

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
COMMON COUNCIL

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE }
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, }
Monday, June 30, 1873, 7½ o'clock, P. M. }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, James L. Mitchell, in the Chair, and the following members:

Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Batty, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Rush, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—24.

Absent—Councilmen Craft and Pendleton—2.

The proceedings of the Regular Sessions held June 16th and 23d, 1873, were read and approved.

Sealed proposals for improving sundry streets, alleys, etc., were received, opened and read, and referred to the Committee on Contracts.

Mr. Kahn, from the Committee on Contracts, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 23, 1873.

To his Honor the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Contracts, to whom was referred sundry proposals for building a covered drain or sewer on Pine street from Elm street to Fletcher avenue, to connect with the sewer at that point, would report that the following have been presented :

Bruner and Riner, 24 inch cement pipe, oval, at \$3.45 per lineal foot ; 24 inch oval shaped brick sewer, 4 inch wall, \$3.75 per lineal foot ; manholes complete, \$45 ; catch basins, \$120.

Henry C. Roney, 24 inch cement pipe, oval, at \$4. per lineal foot, run ; manholes \$42 ; catch basins \$128.

H. C. Smallwood, 24 inch cement pipe sewer per lineal foot run, \$3.75 ; 24 inch brick sewer, oval shaped, 4 inch wall, \$4.00 per lineal foot ; manholes \$50 each ; catch basins \$125.

Bruner and Riner being the lowest and best bidders, we would recommend that they be awarded the contract for the brick sewer.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,
W. H. CRAFT,
M. C. ANDERSON,
Com. on Contracts.

Which was concurred in, and contract awarded.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To his Honor the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Contracts to whom was referred sundry proposals for putting down sundry flag stone crossings, have examined the same and find them to be as follows :

FIRST.

James W. Hudson, flagging ; 58 cents per lineal foot run. Single row.

Curbing, 62 cents per lineal foot front.

Bowldering, 72 cents per superficial yard.

SECOND.

Bernard Hammill, flagging, 56 cents per lineal foot run. Single row.

Bowldering, 78 cents per superficial yard.

THIRD.

Andrew Douglass, flagging, 49 cents per lineal foot run. Single row.

Curbing, 55 cents per lineal foot run.

Bowldering, 70 cents per superficial yard.

Curbing to rest the iron crossings on, 49 cents per lineal foot run.

Andrew Douglass being the lowest and best bidder, we would recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,
M. C. ANDERSON,
Com. on Contracts.

Which was concurred in, and contract awarded.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Contracts, to whom was referred sundry proposals for painting and repairing the fence around the Military Park, would report that the following have been presented :

FIRST.

Thomas J. Morse, 22 cents per lineal foot front, including repairs.

SECOND.

John Henderson, 20 cents per lineal foot front, including repairs; 18 cents per lineal foot run, and \$50 for repairs.

THIRD.

William Whitridge, 27 cents per lineal foot run, including repairs.

FOURTH.

J. J. and H. C. Yeakle, 16 cents per lineal foot run, and will replace broken pickets at 6 cents each.

J. J. and H. C. Yeakle being the lowest and best bidders, we would recommend that they be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,
M. C. ANDERSON,
Com. on Contracts.

Which was concurred in, and contract awarded.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Contracts, to whom was referred sundry proposals for putting a drain pipe in Bellefontaine street, to

connect with an open drain on Railroad street, the following proposals were presented :

Bruner and Riner, 18-inch cement pipe, round, \$1.70 per lineal foot run ; 24-inch cement pipe, round, \$2.10 per lineal foot run. Manholes complete, \$45 ; catch basins, \$120 each, complete.

Henry C. Roney, 18-inch cement pipe, \$3.25 per lineal foot ; 24-inch cement pipe, \$4.00 per lineal foot run. Manholes, \$42.00, each complete ; catch basins, \$125.

H. C. Smallwood, 18-inch cement pipe sewer, \$2.20 per lineal foot run ; 24-inch cement pipe, \$2.60 per lineal foot run. Manholes, \$50 each ; catch basins, \$125 each.

Bruner and Riner being the lowest and best bidders, we would recommend that they be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,
M. C. ANDERSON,
Committee on Contracts.

Which was concurred in, and contract awarded.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—Your Committee on Contracts, to whom was referred sundry proposals for various street improvements, have examined the same and find them to be as follows, to wit :

FIRST.

For curbing with stone the outside edge of the west sidewalk on Massachusetts avenue from Delaware to Alabama street, the following proposals were presented :

Bernard Hammill fifty-nine cents per lineal foot front; Henry C. Roney, fifty-seven cents per lineal foot front

Henry C. Roney being the lowest and best bidder, we would recommend that he be awarded the contract.

SECOND.

For grading and paving with brick and curbing the outside edge with stone, the west sidewalk on West street from Indiana avenue to St. Clair street, the following proposals were presented :

Henry C. Roney, 68 cents per lineal foot for paving ; 60 cents per lineal foot for curbing ; 58 cents per lineal foot for flagging ; 75 cents per square yard for bowldering.

Bernard Hammill, 70 cents per lineal foot for paving ; 59 cents per lineal foot for curbing ; 58 cents per lineal foot for flagging ; 72 cents per square yard for bowldering.

Henry C. Roney being the lowest and best bidder, we would recommend that he be awarded the contract.

THIRD.

For curbing the outside edge of the sidewalks and raising the bowldered gutters on Virginia avenue from Pennsylvania street to the intersection of Maryland street, the following proposals were presented :

Bernard Hammill, raising bowldered gutters, 48 cents per superficial yard ; curbing, 62 cents per lineal foot run.

James W. Hudson, raising bowldered gutters, 40 cents per superficial yard ; curbing, 62 cents per lineal foot run.

James W. Hudson being the lowest and best bidder, we would recommend that he be awarded the contract.

FOURTH.

For grading and graveling with hand screened river gravel, the alley running north and south through square No. 16, from Vermont to Michigan street, only one proposal was presented, and that was by Messrs. Patterson and Dunning, at 75 cents per lineal foot front on each side of the line improved, which your committee deem reasonable, we would therefore recommend that they be awarded the contract.

FIFTH.

For grading and graveling the first alley west of East street running north and south from Bicking to Coburn street, no proposals were presented. We would therefore recommend that the same be readvertised.

SIXTH.

For grading and graveling the first alley east of Winston street from New York to Michigan, no proposals were presented. We would also recommend that the same be readvertised.

SEVENTH.

For grading and paving with brick the south sidewalk on Fort Wayne avenue from Pennsylvania to New Jersey street, the following proposals were presented.

Sam J. Smock, 80 cents per lineal foot for paving; 60 cents per lineal foot for flagging; 75 cents superficial yard for bowldering.

Bernard Hammill, 69 cents per lineal foot for paving; 58 cents per lineal foot for flagging; 70 cents per superficial yard for bowldering.

Henry C. Roney, 58 cents per lineal foot for curbing; 75 cents per superficial yard for bowldering, and 68 cts. per lineal foot for paving.

Henry C. Roney being the lowest and best bidder we would recommend that he be awarded the contract.

EIGHTH.

For grading and bowldering the first alley east of Illinois street running from Market to Ohio street, the following proposals were presented :

Patterson and Dunning, 73 cents per lineal foot front on each side of the line improved.

Bernard Hammill 73 cents per lineal foot front on each side of the line improved.

James W. Hudson, 72 cents per lineal foot on each side of the line improved.

James Mahoney, 70 cents per lineal foot front on each side of the line improved.

James Mahoney being the lowest and best bidder, we would recommend that he be awarded the contract.

NINTH.

For grading, paving with brick, and Lefler paving stone, the south sidewalk on New York street, from Missouri to Blake street.

Macauley & Stone, paving with brick, 65 cents per lineal foot front ; flagging, 55 cents per lineal foot run ; bowldering, 79 cents per superficial yard ; curbing, 60 cents per lineal foot run ; Lefler paving stone 30 cents per square yard.

Macauley & Stone being the only and lowest bidders, we would recommend that they be awarded the contract.

TENTH.

For grading and graveling Second street and sidewalks from Delaware to Pennsylvania street, the following proposals were presented:

James Mahoney, \$1.10 per lineal foot front.

Patterson & Dunning, \$1.05 per lineal foot front.

Patterson & Dunning being the lowest and best bidders, we would recommend that they be awarded the contract.

ELEVENTH.

For grading and paving with brick the north sidewalk on Washington street from Tennessee to Mississippi street, the following proposals were presented:

Henry C. Roney, \$1.75 per lineal foot front.

Bernard Hammill, \$1.52 per lineal foot front.

Bernard Hammill being the lowest and best bidder, we would recommend that he be awarded the contract.

TWELFTH.

For grading and paving with brick the north sidewalk on Washington street from Noble street to the corporation line east, the following proposals were presented:

Bernard Hammill, 77 cents per lineal foot front.

Samuel J. Smock, 75 cents per lineal foot front.

Henry C. Roney, 75 cents per lineal foot front.

Henry C. Roney being the lowest and best bidder, we would recommend that he be awarded the contract.

THIRTEENTH.

For grading and paving with brick the west sidewalk on Kentucky avenue from Tennessee to West street, the following proposals were presented :

Sam J. Smock, 70 cents per lineal foot front.

Henry C. Roney, 67 cents per lineal foot front.

James W. Hudson, 67 cents per lineal foot front.

James W. Hudson being the lowest and best bidder, we would recommend that he be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,
M. C. ANDERSON,
Com. on Contracts.

Which was concurred in, and contract awarded.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The Civil Engineer submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—I hereby report the following work finished according to contract :

A first and final estimate allowed R. M. Riner on account of the Potomac alley sewer,

310½ feet of sewer at \$3.....	\$931 50
7 house connections at 50.....	3 50
3 manholes at \$35.....	105 00
Sheeting and braces left in ditch.....	35 00
Total.....	<u>\$1,075 00</u>

There is also due R. M. Riner for building catch basin at corner of Delaware and South streets..... \$115 00

Also a sixth and final estimate allowed J. J. Palmer, for grading, curbing and paving with wooden block, North Delaware street from St. Clair to Tinker street :

18,227.42 sq. yds. pavement at \$2.18.....	\$39,735 77
8,112.95 lin. ft. of stone curb at 62½ cents.....	5,070 59
	<hr/>
Total.....	\$44,806 36
Deduct former payments.....	40,605 90
	<hr/>
Present payment.....	4,200 46

Also, a first and final estimate allowed Jos. Kruger for building a cistern at the corner of West and Thomas streets. Capacity of cistern 795.85 barrels, at 64 cents per barrel..... \$509 34

Also, a fourth partial estimate allowed J. B. Smith for paving and curbing on North Tennessee street from the south line of the first alley north of St. Clair street to the north line of Pratt street :

17,097.89 sq. yds. pavement at \$1.64.....	\$28,040 53
6,306.30 lin. ft. of stone curb at 61 cents.....	3,846 84
	<hr/>
Total.....	\$31,887 37
Deduct former payments.....	28,701 17
	<hr/>
Present payment.....	\$3,186 20

Also, a first and partial estimate allowed J. B. Smith for grading, curbing and paving on North Meridian street from the south line of New York street to the center line of Vermont street :

3,455.5 sq. yds. pavement at \$1.57½.....	\$5,442 41
985 lin. ft. of curb at 58 cents.....	571 30
Total.....	\$6,013 71

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES W. BROWN,
Civil Engineer.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—The City Civil Engineer would respectfully report the following contracts, bonds and estimates for your approval :

FIRST.

First and final estimate allowed George W. Buchanan for grading and graveling Valley street and sidewalks from East street to the second alley east of East street.

SECOND.

Fifth and partial estimate allowed John J. Palmer for grading, paving with wooden blocks and curbing the outside edges of the sidewalks with stone, Delaware street, from the south line of Home avenue and the north line of Sixth street, on the east side, and the south line of the first alley south of Tinker street on the west side.

THIRD.

First and final estimate allowed Patterson and Dunning for grading and graveling Second street and sidewalks from Tennessee street to the Central Canal.

FOURTH.

First and final estimate allowed Samuel Keers for grading and graveling Agnes street and sidewalks from New York to North street.

FIFTH.

Contract and bond of Jesse S. Whitsett for grading, paving with wooden blocks, and for widening the sidewalks and curbing the outside edges with stone, Tennessee street, from the north line of Indiana avenue to the south line of Market street.

SIXTH.

Contract and bond of Bruner and Riner for building sewers on Pine and Bellefontaine streets.

SEVENTH.

Contract and bond of John H. James for the removal of dead animals from the city limits for a period of five years.

EIGHTH.

Sixth and final estimate allowed John J. Palmer for grading, paving and curbing Delaware street from St. Clair to Tinker street.

NINTH.

Fourth and partial estimate allowed J. B. Smith for grading, paving with wooden blocks and curbing the outside edges of the sidewalks with stone, Tennessee street from the south line of the first alley north of St. Clair street to the north line of Pratt street.

TENTH.

First and partial estimate allowed James B. Smith for grading and paving with wooden blocks Meridian street from the south line of New York street to the center line of Vermont street.

ELEVENTH.

Contract and bond of Joseph Kruger for building a public cistern at the corner of First and West streets.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES W. BROWN,
City Civil Engineer.

Which was concurred in, and bonds approved.

Also, the following estimate resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate of George W. Buchanan, for grading and graveling Valley street, and sidewalks, from East street to the second alley east of East street, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Ken-

nington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—22.

Negative—None.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and partial estimate of James B. Smith, for grading, paving with wooden blocks and curbing the outside edge of the sidewalks, with stone, Meridian street, from the south line of New York street, to the center line of Vermont street, be, and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—22.

Negative—None.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing fourth and partial estimate of J. B. Smith, for grading, paving with wooden blocks and curbing the outside edges of the sidewalks with stone, Tennessee street, from the south line of the first alley north of St. Clair street, to the north line

of Pratt street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—22.

Negative—None.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing sixth and final estimate of John J. Palmer, for grading, paving with wooden blocks, and curbing the outside edge of the sidewalk with stone, Delaware street, from St. Clair street to Tinker street, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—22.

Negative—None.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate of Samuel Keers, for grading and graveling Agnes street and sidewalks, from New York street to North street, be, and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—22.

Negative—None.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate of Patterson & Dunning, for grading and graveling Second street and sidewalks, from Tennessee street to the Central Canal, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Ken-

nington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—22.

Negative—None.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing fifth and final estimate of John J. Palmer, for grading, paving with wooden blocks and curbing the outside edges of the sidewalks with stone, Delaware street, from the south line of Home avenue and the north line of Sixth street, on the east side, and the south line of the first alley south of Tinker street, on the west side, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—22.

Negative—None.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—An ordinance has passed the Council for grading and graveling Sanders street. This street has no connection with any

other street except at the east end, and a portion of the water will have to be drained west, where there is no outlet. The same is true also of Mayhew street, which you have ordered to be graded and graveled.

I would recommend that the improvement of both of these streets be postponed until the ground in the neighborhood is subdivided and some outlet is furnished.

The ordinance for grading and graveling Alabama street directs that the water shall be drained from Home avenue to the State ditch. This will make it necessary to change the grade on Tinker street on both sides of Alabama, for which no provision has been made. You have also advertised for bids to grade and gravel Rhode Island street. To complete the drainage of this street it will be necessary to grade Locke street from Rhode Island to Margaret street. As there is no ordinance pending for the grading of Locke street, it will be well to postpone the improvement of Rhode Island street to some future time

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES W. BROWN.

Which was concurred in.

The Board of Health submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—The Board of Health would respectfully submit the following weekly mortality report for the week ending 30th of June, 1873:

Under 1 year.....	7
From 1 to 2 years.....	1
From 2 to 5 years.....	1

From 5 to 10 years.....	1
From 10 to 15 years.....	1
From 15 to 20 years.....	1
From 20 to 25 years.....	1
From 25 to 30 years.....	3
From 30 to 40 years.....	2
From 40 to 50 years.....	0
From 50 to 60 years.....	0
From 60 to 70 years.....	0
From 70 to 80 years.....	1
From 80 to 90 years.....	0
From 90 to 100 years.....	0
100 and upwards.....	0
Unknown.....	0
Total.....	<u>19</u>

S. A. ELBERT, M. D., Prés't.

W. WANDS, M. D., Secretary.

Which was received.

The City Clerk submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—The City Clerk respectfully reports for your approval the contract and bond of Oliver H. P. Bly for lighting and extinguishing the public lamps in the city of Indianapolis.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN R. CLINTON,
City Clerk.

Which was laid over for one week so as to enable Mr. Bly to obtain satisfactory security.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—The City Clerk respectfully reports the following affidavit now on file in his office for the collection of street assessment by precept, to wit :

Thomas Wren *vs.* Patrick G. Haurihan's heirs, for \$131.75.

Thomas Wren *vs.* Patrick G. Haurihan's heirs, for \$34.50.

And respectfully recommend that you order the precepts to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN R. CLINTON,
City Clerk.

Which was concurred in, and the precepts ordered to issue.

The Chief Fire Engineer submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—I herewith report the probable expense of the Fire Department for the present year from May 15, 1873, to May 15, 1874, as follows :

For salaries of officers and men of the present force.....	\$35,977 50
For repairs, horses, feed, coal, and all other expenses.....	9,000 00
For Additions already ordered by you, 2,000 feet rubber hose	2,000 00
For couplings, bands.....	200 00
For one hose reel.....	600 00
For new bell and hangings.....	1,200 00

For automatic striker.....	1,000 00
For additional, not yet ordered, repairs on two steam engines.....	6,000 00
For one new steam fire engine.....	5,000 00
For 1,000 feet hose.....	1,000 00
For six new alarm boxes.....	1,500 00
For wire and poles.....	800 00
For horse, wagon and harness.....	400 00
	<hr/>
Total.....	\$64,677 50

This amount will be required to run the Department and purchase the additions above named, but does not include the building of any new houses, nor the salary for an assistant to the Chief, and three extra men to run with the hook and ladder wagon, and the running expenses of one additional engine, should one be organized.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAS. RICHMANN,
Chief Fire Engineer.

Which was received.

The City Commissioners submitted the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen—The City Commissioners met at 9 o'clock A. M., June 23, 1873, at the City Clerk's office, pursuant to notice from that officer, to assess the damages and benefits to property and property holders, arising from the opening of an alley 9 feet wide, running east and west between East and Liberty streets, along the south line

of lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in out-lot 62, and along the north line of lots 7, 8, 4 and 6 in out-lot H, as petitioned for by the lot owners and as ordered by your honorable body.

After hearing the statements of the owners and inspecting the premises, we submit the following report :

The damages for real estate taken are \$1,105, and for improvements taken or moved, \$40; total damages \$1,145. Benefits to property owners from opening of said alley \$1,145, making the damages and benefits equal. We think the city should pay no part of said damages or benefits.

The following schedule details the lots, owners' names and amounts of damages and benefits taxed to each :

Damages to the Germania Building Association for a strip of ground $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the north side of a lot 57 ft. x 197 ft. in out-lot H, and being lot 7 in Little's subdivision, fronting on East street, \$350. Benefits to said Association on said lot from opening said alley, \$450. Balance of benefits to said association, \$100.

Damages to Mary E. Noble for a strip $5\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the south side of lot 1, in Flack's subdivision, and being 50 x 120 feet in out-lot 62, and fronting on East street, \$500. Benefits to said Noble on said lot, \$415. Balance of damages to said Noble (to be paid by said Germania Association,) \$85.

Damages to Joseph Kruger for a strip $5\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the south end of lot 2 in Flack's subdivision, and being 40 x 124.8 feet, fronting on Market street, in out-lot 62, \$35. Benefits to said Kruger on said lot, \$20. Balance of damages to Kruger (to be paid by said Association,) \$15.

Damages to Judith M. Conwell for a strip $5\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the south end of a lot 47 feet wide in out-lot 62, fronting on Market street, being lot 3 in Flack's subdivision, \$30; benefits to to said Conwell \$30.

Damages to C. Weigman for a strip 8 feet wide off the north end of a lot 50 x 75 feet in out-lot H, and being part of lot 4, fronting on Court street, \$30. Benefits to said Weigman from vacation and disuse of private alley on his ground, and by opening of said public alley, \$35; balance of benefits to Weigman \$5, (to be paid by him to Irick.)

Damages to Adam Irick for a strip 8 feet wide off the north end of lot 6 in Little's subdivision, and being 50 x 75 feet, in out-lot H, \$35. Damages for improvements, to be moved, \$40; total damages \$75. Benefits from opening said alley \$30; balance of damages to said Irick (\$40 to be paid by Samuel Kahn and \$5 by Weigman,) \$45.00.

Damages to Samuel Kahn for a strip 1 foot wide off the south end of lot 4 in Flack's subdivision of out-lot 62, fronting north on Market street, \$20. Benefits to said Kahn on said lot \$60; balance of benefits to said Kahn \$40, (to be paid by him to Irick.)

Damages to Powell Howland for a strip 9 feet wide taken from lot 4 in Wilson's subdivision of out-lot 63, being $31\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide and extending from Market to Court street, \$30. Benefits to said Howland on said lot from said alley, \$30.

Damages to Isaac Mansur for a strip 9 feet wide taken from lots 1, 2 and 3 in Wilson's subdivision of out-lot 63, being $93\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide, and extending from Market to Court street in said out-lot 63, \$25 on each of said lots, making \$75. Benefits to said Mansur on said lots, \$25 on each lot, making \$75.

Total damages \$1,115. Total benefits \$1,115.

We return herewith the petition, order of Council, notices to the Commissioners and to the property holders, and plat.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

SAMUEL M. SEIBERT,
J. F. RAMSAY,
IGNATIUS BROWN,
JOSEPH M. SUTTON,
Board of City Comr's.

Which was received.

Also, the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen—The Board of City Commissioners met at the City Clerk's office at 9 o'clock, A. M., of the 16th day of June, 1873, in pursuance of the order of the Common Council made.....1873, and of notices to the Commissioners and the property holders issued by the City Clerk, to assess the damages and benefits to lots and lot owners, resulting from the opening of Blackford street to a width of 45 feet from North street to Indiana avenue, and from Market street to Washington street.

After inspection of the premises and due consideration of the case, we submit the following assessments of the damages and benefits:

We place benefits on all the lots on each side between Market and North streets, doing so under the written consent of most of the property holders. This written consent, with the petitions, notices, order of reference, etc., are hereunto attached and returned with this report.

We estimate the value of the ground taken for said street between North street and Indiana avenue, at \$4,555. We estimate the value

of the improvements taken, or to be moved from the line of said street, at \$1,255, making the total damages at the north end of said street, \$5,810. The benefits to lots and lot owners along the line of the proposed street from North street to Indiana avenue, we estimate at \$4,900. Balance of damages to lots and owners between North street and Indiana avenue, \$910.

The value of the ground taken for said street between Market and Washington streets we estimate at \$2,300. The value of the improvements taken or removed on this part of the street, we fix at \$920 Total damages resulting from opening south end of the street, \$3,220. The benefits to lots and lot owners along the south end of the street, from Market to Washington streets, we fix at \$1,850. The balance of damages to lot and lot owners on this part of the street is therefore \$1,370. The city is assessed with \$1,200 of this amount and of the \$910 at the north end of the street, leaving \$1,080 to be paid by the lot holders between Market and North streets, who are therefore assessed a sufficient sum to pay this balance, as they in equity should do.

The total damages, therefore, on the whole street, amount to \$9,030. The benefits to citizens to \$7,830, and to the city, \$1,200, making the benefits equal the damages.

The damages and benefits are set forth specifically as follows :

Damages to Wm. J. Mathus, for a strip 16½ feet wide, off the east side of lot S, Ray's subdivision, out-lot 159.....	\$ 750 00
Benefits to him on said lot.....	325 00
	<hr/>
Balances of damages to him.....	425 00
Damages to Mike Hurley for strip 16½ feet off east end lot O, in said subdivision, \$105, and for moving fences, etc., \$20, making.....	125 00

Benefits to him on lot.....	\$100 00
Balance of damages to him	25 00
Damages to John Larkin for strip 16½ feet wide off east end lot N, in said subdivision, \$105, and for moving improve- ments, \$10, making a total of.....	115 00
Benefits to him on said lot.....	105 00
Balance of damages to him.....	10 00
Damages to A. & C. Manning for strip 16½ feet wide off the east end of lots M, L and K, in said subdivision, \$105 each, and for moving improvements on each of said lots, \$15, making total damages.....	360 00
Benefits to them on each of said lots \$105, making total of..	315 00
Balance of damages to them.....	45 00
Damages to I. Medden for strip 16½ feet wide off east end of lot J, in said subdivision, \$105, and for moving improve- ments \$15, making.....	120 00
Benefits to him on said lot.....	105 00
Balance of damages to him on said lot.....	15 00
Damages to W. S. Hubbard, Guardian, etc., for strip 16½ feet wide off east end of lot I, in said subdivision, \$105, and for moving improvements, \$15, making a total of.....	120 00
Benefits to said lot.....	105 00
Balance of damages on said lot.....	15 00

Damages to James H. Graham for strip $16\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off east end of lot H, in said subdivision.....	\$105 00
Benefits to him.....	105 00
	<hr/>
Balance of damages to him.....	10 00

Damages to Harrison Waugh for strip $16\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the east end of lot G, in said subdivision, \$105, and for moving improvements, \$70, making.....	175 00
Benefits to said Waugh.....	105 00
	<hr/>
Balance of damages to said Waugh.....	70 00

Damages to Elijah Cooper for strip $16\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off east end of lot F, in said subdivision, \$105, and for moving fence, \$10, making.....	115 00
Benefits to him.....	105 00
	<hr/>
Balance of damages to him.	10 00

Damages to Daniel Mahoney for a strip $16\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the east end of lot E, in said subdivision, \$105, and for moving improvements, \$20, making.....	125 00
Benefits to him.....	105 00
	<hr/>
Balance of damages.....	20 00

Damages to Frederich Schurich (or D. R. Brown) for strip $16\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the east end and side of lots D and C in said subdivision, \$750, and for stable, fencing, house and all other improvements on said lot taken by the city, \$750, making.....	1,500 00
--	----------

Benefits to Schürich, on the balance of said lots D and C, \$400, and for value of house left him to be moved \$400, making.....	\$800 00
Balance of damages to him.....	700 00

Damages to David King for a strip $16\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the west side of a lot 100 feet wide by 322 feet long, to the north-west corner of out-lot 160.....	1,000 00
Benefits to said King on said lot.....	1,800 00
Balance of benefits to King.....	800 00

Damages to heirs of Zelphia Payne for a strip $16\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the west end of a lot 50x100 feet, south of the 12 foot alley south of King's lot, in out-lot 160, \$200, and for moving improvements, \$100, making.....	300 00
Benefits to said heirs on said lot.....	400 00
Balance of benefits to said heirs.....	100 00

Damages to Milly Chism, or Wiley's heirs, or other owner, for a strip $16\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide off the west side of a lot 56x196 feet in the south-west corner of out-lot 160, \$700, and for moving improvements, \$200, making.....	900 00
Benefits on said lot.....	425 00
Balance of damages on said lot to heirs.....	475 00

Total damages on north end of the street.....	\$5,810 00
Total benefits on north end of the street.....	4,900 00
Balance of damages.....	\$910 00

Damages to J. and W. Skillen for strip 15 feet wide off the east side of lot 24, Blake and Ray's subdivision of out-lot 148, \$2,200; also for removing part of the frame house and other improvements on said 15 feet, \$900, making..... \$3,100 00

Benefits to balance of said lot..... 1,000 00

Balance of damages to said lot..... 2,100 00

Damages to Sohl Gibson & Co. for strip 15 feet wide by 30 feet off east side of the south-west corner of a tract 180 x 225 feet in out-lot 148, \$100; and for moving improvements \$20, making..... 120 00

Benefits to them on said tract..... 325 00

Balance of benefits to them..... 205 00

Benefits to J. A. and R. F. Teneyk on west part lot 12, out-lot 147..... 225 00

Benefits to H. D. Pierce on lot 13, out-lot 147..... 300 00

Total damages at south end of street..... 3,220 00

Total benefits at south end of street..... 1,850 00

Balance of Damages..... 1,370 00

To meet this sum and the \$910 balance of damages at the north end, we assess the city on the Park and School property, and also assess the lots and lot owners between Market and North streets, under their written agreement, making up the full amount as follows:

Benefits to the City of Indianapolis, on lots 75, 76 and 77, Blackford's subdivision of out-lot 154, \$200; and also on Military Park, being out-lot 147, \$1,000, making together 1,200 00

And on lots and lot owners as follows :

To Wm. Bell, on lot 18, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	\$20 00
To E. W. H. Ellis, on lot 17, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155	15 00
To E. W. H. Ellis, on lot 16, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	15 00
To C. Lohman, on lot 15, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 115.....	15 00
To John M. Kitchen, on lot 14, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	15 00
To John M. Kitchen on lot 13, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	15 00
To W. Gardner on lot 12, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155	15 00
To B. O. Carpenter on lot 11, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	15 00
To B. O. Carpenter on lot 10, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	15 00
To S. Meredith on lot 9, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	15 00
To S. Meredith on lot 8, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	15 00

To Lewis Ferdinand on lot 7, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	\$15 00
To Trustees Fifth Pres. Church on lot 6, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	18 00
To Trustees Fifth Pres. Church on lot 5, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	18 00
To John S. Spann on lot 4, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	18 00
To Wm. F. Kuhn on lot 3, Bright, Powell and Ellis subdivision out-lot 155.....	18 00
To W. M. Adams on lot 2, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	18 00
To A. Meredith on lot 1, Bright, Powell and Ellis' subdivision out-lot 155.....	18 00
To P. Sanburn on lot 1, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00
To C. Wilson on lot 2, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00
To D. Derringer on lot 3, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00
To J. B. McNeely on lot 4, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00
To L. Ferguson on lot 5, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00

To E. Pierson on lot 6, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	\$15 00
To C. N. Lee on lot 7, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00
To A. Rozier on lot 8, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00
To M. Rhodes on lot 9, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 155.....	15 00
To I. J. Breedlove on lot 10, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00
To Elisha G. English on lot 11, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00
To W. D. Hynn on lot 12, Maguire's heirs subdivision out- lot 151.....	15 00
To E. Sulgrove on lot 13, Maguire's heirs subdivision out- lot 151.....	15 00
To J. Kern on lot 14, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	15 00
To H. M. Goe on lot 15, Maguire's heirs subdivision out-lot 151.....	30 00
To Isaac Thalman on lot 65, Blackford's subdivision out-lot 152.....	40.00

To Proctor, Curry and Forsinger on lot 66, Blackford's sub- division out-lot 152.....	\$50 00
To A. Rozier on south end of lot 71, Blackford's subdivision out-lot 153.....	35 00
To C. F. Condit on lot 72, Blackford's subdivision out-lot 154.....	52 00
To C. F. Condit on lot 78, Blackford's subdivision of out-lot 153.....	55 00
To A. Rozier for 65 feet off north end of lot 71, Blackford's subdivision out-lot 153.....	30 00
To G. H. Voss on lot 1, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 148.....	18 00
To G. H. Voss on lot 2, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 148.....	20 00
To Samuel Cornelius on Lot 3, Merritt and Coughlen's sub- division out-lot 148.....	20 00
To James Jones on lot 4, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 148.....	20 00
To James Jones on lot 5, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 148.....	20 00
To James Jones on lot 6, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 128.....	20 00

To James Jones on lot 7, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 148.....	20 00
To James Jones on lot 8, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 148.	18 00
To James Jones on lot 9, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 148.....	18 00
To James Jones on lot 10, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivi- sion out-lot 148.....	18 00
To G. W. Metcalf on lot 11, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivi- sion out-lot 148.....	18 00
To Wm. Logan on lot 12, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 148.....	18 00
To Merritt and Coughlen on lot 13, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivision out-lot 148.....	18 00
To Chas. Oliver on lot 14, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivi- sion out-lot 148.....	18 00
To O. F. Baker on lot 15, Merritt and Coughlen's subdivi- sion out-lot 148.....	21 00
Total benefits between Market and North streets.....	<u>\$1,080 00</u>

The assessment on the intervening lots is made with the consent of the lot owners.

We return herewith the petition, order of reference, notices, proof of publication, service, agreement to be taxed, and plats.

J. F. RAMSAY,
SAM'L M. SEIBERT,
IGNATIUS BROWN,
Board City Comr's.

Which was received.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—The City Commissioners met at 9 A. M., June 23, 1873, at the City Clerk's office, pursuant to an order of the Common Council, passed April 28, 1873, and to notice from the City Clerk, to take action on the petition of W. Dehne, and others, asking the opening of Michigan street, 60 feet wide, from the first alley east of Winston street, to connect with Michigan street, in Davidson's addition.

After hearing the statements of the owners, and inspecting the premises, we arrived at the following assessment of damages and benefits.

We found on beginning the examination of the case, that F. M. Churchman was the owner of a strip 62 feet wide, from the east end of the street to the old corporation line, and that Edward King was the owner of the ground east of Churchman. In both cases, and especially in Mr. Churchman's, the ground is so encumbered with the tracks and right of way of the Railway Company, that it has little or no value outside of that use, either to Churchman or King, or any one else. While so far as the Railway Company is concerned, it had merely the right to lay tracks thereon, and pass over them. If the

street be opened this right of way will still be left to the Railway Company; and even if it be interfered with to some extent by the right of way the city will acquire, still the company cannot complain, for it interferes so greatly with the public right of way on other streets, for which the Company paid nothing, that the account is vastly in favor of the city. From this consideration, and the further fact that it is utterly impossible to assess the damages to their right of way, (as it would be to estimate the damages the road does at other crossings,) we have not taken the right of way into account.

We find the total damages for ground taken to be \$550.00, and the total benefits to be \$550.00.

The damages and benefits are as follows :

Damages to F. M. Churchman, for strip of ground 60 feet by about — feet, in out-lot 50, in line of Michigan street, and taken therefor subject to right of way of the C., C., C. & I. R. W. Co., and I. & St. L. R. R. Co., \$50.00. Benefits to F. M. Churchman, on balance of his property, being a strip one foot wide on each side of said street, \$50.00.

Damages to Edward King, for strip 60 feet by about 222 feet on line of said street, in west half of south-west quarter, 6, 15, 4. \$500.00. Benefits to King on the adjoining property, \$500.00.

We return herewith the petition, plat, the order of reference of the case, the notices to the Board and to property-holders, the returns of service and proof of publication, and the written objections filed by counsel for Churchman, and said Company to the notices and services thereon, and a copy of Mr. F. M. Churchman's deed for the ground taken by said street.

All of which is respectfully submitted to your honorable body for your final action thereon.

SAMUEL M. SEIBERT,
JOSEPH M. SUTTON,
J. F. RAMSAY,
IGNATIUS BROWN,

City Commissioners.

Which was received.

By consent Mr. Kahn offered the following motion :

Moved, That the proceedings in the matter of the opening of Michigan street, subsequent to the order of reference be set aside, because of the insufficiency of the notice, and the Clerk is hereby instructed to give the proper notices, and the petition and plat be again referred to the Commissioners.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following resignation :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 27, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen—I beg leave respectfully to offer my resignation as a member of the Board of City Commissioners.

Very truly,

Your Obedient Servant,

JOSEPH M. SUTTON.

Which was received, and resignation accepted.

The City Marshal submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—The undersigned respectfully represents that the press of business is so great that an assistant is required for at least thirty days. The great number of notices in the matter of opening the streets, and the necessity of enforcing the sanitary ordinances make the work greater than myself and present deputy can do.

I respectfully ask your honorable body to authorize me to appoint an additional deputy for thirty days, at a salary not to exceed three dollars per day.

Respectfully,

WM. C. WEST, City Marshal.

Which was concurred in by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Bollman, Darnell, Hardesty, Kahn, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Sherwood, Thalman, Twiname, and Ward—13.

Negative—Councilmen Bigham, Brown, Gibson, Gimber, Kennington, Reagan, Rush, Shepherd, Stratford and Woodburn—10.

ORDINANCE ON FIRST READING.

Mr. Kennington introduced Special Ordinance No. 197, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel Alabama street, and bowlder the gutter thereof, from South street to Merrill street.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Stratford presented the following remonstrance :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—We, the undersigned, property holders living on Dougherty and Buchanan streets, in Dougherty & Hendricks' subdivision, do hereby remonstrate against the grading and graveling of alley between the aforesaid streets, running from East to Wright.

We are in debt for our homes and are not able to meet our assessments.

JOHN PAYNTEN,
JOHN BROWN,
EDWARD MULLALLEY,
And 23 others.

Which was received.

Also, Special Ordinance No. 198, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to repeal an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance to grade and gravel the alley running east and west from East street to Wright street, and between Buchanan and Dougherty streets."

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Brown introduced Special Ordinance No. 199, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to curb with stone, except where now so curbed, and pave with bricks, the sidewalks on Virginia avenue, between Washington and Maryland streets.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Bigham introduced Special Ordinance No. 200, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and pave with bricks, the east sidewalk of Davidson street, between Washington and North streets.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Shepherd introduced Special Ordinance No. 201 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to build a sewer on Missouri street, from Market street to Kentucky avenue.

Which was read the first time.

Also, General Ordinance No. 42, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to amend Section 6 of an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance relative to the licensing and regulating of certain extraordinary trades and establishments," passed May 4, 1859.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Adams presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on Home avenue between Western avenue and Columbia street, respectfully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling of said Home avenue between the streets above named. The sidewalks of said avenue to be extended and graded to the width of twenty-three feet each side. The street between the sidewalks so extended to be properly graded according to stakes to be set by the Civil Engineer, and that twenty-five feet in the center thereof be graveled with good river or creek gravel to the depth of sixteen inches in the center and sloping to four inches at the sides.

And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.

WILLIAM M. THRASHER,
OVID D. BUTLER,
SCOTT BUTLER,

And 14 others.

Which was received.

Also, Special Ordinance No. 202, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel Home avenue, and widen and grade the sidewalks, from Western avenue to Columbia street.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. McLaughlin introduced Special Ordinance No. 203, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel Jones street and sidewalks, between West and Dakota streets.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Thalman introduced Special Ordinance No. 204, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel Potomac alley, from Blackford street to California street.

Which was read the first time.

Also, Special Ordinance No. 205, 1873, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to grade and gravel the first alley west of California street, between Market and Washington streets.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Twiname presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—The undersigned, owners of the real estate fronting on Rohampton street, between Tinker street and Bolton street, respect-

fully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling the street and sidewalks of the same.

And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.

DAVIS & JONES,
J. PERRY ELLIOTT,
SARAH A. DAVIS,
And 12 others.

Which was received.

Also, Special Ordinance No. 206, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel Rhohampton street and sidewalks, from Tinker street to Bolton street.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Peck presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, June 30, 1873.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on an alley north and south, through square 23, and between Sixth street and the first alley south of Sixth street, respectfully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling of said alley, between limits aforesaid.

And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.

THOMAS W. WHINGATES.
JOHN H. DITTMAN.

Which was received.

Mr. Peck introduced General Ordinance No. 43, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance appropriating ground and money for widening and straightening Tennessee street, between Seventh street and Twelfth street.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Peck moved to suspend the rules, for the purpose of reading the second and third times and passing the above entitled ordinance; also, for the purpose of approving the report of the City Commissioners, made to Council June 23, 1873, in the matter of widening and straightening Tennessee street, from Seventh or Tinker street, to Twelfth street.

Which motion was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Rush, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—21.

Negative—Councilmen Bigham and Gimber—2.

Dr. Woodburn moved that the report of the City Commissioners, submitted to Council June 23d, 1873, in the matter of widening and straightening Tennessee street, from Tinker or Seventh street, to Twelfth street, be approved and concurred in.

Which motion was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin,

Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Rush, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—20.

Negative—Councilmen Bigham, Bollman and Gimber—3.

General Ordinance No. 43, 1873, entitled :

An Ordinance appropriating ground for widening and straightening Tennessee street, between Seventh and Twelfth streets, and appropriating money to pay damages for such widening and straightening.

Was read the second time and engrossed, and read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Batty, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Rush, Shepherd, Sherwood, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—22.

Negative—Councilmen Bigham and Bollman—2.

Mr. Sherwood offered the following motion.

Moved, That the matter of appointing a Superintendent of the block pavement on Tennessee street from First to twelfth streets, be referred to the Committee on Contracts, with power to make the appointment.

Which was adopted.

Business was then resumed in the order in which it was left off at the last meeting.

The question then being on the adoption of the amendment as offered by Mr. Brown.

Upon which, Mr. Shepherd moved the previous question.

The question being, shall the main question be now put, those who voted in the affirmative were :

Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Brown, Gimber, Hardesty, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd and Stratford—12.

Those who voted in the negative were :

Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Bollman, Darnell, Gibson, Kahn, Rush, Sherwood, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—12.

There being a tie vote, his Honor the Mayor voted in the affirmative.

So the main question was ordered.

The question then being on the adoption of the amendment as offered by Mr. Brown, those who voted in the affirmative were :

Councilmen Anderson, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Gimber, Hardesty, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Stratford and Ward—14.

Those who voted in the negative were :

Councilmen Adams, Batty, Darnell, Gibson, Kahn, Rush, Sherwood, Thalman, Twiname and Woodburn—10.

So the amendment was adopted.

Mr. Gibson moved to substitute General Ordinance No. 37, 1873, introduced June 16, 1873, for General Ordinance No. 34, as amended.

Which, on motion by Mr. Shepherd, was laid on the table by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Batty, Bigham, Brown, Gimber, Hardesty, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Stratford and Ward—15.

Negative — Councilmen Bollman, Darnell, Gibson, Kahn, Rush, Sherwood, Thalman, Twiname and Woodburn—9.

The question then being on the engrossment of the ordinance as amended.

The ayes and noes were demanded.

Those who voted in the affirmative were :

Councilmen Anderson, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Stratford and Ward—15.

Those who voted in the negative were :

Councilmen Adams, Batty,* Darnell, Gibson, Sherwood, Thalmann, Twiname and Woodburn—8.

So the ordinance was ordered engrossed.

On motion by Mr. Brown, the rules were suspended for the purpose of considering the following.

Mr. Brown offered the following preamble and resolution :

WHEREAS, It appears to the satisfaction of the Common Council of the city of Indianapolis, that certain lots of land adjoining said city, have been laid off and platted, and a record of the same has been made in the Recorder's Office, in the County of Marion, and State of Indiana ; and,

WHEREAS, The Common Council desires, in pursuance of the 84th section of the City Charter, to extend the corporate limits of said city, over such platted and recorded lots of land, as are below described and defined, to-wit :

Spann & Company's second Woodlawn Addition, being a subdivision of a part of the south-west quarter of section seven, township fifteen, north of range four east, the plat thereof being recorded in the Recorder's office of Marion County, in plat book number 6, page 45, to which reference is made for a more particular description of metes and bounds.

Also, Allen, Root & English's north Woodlawn Addition, being a subdivision of the south-east part of the north-west quarter of section seven, township fifteen, north of range four east, the plat thereof being recorded in the Recorder's office of Marion County, in plat

book number 4, page 86, to which reference is made for a more particular description of metes and bounds.

Also, Allen, Root & English's second North Woodlawn Addition, being a subdivision of the south-west part of the north-west quarter of section seven, township fifteen, north of range four east, the plat thereof being recorded in the Recorder's Office of Marion County, in plat book number 6, page 16, to which reference is made for a more particular description of metes and bounds.

Resolved, That the foregoing named and described lots of land be, and they are hereby annexed to the city of Indianapolis, and the boundary of said city is hereby extended so as to include said additions, which shall hereafter form a part of said city and be within the jurisdiction of the same.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Anderson, Batty, Bigham, Bollman, Brown, Darnell, Gibson, Gimber, Hardesty, Kahn, Kennington, McLaughlin, Peck, Pressly, Reagan, Shepherd, Stratford, Thalman, Twiname, Ward and Woodburn—22.

Negative—None.

Mr. Hardesty moved that when the Council adjourn, it adjourn to meet Wednesday evening, July 2d, 1873.

Which motion was adopted.

On motion the Council adjourned.

JAMES L. MITCHELL,

MAYOR.

ATTEST :

JOHN R. CLINTON,

City Clerk.