two cents per front foot.

J. H. Smith—

Forty-one cents per front foot.

E. H. Roney—

Thirty-nine and three-fourths cents per front foot.

E. H. Roney being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

ELEVENTH.

For curbing the east sidewalk on College avenue, between the first and second alleys north of Christian avenue :

E. H. Roney—

Fifty-two cents per front foot.

J. W. Smith—

Fifty-three and one-half cents per front foot.

H. C. Roney—

Fifty-two cents per front foot.

James W. Hudson—

Forty-eight cents per front foot.

J. J. Palmer—

Fifty-eight cents per front foot.

Anderson & Devenish—

Fifty-eight cents per front foot.

Elliott & Morrison—

Forty-seven cents per front foot.

John Schier—

Forty-seven and one-half cents per front foot.

J. H. Smith—

Forty-six cents per front foot.

J. H. Smith being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

TWELFTH.

For grading and graveling Dillon street and sidewalks from Prospect street to Virginia avenue.

W. L. White—

One dollar and ten cents per lineal foot front.

Anderson & Devenish—

One dollar and forty cents per lineal foot front.

Doss & Riker—

One dollar and twelve and a half cents per lineal foot front.

S. Heveling & Co—

One dollar and sixty cents per lineal foot front.

Fred. Gansberg—

Seventy-five cents per lineal foot front.

Fred. Gansberg being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

THIRTEENTH.

For the construction of a levee near the crossing of Indianapolis and Peru Railroad and Fall Creek:

Mann & Buchanan—

Forty-three cents per cubic yard.

John Greene—

Forty-nine cents per cubic yard.

Defrees, Morris & Co—

Forty-eight cents per cubic yard.

Irwin & Hanna—

Sixty-five cents per cubic yard.

McDonald & Hubbard—

Forty-seven cents per cubic yard.

Patterson & Dunning—

Forty-three cents per cubic yard.

Anderson & Devenish—

Forty-two cents per cubic yard.

Howland & Bunnell—

Forty-two cents per cubic yard.

Benj. Tyner—

Thirty-nine cents per cubic yard, and three hundred dollars for cleaning ground, etc.

Muse & Co—

Thirty-nine and a half cents per cubic yard.

Samuel J. Smock—

Thirty-two cents per cubic yard.

Your Committee report that Samuel J. Smock has withdrawn his bid, and we recommend that the contract be awarded to the next lowest bidder, Muse & Co.

We further report that of late there has been two or three instances where contractors have asked leave to withdraw their bids, but in the future no contractor will be allowed to withdraw his bid after such bids are in the hands of the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,

ROBT. C. MCGILL,

P. H. CURRAN,

Committee on Contracts.

Dr. Stratford moved not to concur in the last or thirteenth paragraph.

Which motion was laid on the table by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt,⁹₁₀ Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Gimber, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, McGill, Ransdell, Schmidt and Webster—15.

Negative—Councilmen Bollman, Geiger, Laughlin, Madden, Stratford and Thalman—6.

The report was then concurred in and the contracts awarded.

His Honor, the Mayor, presented the following invitation :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875

To the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen:—On the 22d of July the Ladies Society for the relief of the poor of the city of Indianapolis, will give a picnic at Greencastle springs; the proceeds from which, after paying all expenses, will be placed in the treasury for the benefit of our poor during the coming winter. Your hearty co-operation with our charitable undertakings in the past, urges us to extend to you and your families, a most cordial invitation to be present at our picnic, and to join with us in making the occasion a pleasant and successful effort.

The picnic train will leave the Union Depot via Vandalia line at 7:30 A. M.

Respectfully yours,

MRS. ROBT. EMMETT,

President Ladies Relief Society.

MRS. L. L. JACKSON,

Corresponding Secretary Ladies Relief Society.

Which was received and invitation accepted.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The City Civil Engineer submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—The City Civil Engineer respectfully reports for your approval :

Contract and bond of James Mahoney, for grading and graveling Blackford street and west sidewalk, from Washington to Market street.

Contract and bond of James Mahoney, for grading and bouldering Severin alley, from Georgia to Louisiana street.

Contract and bond of Bernard Hammill, for grading and graveling Torbet street and sidewalks, from Camp street to Fall creek.

Contract and bond of R. H. Patterson, for grading and graveling Columbia street and sidewalks, from New York to Ohio street.

Contract and bond of R. E. Smith, for grading and paving with brick the north sidewalk on Maryland street, from Delaware to Alabama street.

Contract and bond of John Schier, for grading and paving the south sidewalk on Vine street, from Park avenue to Oak street.

Contract and bond of John Greene, for grading and graveling Wisconsin street and sidewalks, from Mississippi to Meridian street.

Contract and bond of Lefevre and Keating, for constructing a brick sewer in and along Maryland street, from Illinois street to Kentucky avenue, and connecting with the Illinois street sewer.

Contract and bond of Frederick Gansberg, for grading and graveling Dillon street and sidewalks from Prospect street to Virginia avenue.

Contract and bond of Frederick Gansberg for grading and graveling the alley running between Delaware and Alabama street, and running north and south from Merrill to McCarty street.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

On motion of Mr. Gimber, the contract and bond of James Mahoney, for grading and bouldering Severin alley, from Georgia to Louisiana street, was rejected.

Mr. Bollman moved to reject the contract and bond of R. E. Smith, for grading and paving the north sidewalk on Maryland street, from Delaware to Alabama street.

Which motion was not adopted.

☞ The report was then concurred in and bonds approved, with the exception above stated.

Mr. Gimber presented bond of A. Naltner, for removing garbage from the city limits.

Which was referred to the Committee on Judiciary and the City Attorney.

Mr. Thalman offered the following motion :

Moved, That the Committee on Judiciary and City Attorney be directed to duly contract for the removal of garbage according to the the following report from the Committee on Contracts :

“We report that Aegidius Naltner is the lowest and best bidder, and therefore recommend that he be awarded the contract for two years, providing, that he collects from the property owners, his fees for the removal of such slops. The city in no case to be held responsible for payment.”

Which was adopted.

The City Civil Engineer submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen:—There was an ordinance passed for the improving of Madison avenue a short time since.

I would recommend the postponement of said improvement until such time as there are provisions made to carry off the water that flows into said avenue.

There are a large number of streets drained into said avenue, and an open gutter would be greatly insufficient to convey the volume of water that concentrates thereon without overflowing private property along the line of said avenue.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DEITZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 19, 1875

To the Honorable Mayor and Common Council of the city of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I was instructed to survey out-lots "H" 62, 63, 64 and 65, for the purpose of opening Court street, and prepare a true plat of the same. Would respectfully report that I have made the survey and drawn a true plat of the above described premises, and the said plat is now on file in my office.

The line of the square fronting on East street is nine inches too short, and the mistake must be taken from said street, which, in case the street is opened to a width of twenty-five feet, the ground will only measure twenty-four feet and three inches, while the plat will indicate twenty-five feet.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was referred to the Committee on Opening Streets and Alleys.

Mr. Geiger presented the following remonstrance :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I respectfully but earnestly remonstrate against the opening of Court street through my ground in out-lot No. 65, as the same will destroy three brick houses and one frame house owned by me, and will damage me certainly not less than ten thousand dollars, without any corresponding benefit to the public and no benefit to me whatever.

Very respectfully,

WM. H. ENGLISH.

Which was referred to the Committee on Opening Streets and Alleys.

The City Civil Engineer submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen:—The matter of sewerage Crooked Creek on east Michigan street was referred to me with instructions to make a profile, plan and estimates for a brick sewer of sufficient capacity to carry off the water that flows into said creek. Would report that I have made profile for said sewer, from Randolph street to Pouge's Run, and the same is now on file in my office.

The said sewer will be located in the center of Michigan street and must have a capacity sufficient to drain two and one half miles of territory or about twelve hundred acres of ground. This will require the said sewer to be six feet in the clear and the walls to be nine inches thick ; the estimated cost of which will be as follows:

4605 cubic yards excavation at sixty-five cents.....	\$2,993 00
10,128 cubic yards excavation at seventy-five cents	7,601 00
1,042 thousand brick including cement and work at eighteen dollars per thousand.....	18,756 00
Total cost.....	\$29,350 00

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,
City Civil Engineer.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen:—I feel it my duty to again call your attention to the matter of repairing the new channel of Fall Creek. The city will be liable for heavy damages in case of another overflow with the channel in its present condition.

The contract for filling the break in west Michigan street is let, but I cannot permit the work to proceed until the channel is repaired.

I hope your honorable body will take some immediate action in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,
City Civil Engineer.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I was instructed to examine Illinois street, from Washington street to South street, and advertise for bids for the construction of a brick sewer in same of sufficient size (to be determined by me,) to carry the water, would report that I have made such examination and find that it will be necessary to build a sewer between Washington street and Maryland street, for the reason that the two foot pipe now in Illinois street between said points will connect with the sewer now being built on Maryland street, from Illinois street to Kentucky avenue, and will be sufficient to carry the water to said sewer.

A larger sewer than three feet cannot be built in Illinois street south to South street, without raising the sidewalk in tunnel, or excavating lower than the bed of Pogue's Run.

I would therefore recommend the building of a three foot brick sewer in Illinois street, from Georgia street to South street, and a two foot sewer in said Illinois street between Maryland and Georgia streets, which will connect with the three foot sewer above referred to until such time as there is a sewer built in Georgia street, when it can be re-connected with that sewer.

By carrying out this plan it will be much cheaper than building a five foot brick sewer from Washington street to South street, as was contemplated in the original motion directing me to make this examination.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the Common Council :

Gentlemen :—The leveling instrument in use in my office is of very little use except on short distances, and I would respectfully ask your honorable body to grant me permission to purchase a new one, as I cannot possibly get along without it. The cost of said instrument will not exceed one hundred and fifty dollars.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was referred to the Committee on Supplies, with power to act.

The City Clerk submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July, 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I hereby report the following affidavits now on file in my office for the collection of street assessments by precept, to-wit :

James Mahoney vs. Jesse Draper for.....	\$136 40
James Mahoney vs. Francis M. Ester for.....	43 40
James Mahoney vs. Mary A. Evans for.....	43 60
James Mahoney vs. Mary A. Evans for.....	43 60
James Mahoney vs. Harriett Hoyt for.....	24 20
James Mahoney vs. Harriett Hoyt for.....	45 05½
Geo. W. Seibert vs. Alexander A. Dillon for.....	15 50

And would respectfully recommend that you order the precept to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

BENJ. C. WRIGHT,

City Clerk.

Which was concurred in, and the precepts ordered to issue by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford, Thalman and Webstr—22.

Negative—None.

The City Attorney submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—I have the honor to report to you that the following suits have been tried and judgment in each case rendered in favor of the city, to-wit :

Michael Realig vs. City of Indianapolis, Wm. H. English.

Before Esquire Patterson, suit for damages from falling into hole in alley on the English property on the corner of Noble and Washington streets.

Stokes vs. City of Indianapolis.

Before Esquire Schmidt, suit for service rendered Gas Inspector Robinson.

Respectfully submitted,

CAS. BYFIELD,
City Attorney.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—In answer to your communication as to whether the city has a right to open walks through Circle Park, I will say that in my opinion the city has no right and does not possess the power to control or direct the use of said Circle.

The ground is owned by the State, and the control of the same has never been given to the city, and therefore the Common Council can exercise no control over the same except the general police power to prevent violations of the law on the same, and to prevent unlawful assemblies therein.

I am of the opinion that the city cannot open walks through the same without the consent of the General Assembly.

Respectfully submitted,

CAS. BYFIELD,
City Attorney.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—In reference to the annexed motion upon which my opinion is requested, I report as follows :

By specification 11 of Section 2 of "an act prescribing the duties and powers of the Auditor of State," it is made his duty to "keep and preserve all property of any description belonging to or appertaining to his office, and also to the State, where no other provision is made by law for the safe keeping of the same." 1 G.

& H. p. 119. I am not able to find any act or resolution of the Legislature making any special provision in regard to Military Park, or contemplating expressly or by inference any such office as "Commissioner of Military Park."

Unless some such act or resolution exists, then the State Auditor has the general custody of the Park.

It may be conceded that the city has a general police power over the Park in so far as to authorize it to prevent the gathering of any assembly, or the doing of any act thereon detrimental to the comfort, health, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the city.

But it must also be conceded that the police power of the city is not as extensive as applied to the park, as it is over the streets, alleys and sidewalks of the city. It may prevent the congregating on these of assemblies otherwise lawful upon the sole ground that they obstruct travel upon them, but it cannot upon this ground forbid such assemblies in the Park.

If the State Auditor choose to permit persons to assemble in the Park, and they do so assemble and conduct themselves decently and otherwise lawfully, the city has no power to prevent their so doing. I think the City Council has no power to pass the motion as framed, and, if passed, I do not think the courts would enforce it.

Respectfully submitted,

CAS. BYFIELD,
City Attorney.

Which was concurred in.

The Board of Health submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—The Board of Health respectfully calls your attention to those dangerous nuisances, the undrained vacant lots that exist in almost every square in the city.

The raising of streets and alleys has, in hundreds of places, cut off natural drainage and formed ponds in which the weeds and stagnant water will ferment, during the hot months, and produce the most dangerous class of diseases.

We suggest that the Marshal be directed to set to work immediately to enforce the filling up of all ponds owned by residents in the city, and to fill such as are owned by non-residents and assess the cost against the property.

Now, that there is a contract for the removal of the garbage from the city, we suggest that his Honor, the Mayor, issue a proclamation informing the people of the fact, and requiring every household to avail themselves of the scavenger's services.

By order of the Board of Health,

A. STRATFORD,

Secretary.

Which was received.

Also, the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Report of deaths in the City of Indianapolis from 6 o'clock P. M., on the 10th day of July, to 6 o'clock P. M. on the 17th day of July, 1875:

Under 1 year.....	19
1 to 2 years.....	8
2 to 3 ".....	0
3 to 4 ".....	0
4 to 5 ".....	0
5 to 10 ".....	1
10 to 20 ".....	1
20 to 30 ".....	2
30 to 40 ".....	5
40 to 50 ".....	1
50 to 60 ".....	2
60 to 70 ".....	0
70 to 80 ".....	1
80 to 90 ".....	0
90 to 100 ".....	0
Above 100 ".....	0
Unknown ".....	0
Total.....	40

C. E. WRIGHT, M. D.,

President Board of Health.

A. STRATFORD, M. D.,

Secretary Board of Health.

Which were received.

By consent, Mr. Geiger offered the following motion :

Moved, That the Board of Equalization provided for in the fifty-ninth section of the city charter meet on Monday evening, August 9th, 1875, and that the session be held for the purpose of hearing complaints in reference to the assessment for taxation, and for the purpose of equalizing the same, and that the Clerk be directed to make proper publication of this notice.

Which was adopted.

ORDINANCES ON FIRST READING.

Mr. Adams presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on Baltimore avenue, between Hill avenue and Brinkman street, respectfully petition for the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling of Baltimore avenue between the points above named, with good river or creek gravel.

And your petitioners will ever pray, &c.

INGRAM FLETCHER,
M. F. ARDEN,
JOHN H. HEPP,
JAMES C. PRATT,
FREDERICK W. MILLER.

Which was received.

Mr. Adams introduced special ordinance No. 136, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Baltimore avenue, exclusive of the sidewalks, between Hill avenue and Brinkman street.

Which was read the first time.

Also the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on Hill avenue between Darwin street and Brinkman street, respectfully petition for the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling of Hill avenue, between the points above named, with good river and creek gravel.

And your petitioners will ever pray, &c.

I. MAROT
 TEMPLE C. HARRISON,
 BENJAMIN F. CLARK,
 ENOCH BAKER,
 JOHN CARR,
 DAVID M. WALTER,
 CHAS. G. WALTER.

Which was received.

Also, special ordinance No. 137, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Hill avenue, exclusive of the sidewalks, between Darwin and Brinkman streets.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Bollman introduced special ordinance No. 138, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading, macadamizing, bouldering the gutters and paving and curbing the sidewalks with stone of Market street, from the west side of Alabama street to the east side of Noble street.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Craft introduced special ordinance No. 139, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and bouldering the gutters and curbing the sidewalks with stone of Alabama street, from Washington to North street.

Which was read the first time.

Also, general ordinance No. 54, 1875, entitled:

An ordinance to provide for the construction of a brick sewer in and along Massachusetts avenue, from Pennsylvania street to the east side of New Jersey street, and to connect with the Pennsylvania street sewer.

Which was read the first time.

Also, general ordinance No. 55, 1875, entitled:

An ordinance to provide for constructing a brick sewer in and along Michigan street, from Randolph street to Pogue's Run.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Geiger introduced general ordinance No. 56, 1875, entitled:

An ordinance repealing certain parts of an ordinance, adopted May 24, 1875, entitled, "An ordinance regulating the removal of garbage and slops and waste matter from the corporate limits of the city of Indianapolis, and prohibiting persons from interfering with the contractors appointed by the city for the purpose of hauling garbage from the city," and providing for compensation.

Which was read a first time, and referred to the Committee on Judiciary and the City Attorney.

Mr. Geiger presented contract and bond, and resolution of Orme, Vichery & Co., in relation to the removing of garbage.

Which was referred to the Committee on Judiciary and the City Attorney.

Mr. Gimber introduced general ordinance No. 57, 1875, entitled:

An ordinance appropriating ten thousand dollars for the use of the Street Commissioner.

Which was read the first time.

On motion of Mr. Gimber, the rules were suspended by unanimous consent, for the purpose of placing the above ordinance on its passage.

General ordinance No. 57, 1875, was read the second and third times and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, Ransdell, Reed, Stratford, Thalman and Webster—21.

Negative—None.

Mr. Ransdell presented the following communication:

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—In accepting my bid for removing garbage, the Council has made a conditional award. I desire to say that the condition exacted is not in accordance with my bid. I will say, however, if the Council will modify the ordinance by adopting the amendment herewith submitted, or something similar to it, which will afford the contractor some protection and security in collecting his fees, I will accept the contract; otherwise I must decline.

Respectfully,

A. NALTNER.

Which was referred to the Committee on Judiciary and the City Attorney, with instructions to report next Monday night.

Mr. Ransdell introduced general ordinance No. 58, 1875, entitled:

An ordinance regulating the removal of garbage, slops and waste matter from the corporate limits of the city of Indianapolis, and prohibiting persons from interfering with the contractors appointed for the purpose of hauling garbage from the city.

Which was read the first time and referred to the Committee on Judiciary and the City Attorney, with instructions to report next Monday night.

On motion of Mr. Ransdell, the garbage matter was made a special order of business for next Monday night.

Mr. Reed introduced general ordinance No. 59, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to grant a special license for theatrical and other performances, to be issued to Joseph Fitzgerald.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Schmidt introduced special ordinance No. 140, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading, macadamizing, bouldering the gutters and curbing the sidewalks with stone on Alabama street, between the north side of Washington street and the south side of Ohio street.

Which was read the first time.

Also, special ordinance No. 141, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for bouldering the gutters and macadamizing Delaware street, from Washington street to Massachusetts avenue.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Thalman presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—We, the undersigned, respectfully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance for putting in a cement or glazed pipe sewer, twenty or twenty-four inches in Potomac or West Court street, from the first alley east of California to Missouri street sewer.

And your petitioners will ever pray, &c.

R. B. Emmerson, 435 feet, John Carlisle, 225½ feet,
Wm. Coughlen, 135 feet, W. H. Langenberg and
H. J. Vogt, N. Kellogg, 67½ feet, S. E. Perkins, jr.
67½ feet.

Which was received.

Mr. Thalman introduced general ordinance No. 60, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for constructing a two foot cement pipe or glazed earthen pipe sewer in and along Patomac street (or West Court street, from the first alley east of California street to Missouri street, and connecting with the sewer in said Missouri street.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Kahn moved to reconsider the vote by which the contract and bond of R. E. Smith, for paving the north sidewalk of Maryland street, was approved.

Which motion was laid on the table by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Hall, Hook, Laughlin, Madden, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford, Thalman and Webster—14.

Negative—Councilmen Bollman, Buehrig, Geiger, Gimber, Kahn and Kenzel—6.

The Council then proceeded with roll call, at the place where they left off at the last regular meeting.

Mr. Thalman presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen :—Your petitioners pray your honorable body to cause to be opened and extended Vermont street, from the first alley east of Douglass street to Agness street, to the width of sixty feet. A plat of the proposed opening and extending is hereby filed and made a part of this petition.

And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.,

CHAS. DOLLMAN,
HAMILTON BAYLIS,
DAVID HARRIS,

And 20 others.

Which was received.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the petition of Thomas Dollman and others, praying for the laying out and opening of Vermont street, from the first alley east of Douglass street to Agnes street to a width of sixty feet, be referred to the Commissioners, with instructions to assess benefits and damages, and to make due report; and that for the purposes of such street the Common Council do propose to appropriate such real estate and property as may be necessary therefor.

The said Commissioners are instructed to return, as a part of their report, all petitions and notices.

The City Clerk is hereby directed to issue, and the City Marshal to serve the proper notices upon the Commissioners and property owners.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Duffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Hook, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford, Thalman and Webster—21.

Negative—None.

Mr. Thalman presented a plan or drawing concerning the draining of territory near the One Stave Barrel Works.

Which was referred to the Committee on Sewers.

Mr. Thalman offered the following motion :

Moved, That the Street Commissioner be directed to so fix the gutters on the corner of Agnes and North street so the water can run off.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—We, the undersigned, property holders of west North, west Michigan and west Vermont streets, also, Agnes and Patterson streets, pray that

you will have or cause to be put in, a city fire cistern on the corner of Patterson and Michigan streets, as there are none nearer than five hundred yards, and no protection for our property in case of fire.

And your voters will ever pray, &c.

C. T. GILMORE,
LEMUEL EAGLER,
ANDY FOSTER,
JOSEPH SCOTT,
ANDERSON LEWIS,
PETER REINHARD.

Which was referred to the Committee on Fire Department.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That the Streets Commissioner be directed to put in a wooden culvert at the mouth of the first alley west of Alton street, north side of Indiana avenue.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That the Street Commissioner be directed to immediately fix the first alley running east and west north of Indiana avenue between West and California street, so the water will run off.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That the Committee on Tunnels be requested to have doors put in ends of the tunnel sidewalks, and that they make arrangements with the police for closing them at dark and opening at daylight.

Which was referred to the Committee on Tunnels.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That J. P. Maurer have permission to pave with brick, at his own expense in front of his property, on corner of Blake and Elizabeth streets, and that the City Civil Engineer be directed to set the grade stakes.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That the Chief of Police be directed to instruct the day patrolmen to notify persons, in front of whose property the limbs of shade trees are an obstruction, to trim said trees to a height of eight feet from the ground.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion:

Moved, That the Committee on Bridges be directed to examine the wooden bridge over White river on National Road, and report what repairs are necessary.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following remonstrance :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—We respectfully remonstrate against putting in a cement pipe across Michigan street in the old bed of Fall Creek, as that would cause an overflow of our fields every rise of the river, and the sewer put in before was the cause of the break in the embankment.

Respectfully,

S. J. PATTERSON,

S. W. PATTERSON,

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys, with power to act.

Also, the following resolution :

WHEREAS, The population of the city has increased with great rapidity since the last census, and

WHEREAS, the latest official statement of its population is no proper criterion of its present condition, Therefore,

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Council that a thorough census of the city should be taken as soon as practicable, and that a committee of three be appointed to devise and report to this Council at our next regular meeting, a plan therefor.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Beuhrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Thalman and Webster—20.

Negative—None.

The Chair appointed as such Committee, Councilmen Thalman, Kahn and Madden.

Mr. Webster offered the following motion :

Moved, That the Street Commissioner be instructed to put down wooden culverts over the gutters on Home avenue at its intersection with Alabama street.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That the contractor of the bridge on Arch street over Pogues Run be directed to complete his contract without further delay.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That L. Norton have permission to move a house one square on Massachusetts avenue to Noble street, one square on Noble street to Walnut street, and one-half square on said Walnut street.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That Frank Shortridge be, and is hereby allowed to raise and relay the sidewalks, and curb the outside edge of the same, in front of his property, corner of Illinois and Vermont streets, and the City Civil Engineer is hereby directed to set the grade stakes, the work to be done at said Shortridge's expense and to be completed in sixty days.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That J. T. Lecklider be, and is hereby granted permission to pave with brick the sidewalks in front of his property on Michigan street between Tennessee and Mississippi streets; the work to be done under the direction of the City Civil Engineer, and at his own expense and to be done within sixty days.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following motion :

Moved, That Severn & Rentsch be, and are hereby granted permission to remove and locate their scales from the corner of Fourth and Tennessee street to the corner of Fifth and Tennessee streets.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys, with power to act.

Also, the following communication :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen :—The undersigned, residents at and in the vicinity of the crossing of North and Meridian streets, respectfully represent that the drainage in that locality is such that at every considerable rain storm, Meridian street for a short distance above and below North street becomes flooded, and frequently remains submerged for several days. The necessary result is that the wooden blocks and the ground become greatly saturated with water more than would be the case if the water were allowed to flow off as it falls.

We are advised and believe that in addition to the public inconvenience produced by the overflowing of the street, that the effect in hot seasons is unfavorable to the health of the locality.

We would further represent that the pipes for conducting the water under the alley crossings of the gutters on North street between Meridian and Illinois streets are wholly insufficient to perform the service required of them in even ordinary rain storms.

We therefore, respectfully ask an order of the Council, authorizing and directing City Civil Engineer to reconstruct the crossings in such manner as to relieve the difficulties mentioned.

And your petitioners will ever pray, &c.

A. W. HENDRICKS,
J. W. NICHOLS,
S. V. BIDDLE,
GEO. W. SLOAN,
H. G. CAREY.

And 7 others.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys and the City Civil Engineer, with power to act.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the owners of the following described real estate, to-wit: 37½ feet on the south side of lot No. 1, square No. 18, Drake's Addition to the city of Indianapolis, be, and they are hereby, required to fill or drain the same, as, in the opinion of this Council, there is a hole or excavation thereon, in which water has or may become so stagnant or noxious as to be a nuisance and injurious to the health and comfort of said city and of the inhabitants thereof, and that the Street Commissioner be, and he is hereby required to notify the owners thereof, as provided by an ordinance passed April 28, 1866, entitled, "An ordinance providing for the drainage and filling up of ponds, excavations and holes, and prescribing penalties for the failure to fill up the same," and that in case of the failure of the owners to fill up or drain the same, the Street Commissioner proceed to fill or drain the said hole or excavation as provided in said ordinance, *provided*, that the cost thereof shall not exceed ten per cent of the value of the aforesaid real estate, as assessed upon the tax duplicate for city purposes.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford, Thal-man and Webster—22.

Negative—None.

Also, the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen :—Your petitioner would respectfully represent that he is the owner of lots nine (9) and ten (10) in square ten (10) in the city of Indianapolis. That in the year 1870, the City Council ordered the improvement of sidewalks in front of said property, and that he paid the sum of fifty-three dollars and thirty-nine cents, (53.39) for said improvement, and further that the City Council passed an ordinance in the year 1874, which ordered the improvement of the sidewalks along such property as not already improved. That the City Civil Engineer changed the grade on said sidewalks, making it necessary for the contractor to take up and relay all of the improvements, whereby he was assessed the sum of eighty-three dollars and fifty cents (\$83.50) for said improvement. Your subscriber therefore respectfully asks that the City refund to him the sum of fifty-three dollars and thirty-nine cents (53.39).

And your petitioner will ever pray, &c.

HENRY VOIGT.

Which was referred to the Committee on Judiciary and the City Civil Engineer.

By consent, Mr. Diffley offered the following motion :

Moved, That John W. Coons be allowed to grade the sidewalk and pave the same with brick in front of his property in West Indianapolis, according to the stakes set by the City Civil Engineer, the work to be done at said Coon's own expense.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the owners of the following described real estate, to-wit: lot No. 9 in McKernan's subdivision of out-lot 28 of the city of Indianapolis be, and they are hereby required to fill or drain the same, as, in the opinion of this Council, there is a hole or excavation thereon, in which water has or may become so stagnant or noxious as to be a nuisance and injurious to the health and comfort of said city and of the inhabitants thereof, and that the Street Commissioner be, and he is hereby required to notify the owners thereof, as provided by an ordinance passed April 28, 1866, entitled: "An ordinance providing for the drainage and filling up of ponds, excavations and holes, and prescribing penalties for the failure to fill up or drain the same," and that in case of the failure of the owners thereof to fill or drain the

same, the Street Commissioner proceed to fill or drain the said hole or excavation as provided in said ordinance, *Provided*, that the cost thereof shall not exceed ten per cent. of the value of the aforesaid real estate, as assessed upon the tax duplicate for city purposes.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Laughlin, Madden, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford, Thalman and Webster—22.

Negative—None.

Dr. Hook offered the following motion:

Moved, That when this Council adjourns it adjourn to meet next Wednesday night and ordinances on second and third reading be made the special order.

Which failed to pass by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Craft, Curran, Hook, Kahn, Laughlin, Stratford and Thalman—9.

Negative—Councilmen Bollman, Buehrig, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Kenzel, Madden, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt and Webster—13.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES.

Mr. Gimber, from the Committee on Streets and Alleys, submitted the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys to whom was referred sundry papers, would report as follows, to-wit:

FIRST.

A motion introduced by Councilmen Hook, "directing the City Marshal to notify the President of the Citizens Street Railway Company to fill or boulder or block between the rails of their track on Christian avenue at the crossing of College avenue, within ten days from service."

Your Committee report in favor of the passage of the motion, "by requiring the Railway Company to fill with gravel instead of bouldering or blocks."

SECOND.

A motion introduced by Councilman Schmidt, "directing the Street Commissioner to flag the crossings of Alabama street on the north side of Market street."

Also, a motion introduced by Councilman Curran, "directing the Street Commissioner to flag and boulder the street and alley crossings on south side of Washington street from Michigan road to State street."

Also, a motion introduced by Councilman Darnell "directing the Street Commissioner to flag the north side of First street at the crossing of Illinois street."

Also, a motion introduced by Councilman Ransdell, "directing the Street Commissioner to flag the crossings of North and St. Clair street at the intersection of Illinois street."

Also, a motion introduced by Councilman Laughlin, "directing the Street Commissioner to flag the crossings of Duncan street across Alabama street, also on Merrill street across Alabama street."

Also, a motion introduced by Councilman Laughlin, "directing the Street Commissioner to boulder the alley crossings on south side of Merrill street from Alabama to Delaware street."

Your committee report adversely to the passage of the foregoing motions ordering stone crossings, and for bouldering.

THIRD.

Also, a petition and resolution offered by Councilman Schmidt, directing the Street Commissioner to clear up the rubbish in front of the new hotel.

Your Committee recommend that the Street Commissioner notify the owners of the property along the line to do said work.

FOURTH.

Also, the report of the City Civil Engineer, relative to the improvement of Severn alley, between Georgia and Louisiana street.

Your Committee find that the ordinance providing for the improvement of said alley is defective, and we therefore recommend that a new ordinance be introduced for such work, and that the contract now awarded to James Mahoney be annulled.

FIFTH.

Also, "An ordinance for grading and graveling, bouldering and curbing Alabama street, from Washington to New York street."

Your Committee find that the street is in good condition at this time, and recommend that the ordinance be stricken from the files.

Respectfully submitted,

H. GIMBER,

ISAAC THALMAN,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which was concurred in, and special ordinance No. 119, 1875, providing for grading, graveling, bouldering and curbing Alabama street, from Washington to New York streets, stricken from the files.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen.:—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred sundry papers, report as follows, viz :

FIRST.

An ordinance and remonstrance against the same, for grading and graveling the first alley west of West street from First street to its northern terminus, was referred to your Committee, and we report in favor of the passage of the ordinance, as water dammed up in said alley is creating a great nuisance and should be abated.

SECOND.

Also, a petition from the School Board and Horace Scott, asking permission to grade certain alleys and streets, as set forth in the petition.

Your Committee recommend that the prayer of the petitioners be granted, provided, that the work of grading be done at their own expense, and to the entire width of said alleys and streets.

THIRD.

Also, a motion offered by Councilman Craft directing the Street Commissioner to fill the chuck holes on Alabama street from Washington to North street.

Your Committee recommend that the motion be referred to the Street Commissioner to do such work as is necessary.

FOURTH.

Also, a motion offered by Councilman Buehrig directing the Street Commissioner to place a stone flagg crossing on west side of Maryland street crossing Delaware street.

Also, a similar motion offered by Councilman Albershardt directing Street Commissioner to place a stone flag crossing over Washington street at crossing of Liberty street.

Your Committee report adversely to the passage of the two last named motions for the reason that the treasury is not in a condition for such expenditures.

Respectfully submitted,

H. GIMBER,

ISAAC THALMAN.

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred sundry papers, would report as follows :

FIRST.

An ordinance was referred to your Committee, providing for grading, curbing and paving the northwest sidewalk of Massachusetts avenue, from Pennsylvania to Delaware street.

We have examined said sidewalk and believe the work should be done, and therefore recommend that the said ordinance be passed.

SECOND.

Also, an ordinance and remonstrance against the same, providing for grading and graveling Twelfth street and sidewalks, from Illinois to Pennsylvania street.

Your Committee report in favor of the remonstrants, and recommend that the ordinance be stricken from the files.

THIRD.

Also, an ordinance and remonstrance against the same, providing for grading, graveling, bouldering and curbing Market street, between Alabama and Noble street.

Your Committee recommend that the said ordinance be stricken from the files.

FOURTH.

Also, an ordinance and remonstrance against the same, providing for grading and graveling the first alley east of Bellefontaine street, from Home avenue to the first alley north of Christian avenue.

Your Committee report in favor of the remonstrants, and recommend that said ordinance be stricken from the files.

FIFTH.

Also, general ordinance No. 39, 1875, to prevent street cars from standing at the intersection of Washington street, Pennsylvania street and Virginia avenue.

Your Committee find that the ordinance was drawn up by the City Attorney and believe it to be a good one, and we therefore recommend that it be passed.

SIXTH.

Also, a motion offered by Councilman Schmidt, instructing the Street Commissioner to put down two rows of stone, crossing on Delaware street, at the intersection of Court street.

Your Committee recommend that the Street Commissioner lay down a single row of crossing.

Respectfully submitted,

H. GIMBER,

ISAAC THALMAN,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which was concurred in, except the sixth paragraph, which was stricken from the report.

Special ordinance No. 64, 1875, to provide for grading and graveling the first alley east of Bellefontaine street; also, special ordinance No. 73, 1875, to grade, gravel, boulder and curb Market street, from Delaware to Noble street, and special ordinance No. 82, 1875, to grade and gravel Twelfth street, were taken up and stricken from the files.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys to whom was referred sundry papers, respectfully report as follows :

First, is a report from the City Civil Engineer in regard to the embankments on both sides of Fall Creek below and above Geisendorff's dam, which he recommends to be repaired; we recommend to concur in the report, and to direct the City Civil Engineer to prepare plans and specifications, and advertise for proposals for doing said work at once.

Second, is a motion that the Street Commissioner be directed to make a temporary fill in the south bank of Fall creek, below Geisendorff's dam, to keep the water

from flowing into Patterson's field, we recommend that this motion be concurred in, and the Street Commissioner be directed to do the work at once, under the direction of the City Civil Engineer.

Respectfully submitted,

H. GIMBER,

W. F. REASNER,

ISAAC THALMAN,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of J. H. McKernan, Simon Yandes and others, asking for the vacation of Sand street, respectfully report in favor of said vacation, and recommend the adoption of the following resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

HENRY GIMBER,

ISAAC THALMAN,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following resolution :

WHEREAS, All the persons owning or interested in lands abutting on Sand street having petitioned for the vacation thereof, and having filed a written agreement consenting to such vacation, and this Council being satisfied that it would be for the benefit of the public as well as of individuals to vacate it; therefore,

Resolved, That Sand street be vacated as prayed for in the petition of James H. McKernan et. al.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hall, Hook, Kenzel, Mad-

den, Ransdell, Reasner, Reed, Schmidt, Stratford, Thalman and Webster—20.

Negative—Councilmen Darnell, Kahn and Laughlin—3.

Mr. Geiger, from the Committee on Finance, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Finance beg leave to report that, under the ordinance passed by the Council on June 28th, 1875, authorizing the Finance Committee and City Treasurer to borrow the sum of two hundred thousand (\$200,000) dollars.

The loan was advertised in the papers of this city, and bids were received for the same as herewith submitted and opened this day.

First National Bank of Indianapolis bid premium—

Two hundred and fifty-two dollars and ten cents for one hundred thousand dollars.

Two hundred and fifty dollars and five cents for twenty-five thousand dollars.

One hundred and eighty-seven dollars and fifty-five cents for twenty five thousand dollars.

Two hundred and fifty dollars and ten cents for fifty thousand dollars.

Indiana Banking Company, Indianapolis, bid—

Fifty thousand dollars at one and one-half per cent. premium.

Twenty-five thousand dollars at three-fourths per cent. premium.

Twenty-five thousand dollars at one per cent. premium.

Twenty thousand dollars at one and one-eighth per cent. premium.

Ten thousand dollars at one and three-eighths per cent. premium.

Ten thousand dollars at one and one-half per cent. premium.

Ten thousand dollars at one and five-eighths per cent. premium.

Ten thousand dollars at one and three-fourths per cent. premium.

Ten thousand dollars at one and seven-eighths per cent. premium.

Ten thousand dollars at two per cent. premium.

Ten thousand dollars at two and one-half per cent. premium.

Ten thousand dollars at one and one-fourth per cent. premium.

Will take all or any part.

Parker & Cobb—

One hundred and one thousand and twenty-five dollars for one hundred thousand dollars.

One hundred thousand seven hundred and fifty dollars for one hundred thousand dollars.

E. B. Martindale—

Two hundred thousand dollars at one and three eighths per cent. premium.

Ætna Life Insurance Company, T. O. Enders, President—

One per cent. and interest, whole loan.

Indianapolis Insurance Company—

One and one-thirteenth per cent., whole loan.

Sweet & Co., Boston—

Twenty thousand dollars at twenty-six one-hundredths.

Twenty thousand dollars at thirty-eight one-hundredths.

Ten thousand dollars at fifty-one one-hundredths.

Ten thousand dollars at sixty-four one-hundredths.

Ten thousand dollars at seventy-six one-hundredths.

Ten thousand dollars at eighty-eight one-hundredths.

Ten thousand dollars at one and one one-hundredth.

Ten thousand dollars at one and seven one-hundredths.

C. Knefler—

Fifty thousand dollars at one and fifteen one-hundredths and interest.

Fifty thousand dollars at one and thirty-one one-hundredths and interest.

Fifty thousand dollars at one and eighty-five one-hundredths and interest.

Fifty thousand dollars at two and fifteen one-hundredths and interest.

Smith & Hannaman—

Fifty thousand dollars at one and seventy-six one-hundredths and interest.

One hundred and fifty thousand dollars at fifty-two one-hundredths and interest.

Your Committee have awarded as follows :

Fifty thousand dollars to Charles Knefler, at one and thirty-one one-hundredths premium and accrued interest.

Fifty thousand dollars to Charles Knefler, at one and eighty-five one-hundredths premium and accrued interest.

Fifty thousand dollars to Charles Knefler, at two and fifteen one-hundredths premium and accrued interest.

Fifty thousand dollars to Smith & Hannaman, at one and seventy-six one-hundredths premium and accrued interest.

And have instructed the City Treasurer to deliver bonds to the above parties.

Your Committee congratulates the Council upon the favorable terms the loan was made.

Respectfully submitted,

GEO. W. GEIGER,

D. M. RANSELL,

I. W. STRATFORD,

LEON KAHN,

ENOS B. REED,

Committee.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Finance beg leave to report, as follows :

FIRST.

That they have examined carefully the petition of S. A. Fletcher, Jr., asking the city to refund the amount of tax on lot No. 181, out lot No. 96, collected from him, erroneously, a second time, and recommend that the Committee on Accounts and Claims be, and are hereby authorized to insert the amount of \$10.08 in the next appropriation ordinance for the claim of S. A. Fletcher, Jr., and refund the amount to him.

SECOND.

That they have also examined the claim of the heirs of Daniel Glazier, asking that taxes be refunded that have been erroneously collected, and we recommend that the Committee on Accounts and Claims be and are hereby instructed and authorized to insert the amount of \$43.86 in the next appropriation ordinance for the claim of the heirs of Daniel Glazier, and pay the same to them.

THIRD.

Upon the passage of the ordinance authorizing the sale of city warrants to the amount of \$50,000.

Your Committee instructed the City Treasurer to advertise for proposals to take the same. Sale was made to Parker & Cobb, of Boston, Mass., they being the best and lowest bidders, from which was realized the net sum of \$47,200, now in the hands of the City Treasurer, so that the July interest is provided for and will be promptly paid.

Respectfully submitted,

GEO. W. GEIGER,
LEON KAHN,
D. M. RANSELL,
I. W. STRATFORD,
ENOS B. REED,
Committee on Finance.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 19, 1875

To the Honorable Mayor and Common Council of the city of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Finance beg leave to report that they have carefully examined the report of the City Treasurer, and find the same correct, and ask that the City Clerk be, and is hereby directed to draw an order on the City Treasurer in favor of Henry W. Tutewiler for the sum of sixteen thousand and twenty-seven dollars and thirty cents, (\$16,027 30) The amount due the Treasurer for his per cent. on taxes collected for the fiscal year, 1874.

Respectfully submitted,

GEO. W. GEIGER,
LEON KAHN,
D. W. RANSELL,
ENOS B. REED,
I. W. STRATFORD,
Committee on Finance.

Which was concurred in.

Dr. Stratford, from the Committee on Sewers, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Sewers to whom was referred the petition of the School Board asking privilege to lay a twelve inch cement pipe drain in and along Ohio street from the first alley west of Pennsylvania street to connect with the Pennsylvania street sewer, at their own expense, recommend that the request be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

I. W. STRATFORD,

WM. BUEHRIG,

FRED. SCHMIDT.

Committee on Sewers.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Albershardt, from the Committee on Water Works, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Water Works to whom was referred the petition of property owners fronting on Tennessee street, relative to mains being laid on said street, would report that the mains have already been laid down and Council can take no steps in the matter.

Respectfully submitted,

H. F. ALBERSHARDT,

F. M. HOOK,

JOHN J. DIFFLEY,

Committee on Water Works.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Curran, from the Committee on Bridges, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Bridges to whom was referred a motion offered by Councilman Madden directing the Civil City Engineer to advertise for proposals

for a stone arch bridge over Pogues Run at the crossing of Michigan street, respectfully report in favor of said motion.

Respectfully submitted,

P. H. CURRAN,

I. THALMAN,

I. W. STRATFORD.

Committee on Bridges.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis ;

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Bridges, City Attorney and City Civil Engineer to whom was referred the report of the City Attorney relating to the liability of August Richter for damage of bridge over Pogues Run on Clifford avenue, report that we have examined into the said damage, and find that it was caused by the earth being washed away underneath, thereby causing the foundation to give way, therefore, in our opinion, the contractor should not be held liable for damages to said bridge.

Respectfully submitted,

P. H. CURRAN,

I. W. STRATFORD,

ISAAC THALMAN,

Committee on Bridges.

B. H. DEITZ,

City Civil Engineer.

CAS. BYFIELD,

City Attorney.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Geiger, from the Committee on Fire Department, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the Common Council :

Gentlemen :—The undersigned Committee to whom was referred the motion of Mr. Adams to investigate the contract and work done by Dennis Keating, in the

construction of a fire well at the intersection of John and Arch streets, beg leave to report that they have carefully examined the same, and are of the opinion that the City Civil Engineer should allow the final estimate to Dennis Keating, on condition that he will give indemnifying bond in the sum of five hundred dollars, for the work to hold for one year.

Respectfully submitted,

G. W. GEIGER,

W. H. CRAFT,

Committee on Fire Department.

M. G. FITCHEY,

Chief Fire Engineer.

BERNHARD H. DEITZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Fire Department to whom was referred the report of Chief Fire Engineer, beg leave to report as follows:

FIRST.

That there should be located fire alarm boxes at or near the following places, and that the Chief Fire Engineer be, and is hereby directed to purchase the same: Illinois and Herbert, Howard and Seventh, Central avenue and Eighth, College avenue and Tenth, Rohampton and Eighth, Ohio and Harvey; English and Linden, Orange and Olive, Kansas and Chicago, and Vermont and Agnes streets.

SECOND.

That we also concur in the recommendation of the Chief Fire Engineer that fire cisterns should be located at the following places, viz: Tennessee and Herbert, Central avenue and Eighth, College avenue and Tenth, Orange and Olive, Spann and Linden, and Pendleton Road and Columbia avenue: These, in all probability, will be all the cisterns that will be needed this year; we therefore, ask that the City Civil Engineer be, and is hereby authorized to advertise for the construction of one thousand barrel cisterns at the places designated above.

THIRD.

That we also concur in his recommendation to purchase one thousand feet of hose, and ask that the City Clerk advertise for bids for the same.

FOURTH.

The motion of Mr. Laughlin to purchase a bell striker for the hook and ladder house on South street, your Committee deem inexpedient to make such purchase at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

GEO. W. GEIGER,

W. H. CRAFT,

G. KENZEL,

Committee on Fire Department.

Mr. Laughlin moved not to concur in the fourth paragraph.

Which motion failed to pass by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Albershardt, Buehrig, Gimber, Laughlin, Madden, Reasner, Reed, Schmidt and Stratford—9.

Negative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Geiger, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Ransdell, Thalman and Webster—12.

Mr. Gimber moved to reject the whole report.

Mr. Darnell moved to lay Mr. Gimber's motion on the table.

Which motion to lay on the table was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Thalman and Webster—14.

Negative—Councilmen Buehrig, Curran, Gimber, Laughlin, Madden, Reasner and Stratford—7.

The report was then concurred in by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hall, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Ransdell, Reed, Schmidt, Thalman and Webster—14.

Negative—Councilmen Buehrig, Curran, Gimber, Laughlin, Mad-den, Reasner and Stratford—7.

Mr. Craft, from the Committee on Railroads, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen :—The undersigned, members of the Committee on Railroads, to whom was referred the communication of W. H. English, in regard to greater security to citizens crossing the railroads on Virginia avenue, would report that we have fully considered the matter, and are convinced that some such legislation as is contemplated in the communication is required, and we would therefore recommend that the City Attorney prepare and present to this Council an ordinance or resolution rendering the relief prayed for.

Respectfully submitted,

W. H. CRAFT,
J. C. LAUGHLIN,
JOHN STUCKMEYER,
Committee on Railroads.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Reed, from the Committee on Revision of Ordinances, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, July 19, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen :—Your Committee on Revision of Ordinances would beg leave to report :

In reference to the proposed ordinance "supplemental to Building Permit ordinance" introduced Dec. 14. 1874, requiring bond of the builder and regulating the space to be occupied by building material, your Committee is of the opinion that the present existing laws if carried out, would be all sufficient to meet the demands of the public; that sufficient power is already vested in the City Marshal to keep the streets and sidewalks comparatively free, and while we need do nothing

to retard improvement and building up of the city, we would recommend that his honor, the Mayor, instruct the City Marshal to enforce the law in regard to obstructing the streets and sidewalks of the city, particularly the prominent thoroughfares thereof.

Your Committee deems it impolitic to place on the backs of all and every city order issued this year, "this order is redeemable at the office of the City Treasurer on the 1st day of April, 1876, and bears interest at the rate of seven-thirty one hundredth per cent. per annum, as it is believed that the additional one-thirty one hundredth per cent. would not cause bankers and others to take the said warrants at par and only cause an additional expense to the city without beneficial results. Further, your Committee is of the opinion that by September or October, the city will be enabled to pay the full amount of the face of each warrant issued.

Your Committee have examined the ordinance introduced to Council and recommended by Board of Health, attaching a penalty to watering diseased horses and cattle at the public fountains, etc., of the city, and herewith recommend its passage.

In reference to the communication of the City Civil Engineer in regard to the restriction of gas lamps to each square of the city, your Committee is of the opinion that the subject properly belong to the Committee on Gas to which they ask that it shall be referred.

Respectfully submitted,

ENOS B. REED,

F. M. HOOK,

G. W. GEIGER,

Committee on Revision of Ordinances.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Geiger moved that the report of the Committee on Printing in relation to public printing, be made a special order of business for next Monday night.

Which was adopted.

On motion, the Council adjourned.

JOHN CAVEN, Mayor:

Attest :

BENJ. C. WRIGHT, City Clerk.